ARTICLE IN PRESS



Alzheimer's وجع Dementia

Alzheimer's & Dementia (2018) 1-12

Review Article

Synaptic vesicle cycle and amyloid β : Biting the hand that feeds

Saak V. Ovsepian^{a,b,c,*}, Valerie B. O'Leary^d, Laszlo Zaborszky^e, Vasilis Ntziachristos^{a,b}, Oliver J. Dolly^c

^aInstitute for Biological and Medical Imaging, Helmholtz Zentrum Munich, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany ^bMunich School of Bioengineering, Technical University Munich, Munich, Germany

^cInternational Centre for Neurotherapeutics, Dublin City University, Dublin, Ireland

^dInstitute of Radiation Biology, Helmholtz Zentrum Munich, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany

^eCenter for Molecular and Behavioral Neuroscience, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Newark, NJ, USA

The synaptic vesicle cycle (SVC) holds center stage in the biology of presynaptic terminals. Through recurrent exocytosis and endocytosis, it facilitates a sequence of events enabling chemical neurotransmission between functionally related neurons. As a fundamental process that links the interior of nerve cells with their environment, the SVC is also critical for signaling and provides an entry route for a range of pathogens and toxins, enabling detrimental effects. In Alzheimer's disease, the SVC is both the prime site of amyloid β production and toxicity. In this study, we discuss the emerging evidence for physiological and pathological effects of $A\beta$ on various stages of the SVC, from postfusion membrane recovery to trafficking, docking, and priming of vesicles for fusion and transmitter release. Understanding of the mechanisms of A β interaction with the SVC within the unifying calcium hypothesis of aging and Alzheimer's disease should further elucidate the fundamental biology of the presynaptic terminal and reveal novel therapeutic targets for Alzheimer's disease and other age-related dementias. © 2018 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Alzheimer's Association.

02 Keywords:

Abstract

Amyloid β ; Presynaptic terminal; SNARE complex; Exocytosis; Transmitter release; Neuromodulation

3

1. Alzheimer's disease as a synaptic pathology

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a chronic neurodegenerative brain disorder and the most common cause of dementia in the elderly. Progressive depositions of amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles together with degenera-tion of neurons and synapses in selected brain areas are the most recognized histopathological features of the disease. From histochemical and functional studies, it emerges that the extent of synaptic loss in AD correlates closely with cognitive decline and memory deficit, with dysregula-tions of neuronal calcium and subtle impairments in synaptic function detectable from early preclinical stages, before the emergence of plaques and neurofibrillary tangles

*Corresponding author. Tel.: 58 Q1 E-mail address: saak.ovsepian@gmail.com

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2018.01.011

1552-5260/© 2018 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Alzheimer's Association.

[4,5,60,133]. In the cerebral cortex, a 25%-35% decrease in synaptic connections has been reported within the first 2-3 years of clinical AD, while in the hippocampus these numbers exceed 50% [5,28]. Elucidating the mechanisms of synaptic impairments, thus, are of special interest for a better understanding of AD pathobiology and early therapeutic intervention, before slowing down the onset of irreparable damage with synaptic loss and cognitive decline [27,46].

According to the amyloid hypothesis of AD [45,47], synaptic impairments are triggered by a pathological increase in the amyloid β (A β) level in the brain, with soluble oligometrs of A β 42 known to be especially detrimental. Among the best-characterized negative effects of A β , the dysregulation of Ca²⁺ homeostasis and disruption of the fine balance between a wide range of kinases and phosphatases are of special relevance to the synaptic deficit and altered neuronal excitability [8,12,43]. Most reports of

2

129 the synaptic effects of $A\beta$ have been focusing on the 130₀₃ postsynaptic side, with impairments of NMDA [126], me-131 tabotropic GluR5 [109], and M1 muscarinic cholinergic 132 [37] receptors as well as deregulation of insulin and insulin 133 growth factors [77], ephrin [24] and neurotrophin signaling 134 135 [26,90]. The stimulation of Fyn kinase downstream of 136_{Q4} NMDAR and PrP activation appears to hold centre stage in 137 the postsynaptic toxicity of A β , causing collapse of 138 dendritic spines and synaptic degeneration [21,137]. 139 Misplacement of microtubule-associated tau protein from 140 141 axon to dendrites also contributes toward postsynaptic defi-142 cits with loss of dendritic spines, leading to degeneration of 143 synaptic connections [50,149]. Reports also suggest a key 144 role for GSK3 β , CDK5, and other kinases in postsynaptic 145**Q5** pathology of AD [25,86,114]. 146

