



Featured Article

Plasma amyloid levels within the Alzheimer's process and correlations with central biomarkers

Olivier Hanon^{a,b,*}, Jean-Sébastien Vidal^{a,b}, Sylvain Lehmann^c, Stéphanie Bombois^{d,e}, Bernadette Allinquant^f, Jean-Marc Tréluyer^g, Patrick Gele^{h,i}, Christine Delmaire^{d,e}, Frédéric Blanc^{j,k}, Jean-François Mangin^l, Luc Buée^{h,i}, Jacques Touchon^m, Jacques Hugon^{n,o}, Bruno Vellas^p, Evelyne Galbrun^q, Athanase Benetos^r, Gilles Berrut^s, Elèna Paillaud^t, David Wallon^{u,v}, Giovanni Castelnovo^w, Lisette Volpe-Gillot^x, Marc Paccalin^y, Philippe-Henri Robert^z, Olivier Godefroy^{aa}, Thierry Dantoine^{bb}, Vincent Camus^{cc,dd}, Joël Belmin^{ee,ff}, Pierre Vandell^{gg,hh}, Jean-Luc Novella^{ii,jj}, Emmanuelle Duron^{a,b}, Anne-Sophie Rigaud^{a,b}, Suzanna Schraen-Maschke^{h,i,l}, Audrey Gabelle^{m,l}, on behalf of the BALTAZAR study group

^aMemory Resource and Research Centre of de Paris-Broca-Ile de France, Hopital Broca, APHP, Paris, France

^bEA 4468, Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France

^cLaboratoire de Protéomique Clinique, Department of Biochemistry, Saint Eloi Hospital, IRMB, Inserm U1183, Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, France

^dMemory Resource and Research Centre of Lille, Department of Neurology, CHU de Lille, Lille, France

^eInserm U1171, Degenerative and Vascular Cognitive Disorders, Université Lille, Lille, France

^fInserm UMR_S 894, Université Paris Descartes, Paris, France

^gUnité de recherche Clinique, Hôpital Universitaire Necker-Enfants Malades, APHP, Université Paris Descartes, Paris, France

^hDepartment of Biology and Pathology, CHU de Lille, Lille, France

ⁱInserm UMRS 1172, Alzheimer & Tauopathies, University of Lille, Lille, France

^jMemory Resource and Research Centre of Strasbourg/Colmar, CHRU de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France

^kUniversity of Strasbourg and French National Centre for Scientific Research (CNRS), ICube Laboratory and Fédération de Médecine Translationnelle de Strasbourg (FMTS), Team Imagerie Multimodale Intégrative en Santé (IMIS)/Neurocrypt, Strasbourg, France

^lCATI Multicenter Neuroimaging Platform, Neurospin, CEA, cati-neuroimaging.com, Paris Saclay University, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

^mMemory Resource and Research Centre of Montpellier, Department of Neurology, CHU Gui de Chauliac, Université de Montpellier, Montpellier, France

ⁿMemory Resource and Research Centre of Paris Nord-Ile de France, Groupe Hospitalier Saint Louis-Lariboisière-Fernand Widal, APHP, Paris, France

^oUniversity of Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France

^pMemory Resource and Research Centre of Midi-Pyrénées, CHU La Grave-Casselardit, Toulouse, France

^qDepartment of Gérontologie 2, Centre Hospitalier Émile-Roux, AP-HP, Limeil-Brévannes, France

^rMemory Resource and Research Centre of Lorraine, CHU de Nancy, Nancy, France

^sMemory Research Resource Center of Nantes, CHU de Nantes, Nantes, France

^tDepartment of Internal Medicine and Geriatrics, Hôpital Henri-Mondor, APHP, Créteil, France

^uMemory Resource and Research Centre of Haute-Normandie, CHU Charles Nicolle, Rouen, France

^vInserm U1079, IRIB, Université de Rouen-Normandie, Rouen, France

^wNeurology Department, CHU de Nîmes, Nîmes, France

^xMemory Clinic, Hôpital Léopold Bellan, Paris, France

^yMemory Resource and Research Centre of Poitiers, CHU de Poitiers, Poitiers, France

^zMemory Research Resource Center of PACA Est, CHU de Nice, Nice, France

^{aa}Memory Resource and Research Centre of Amiens Picardie, CHU d'Amiens-Picardie, Amiens, France

^{bb}Memory Research Resource Center of Limoges, CHU de Limoges, Limoges, France

^{cc}Memory Resource and Research Centre of Tours, CHRU de Tours, Tours, France

^{dd}Inserm U930 Imagerie et Cerveau, Université François-Rabelais de Tours, Tours, France

^{ee}Service de Gériatrie à orientation Cardiologique et Neurologique, Hôpitaux Universitaires Pitie-Salpêtrière-Charles Foix, APHP, Paris, France

^{ff}DHU FAST, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Université Paris 06, Paris, France

The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

¹Codirected this work.

*Corresponding author. Tel.: ■■■■; Fax: ■■■■.

