## Original Article

## Primary coronary angioplasty in patients over 80 years of age

Alan Castro D'Avila<sup>a</sup>, Renato Roese Filho<sup>a</sup>, Marcia Moura Schmidt<sup>a</sup>, Karina Melleu<sup>b</sup>, Cristiano de Oliveira Cardoso<sup>a</sup>, Carlos Antonio Mascia Gottschall<sup>a</sup>, Alexandre Schann de Quadros<sup>a,\*</sup>, Alexandre Damiani Azmus<sup>a</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Instituto de Cardiologia/Fundação Universitária de Cardiologia, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil
- <sup>b</sup> Universidade Luterana do Brasil, Canoas, RS, Brazil

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 10 August 2015 Accepted 25 November 2015

Keywords: Angioplasty Myocardial infarction Elderly

#### ABSTRACT

Background: The number of elderly patients submitted to primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is increasingly prevalent. Historically, this population has a worse prognosis when compared to the younger ones. This study aimed to compare the characteristics and 30-day clinical outcomes of patients aged  $\geq$  80 years to those < 80 years submitted to primary PCI.

*Methods*: Observational, prospective cohort study, extracted from the database of Instituto de Cardiologia do Rio Grande do Sul, between 2009 and 2013.

Results: A total of 1,970 patients were included, of whom 122 (6.2%) were aged  $\geq$  80 years. The elderly showed a predominance of the female gender (50% vs. 29%; p < 0.001), diabetes (34.4% vs. 23.2%; p = 0.004), Killip class 3 or 4 (13.1% vs. 7.4%; p < 0.02), and longer door-to-balloon time (1.4 hour [1.0-1.9 hour] vs. 1.1 hour [0.8-1.5 hour]; p < 0.001). The TIMI 3 post flow did not show any difference between the groups (86% vs. 90.7%; p = 0.08), but the Blush 3 post was lower (59.3% vs. 70.9%; p = 0.01) in the elderly. Angiographic success was obtained in 92.0% vs. 95.6%; p = 0.07. Temporary pacemakers, severe arrhythmias, and aborted sudden death were more frequently observed in patients aged  $\geq$  80 years. The rates of major adverse cardiovascular events and death at 30 days were higher in the older group (32.2% vs. 11.5% and 29.7% vs. 7.2%; p < 0.001).

Conclusions: In this contemporary analysis, patients aged ≥ 80 years undergoing primary PCI had a more severe clinical and angiographic profile, longer door-to-balloon time, lower final Blush 3, with higher rates of hospital complications and 30-day mortality when compared with younger patients.

© 2015 Sociedade Brasileira de Hemodinâmica e Cardiologia Intervencionista. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

## Angioplastia coronariana primária em pacientes com mais de 80 anos

RESUMO

Palavras-chave: Angioplastia Infarto do miocárdio Idoso Introdução: É cada vez mais prevalente o número de idosos submetidos à intervenção coronariana percutânea primária (ICPp). Historicamente, essa população apresenta pior prognóstico quando comparada aos mais jovens. Nosso objetivo foi comparar as características e os desfechos clínicos em 30 dias de pacientes ≥ 80 anos aos < 80 anos submetidos à ICPp.

*Métodos*: Estudo de coorte observacional, prospectivo, extraído do banco de dados do Instituto de Cardiologia do Rio Grande do Sul, entre 2009 e 2013.

Resultados: Foram incluídos 1.970 pacientes, sendo 122 (6,2%) com idade ≥ 80 anos. Os mais idosos mostraram predomínio do sexo feminino (50% vs. 29%; p < 0.001), diabetes (34,4% vs. 23,2%; p = 0.004), classe Killip 3 ou 4 (13,1% vs. 7,4%; p = 0.02) e tempo porta-balão superior (1,4 hora [1,0-1,9 hora] vs. 1,1 hora [0,8-1,5 hora]; p < 0.001). O fluxo TIMI 3 pós não mostrou diferença entre os grupos (86% vs. 90,7%; p = 0.08), mas o Blush 3 pós foi menor (59,3% vs. 70,9%; p = 0.01) nos idosos. O sucesso angiográfico foi obtido em 92,0% vs. 95,6%; p = 0.07. Necessidade de marca-passo provisório, arritmias graves e morte súbita abortada foram mais frequentes nos pacientes ≥ 80 anos. Taxas de eventos cardiovasculares adversos maiores e óbito em 30 dias foram mais frequentes no grupo mais idoso (32,2% vs. 11,5% e 29,7% vs. 7,2%; p < 0.001).