147 The presynaptic facets of AD, in the meantime, remain 148 poorly elucidated, despite mounting evidence implying 149 axon terminals as the prime site for $A\beta$ production and the 150 starting point of synaptic pathology [89,120]. Results of 151 human and animal AD model studies demonstrate 152 153 considerable changes in the expression and functions of 154 presynaptic proteins, attributed in parts to direct effects of 155 A β on the synaptic vesicle cycle (SVC) (Box 1). In this 156 study, we present a detailed account of $A\beta$ interference 157 with different stages of SVC and transmitter release. Dis-158 159 cussed herein, A β -related changes in presynaptic biology 160 suggest a considerable overlap between the physiological 161 and pathological effects of $A\beta$, unveiling numerous previ-162 ously unrecognized challenges and therapeutic opportu-163 nities. 164 165

$\frac{166}{167}$ 2. Modulation of presynaptic functions by A β

168 Discovery of the positive correlation between the cogni-169 tive decline and synaptic loss associated with AD [30,133] 170 171 prompted penetrating research into the effects of $A\beta$ on 172 synaptic mechanisms [97,101]. Until recently, the general 173 consensus was that at high dose, both, natural and 174 synthetic A β oligomers suppress synaptic transmission and 175 plasticity [39,71,121,122]. These effects mostly induced 176 177 under experimental settings by application of exogenous 178 A β have been ascribed in part to disruption of SVC and 179 related changes in presynaptic release [57,59]. In extreme 180 cases, over 50% reduction in the frequency of miniature 181 excitatory postsynaptic currents has been observed in brain 182 183 slices upon acute exposure to $A\beta$ oligomers, implying a 184 potent presynaptic site of action [121]. More recently, the 185 focus has shifted on the effects of endogenous $A\beta$, with 186 several reports demonstrating that both, the production and 187 secretion of A β into the extracellular space is tightly 188 189 controlled by neuronal activity (Box 1). Within the intact 190 brain, strong association between A β secretion and synaptic 191 functions has been observed during pathological events, 192 such as epileptiform activity induced by electrical stimula-193 tion [23] and under certain type of physiological activity 194 195 of brain circuits [53]. Such effects of $A\beta$ were considered as part of a feedback loop that controls local and global neuronal excitability and circuit dynamics.

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208 209

210

211

212

213

214 215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

Detailed analysis of the dose dependence of $A\beta$ effects revealed that at low amounts, $A\beta$ can also act as a positive regulator of presynaptic activity, enhancing the neurotransmitter release probability and increasing the neuronal excitability [2]. The facilitator effects of low $A\beta$ dose on excitatory transmission does not involve postsynaptic NMDAR and AMPAR currents, but has shown dependence Q6 on activation of α 7-nicotinic acetylcholine receptor, in agreement with the presynaptic action site [81,103,104]. From these studies, it emerges that the directionality of $A\beta$ effects in addition to the dose also depends on the site of action. While in the first instance, the presynaptic modulator effects of exogenous AB42 on transmitter release were thought to be mediated only via stimulation of presynaptic a7-nicotinic acetylcholine receptor and downstream changes in the presynaptic calcium [32,139], other mechanisms underlying the presynaptic effects have been subsequently also considered. In terms of the action mode, it is important to note that both local autocrine and long-range paracrine action of A β on synaptic transmission have been documented, with potent effects on the strength of synaptic transmission and on the density of synaptic connections described [52,135,139] (Fig. 1A and B).

Soluble $A\beta$ is present in the healthy brain, with its physiological levels in rodents estimated to be within the picomolar range [104,117]. In healthy humans, the concentrations of A β 40 and A β 42 in the cerebrospinal fluid are ~1.5 and ~ 2.0 nM, respectively [38]. It is noteworthy that while the level of A β in the cerebrospinal fluid of preclinical AD exceeds that of physiological, with the emergence of amyloid plaques and a cognitive deficit of clinical AD, the concentration of A β in the CFS declines [14,38,140]. The impact of 07 such slow changes in endogenous $A\beta$ levels on synaptic transmission in the human brain remains to be shown. Evidence from amyloid precursor protein (APP)-KO [118], 08 PS1-KO [116], or BACE1-KO mice [68] lacking endogenous A β shows that both synaptic transmission and plasticity are notably reduced. Likewise, pharmacological inhibition of BACE1 caused a reduction in dendritic spine formation and synaptic plasticity in the cerebral cortex and hippocampus [36]. These findings agree with the positive effects of thiorphan (inhibitor of A β degradation) on the frequency of miniature excitatory postsynaptic current in mouse brain slices [2] (Fig. 1C and D). While in all these reports, the presynaptic effects of $A\beta$ are viewed as a result of activation of surface receptors, the direct influence of intracellular A β 42 oligometrs injected into axon terminals, causing a blockade of synaptic transmission, has also been also documented [79] (Fig. 1E and F). Unchanged presynaptic Ca^{2+} currents and reduction in the size of the docked synaptic vesicle pool imply direct negative effects of intracellular A β with the SVC. As discussed in the following sections, behind these effects underlie A β action upon all major steps of the SVC, from postfusion membrane recovery to synaptic

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8679976

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8679976

Daneshyari.com