E-mail address:

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2018.01.004>

1552-5260/© 2018 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Alzheimer's Association. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

^{gg}Memory Resource and Research Centre of Besançon-Franche-Comté, CHU de Besançon, Besançon, France

^{hh}EA 481 Neuroscience, IFR 133, University of Bourgogne Franche-Comté, Besançon, France

ⁱⁱMemory Resource and Research Centre of Champagne Ardenne, CHU de Reims, Reims, France

^{jj}EA 3797, Université de Reims Champagne Ardenne, Reims, France

Q4 Abstract

Backgrounds: Diagnostic relevance of plasma amyloid β ($A\beta$) for Alzheimer's disease (AD) process yields conflicting results.

Objectives: To assess plasma levels of $A\beta_{42}$ and $A\beta_{40}$ in amnesic mild cognitive impairment (MCI), nonamnesic MCI, and AD patients and to investigate relationships between peripheral and central biomarkers.

Methods: One thousand forty participants (417 amnesic MCI, 122 nonamnesic MCI, and 501 AD) from the Biomarker of Amyloid peptide and Alzheimer's disease Risk multicenter prospective study with cognition, plasma, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), and magnetic resonance imaging assessments were included.

Results: Plasma $A\beta_{1-42}$ and $A\beta_{1-40}$ were lower in AD (36.9 [11.7] and 263 [80] pg/mL) than in amnesic MCI (38.2 [11.9] and 269 [68] pg/mL) than in nonamnesic MCI (39.7 [10.5] and 272 [52] pg/mL), respectively ($P = .01$ for overall difference between groups for $A\beta_{1-42}$ and $P = .04$ for $A\beta_{1-40}$). Globally, plasma $A\beta_{1-42}$ correlated with age, Mini-Mental State Examination, and APOE $\epsilon 4$ allele. Plasma $A\beta_{1-42}$ correlated with all CSF biomarkers in MCI but only with CSF $A\beta_{42}$ in AD.

Conclusion: Plasma $A\beta$ was associated with cognitive status and CSF biomarkers, suggesting the interest of plasma amyloid biomarkers for diagnosis purpose.

© 2018 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Alzheimer's Association. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Keywords:

Alzheimer's disease; Mild cognitive impairment; CSF biomarkers; Amyloid β peptides; Plasma biomarkers; Dementia; Cohort study

1. Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is preceded by a very long presymptomatic or prodromal phase. Biomarkers able to detect the in vivo changes of the amyloid β ($A\beta$) peptides, and tau proteins improve diagnosis and detection of at-AD-risk subjects. Among these biomarkers, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) $A\beta_{42}$, tau, phosphorylated tau (p-Tau), and cortical amyloid-positron emission tomography (PET) scans have been included in the International Guidelines for AD diagnosis in clinical research settings [1]. CSF $A\beta_{42}$ is reduced at least 15 years before symptoms' onset [2], and the relevance of CSF amyloid has been confirmed for positive [3], differential [4], and early phases (mild cognitive impairment [MCI] and prodromal AD) [5]. A strong correlation is observed between CSF $A\beta_{42}$ and cortical amyloid-PET in AD. Lumbar puncture remains an invasive technique, and amyloid-PET is still expensive and not a screening tool. This highlighted the need of less invasive and expensive biomarkers that could predict central amyloid status. The most promising biomarker seems to be the plasma $A\beta_{42}$, although previous studies have controversial results. Elderly nondemented participants with a higher plasma $A\beta_{42}$ level and a lower plasma $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$ ratio at baseline have an increased risk of dementia [6]. A decrease of plasma $A\beta_{42}$ level is associated with the progression from controls and MCI to AD [7]. Others studies yield conflicting results regarding the predictive value of plasma $A\beta_{42}$ for AD diagnosis and for cognitive decline

in at-AD-risk population [4,6,8–19]; meanwhile, the combination of plasma $A\beta_{42}$ levels and others biomarkers might improve the predictive value [14,20]. Recently, innovative technique allows the quantification of amyloid in plasma with complex proteomics techniques, so far hardly implementable in routine [21]. Although discrepancies between studies can be explained by many factors, the missing link remains the understanding of the relationship between peripheral and central biomarkers at early stage of the disease.

Therefore, the aim of this study was (1) to assess the plasma levels of amyloid $A\beta_{1-42}$ and $A\beta_{1-40}$ in a large clinically based cohort of amnesic MCI (aMCI), nonamnesic MCI (naMCI), and AD patients and (2) to investigate the relationships between plasma $A\beta_{1-42}$, $A\beta_{1-40}$, CSF, and brain imaging biomarkers in a large prospective cohort taking into account potential confounding factors.

2. Methods

2.1. Study population

BALTAZAR (Biomarker of Amyloid peptide and Alzheimer's disease Risk) is a multicenter (23 memory centers) prospective cohort study ([ClinicalTrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT01315639) Identifier #NCT01315639) including participants with MCI or AD at baseline from September 2010 to April 2015 and with an ongoing 3-year follow-up. All participants were Caucasian, community-dwellers and had caregivers. Inclusion criteria

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8679892>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8679892>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)