Conclusões: Nesta análise contemporânea, pacientes ≥ 80 anos submetidos à ICPp apresentaram perfil clínico e angiográfico mais grave, tempo porta-balão mais prolongado, menor Blush 3 final, com maiores taxas de complicações hospitalares e mortalidade em 30 dias, quando comparados aos pacientes mais jovens.

© 2015 Sociedade Brasileira de Hemodinâmica e Cardiologia Intervencionista. Publicado por Elsevier Editora Ltda. Este é um artigo Open Access sob a licença de CC BY-NC-ND (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

DOI of original article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rbci.2016.12.004

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Instituto de Cardiologia/Fundação Universitária de Cardiologia, Av. Princesa Isabel, 395, Santana, CEP, 90620-001, Porto Alegre, RS, Brasil. E-mail: alesq@terra.com.br (A.S. de Quadros).

Peer review under the responsibility of Sociedade Brasileira de Hemodinâmica e Cardiologia Intervencionista.

#### Introduction

The strategy of mechanical recanalization has been preferred to the use of thrombolytics, especially in the elderly subgroup, as they have increased bleeding rates. Registries of patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) treated with mechanical recanalization show an increasing number of patients who are elderly or aged  $\geq 80$  years.<sup>1,2</sup>

This subgroup may have an atypical presentation, including silent or unrecognized AMI, or left bundle branch block (LBBB) as an electrocardiographic presentation.<sup>3</sup> Additionally, cognitive problems may delay the identification of the clinical picture.

Patients older than 80 years have a two- to three-fold increased risk of cardiogenic shock, heart failure, and atrial fibrillation during hospitalization.<sup>4</sup> Killip class ≥ 2 and acute heart failure are much more common in patients aged ≥ 85 years.<sup>4,5</sup> The in-hospital mortality of octogenarians is three-fold higher and, in nonagenarians, four-fold higher than in younger patients with ST-segment elevation AMI (STEMI).<sup>4</sup>

This study aimed to increase the known evidence, contributing to a national database, as the evidence for this age group is scarce, as elderly patients are usually excluded from the large clinical trials. The data is limited to observational studies, which hinders the assessment of the results of procedures and drug therapy applied to them.<sup>3,6</sup>

Thus, the objective of this study was to compare the clinical, angiographic, and procedure characteristics, as well as clinical outcomes of patients with STEMI aged  $\geq$  80 years with those aged < 80 years, submitted to primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) at this institution.

#### Methods

This was a single-center, prospective cohort study that included all patients with STEMI submitted to primary PCI at Instituto de Cardiologia do Rio Grande do Sul, from December 2009 to December 2013. All enrolled patients signed the Informed Consent Form, and the study was approved by the institution's Ethics Committee.

#### Population

Sequential patients with STEMI admitted to this institution and referred to primary PCI were considered for inclusion in the study. STEMI was defined as chest pain at rest lasting more than 30 minutes associated with ST-segment elevation > 1 mm in two or more contiguous leads of the electrocardiogram, or new LBBB. Exclusion criteria were chest pain lasting more than 12 hours and patient refusal to participate in the study.

The primary PCI was performed as recommended in the literature.<sup>7</sup> All patients were medicated on admission with 300 mg of acetylsalicylic acid and 300 to 600 mg of clopidogrel, 60 mg of prasugrel, or 180 mg of ticagrelor. Unfractionated heparin (60 to 100 U/kg) was administered prior to the primary PCI. Technical aspects of the procedure, such as type and number of stents, use of adjunctive devices, and use of glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors were at the discretion of the interventionist responsible for the primary PCI.

Blood collection for laboratory analysis was performed in the emergency room prior to referral to the primary PCI.

### Statistical analysis

Qualitative variables were described as absolute and relative frequencies, and compared with the Chi-squared test. Continuous variables were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation and compared with the unpaired Student's t test. Continuous variables with

non-normal distribution were shown as median and interquartile range, and compared with the Mann-Whitney test.

The data were collected in a Microsoft Access database, and statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows, version 17.0. Statistical significance was defined as a two-tailed p-value  $\leq 0.05$ .

#### Results

A total of 1,970 consecutive patients with STEMI were included, of whom 122 (6.2%) were aged  $\geq$  80 years. The mean age was 84.5  $\pm$  4.4 years and 58.7  $\pm$  10.3 years; the elderly showed a predominance of the female gender (50% vs. 29%; p < 0.001), diabetes (34.4% vs. 23.2%; p = 0.004); conditions such as renal failure and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, in addition to a lower number of smokers (Table 1).

LBBB or complete atrioventricular block (CAVB) were significantly more frequent in the elderly, as was the clinical presentation in Killip 3 or 4 functional class (13.1% vs. 7.4%; p = 0.02). Door-to-balloon time was longer in patients aged  $\geq 80$  years (1.4 hour [1.0-1.9 hour] vs. 1.1 hour [0.8-1.5 hour]; p < 0.001).

The patients' angiographic profile was very similar between the groups, with the same number of patients with triple-vessel disease (20.5% vs. 18.6%; p = 0.33), but a lower ejection fraction in the group aged  $\geq 80$  years (39.7  $\pm$  18.5% vs. 54.5  $\pm$  16.0%; p = 0.008; Table 2).

Regarding the procedure, femoral access was more often used (82.4% vs. 62.3%, p < 0.001), and glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors and 600 mg clopidogrel were less used in patients older than 80 years. Pre-dilation was used more frequently in the elderly, and -Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) 3 flow post-procedure showed no difference between the groups (86% vs. 90.7%; p = 0.08), but post-Blush 3 was significantly lower in the patients aged  $\geq 80$  years (59.3% vs. 70.8%; p = 0.01). Angiographic success was achieved in 92.0% vs. 95.6% (p = 0.07).

The laboratory characteristics are shown in Table 3. Markers of myocardial injury, such high-sensitivity troponin T (2,776 IU/L [712-7,853 IU/L] vs. 2,417 IU/L [641-5,915 IU/L]; p = 0.10) and creatine kinase MB isoenzyme (CK-MB; 43.0 IU/L [16.7-83.7 IU/L] vs. 40.5 IU/L [17.0-81.0 IU/L]; p = 0.66) were similar between the groups.

Patients aged  $\geq$  80 years more often required a temporary pace-maker (11.8% vs. 4.5%; p < 0.001) during hospitalization and also showed significantly higher rates of severe arrhythmias or aborted sudden death (20.3% vs. 7.2%; p < 0.001). Respiratory dysfunction requiring mechanical ventilation (18.6% vs. 7.3%; p < 0.001) and acute renal failure (11.0% vs. 3.5%; p < 0.001) were more prevalent in this group of patients.

The rates of combined major cardiovascular events and death at 30 days were statistically more frequent in the group aged  $\geq$  80 years (32.2% vs. 11.5% and 29.7% vs. 7.2%; p < 0.001). Complications such as stroke, reinfarction, and stent thrombosis were not different between the groups (Table 4).

## Discussion

The in-hospital evolution of patients aged  $\geq$  80 years was definitely less favorable in Brazil, with very high rates of mortality and complication. This result was observed regardless of the high rate of angiographic success.

Several registries have shown that advanced age is an independent factor for in-hospital mortality, together with hemodynamic instability, chronic kidney disease (CKD), diabetes, and multi-vessel disease. <sup>8-10</sup> This result can be even worse when an nonagenarian is compared with a octogenarian patient. <sup>11,12</sup>

## Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8676068

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8676068

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>