

Alzheimer's کئ Dementia

Alzheimer's & Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment & Disease Monitoring 🔳 (2017) 1-4

Neuroimaging

Familiarity deficits in cognitively normal aging individuals with APOE ε4: A follow-up investigation of medial temporal lobe structural correlates

Dorothee Schoemaker^{a,b,*}, Judes Poirier^b, D. Louis Collins^c, Serge Gauthier^a, Jens C. Pruessner^{a,1}

^aMcGill Centre for Studies in Aging, McGill University, Verdun, Quebec, Canada ^bDouglas Mental Health University Institute Research Centre, Verdun, Quebec, Canada ^cMcConnell Brain Imaging Centre, Montreal Neurological Institute, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Abstract	Introduction: The apolipoprotein E $\varepsilon 4$ (<i>APOE</i> $\varepsilon 4$) allele is a well-documented risk factor for Alz-						
	heimer's disease (AD). Accordingly, aging individuals carrying one or more $\varepsilon 4$ alleles are at consid-						
	erably greater risk of developing AD over time. In an effort to characterize early cognitive						
	manifestations of AD, we previously outlined selective deficits in familiarity-based recognition in						
	otherwise asymptomatic carriers of the APOE ɛ4 allele (Schoemaker et al., 2016). In this follow-						
	up report, we aimed to explore the neural correlates of this selective cognitive impairment.						
	Methods: For this purpose, within the same population and using high-resolution structural neuro-						
	imaging, we explored relationships between volumes of the hippocampus, entorhinal, and perirhinal						
	cortices and performance in recollection and familiarity.						
	Results: Overall, our results revealed significant positive relationships between familiarity performance and volumes of the perirhinal and entorhinal cortices in aging individuals with $APOE \ \epsilon 4$. In						
	APOE ε 4 carriers, a positive correlation between recollection performance and hippocampal volume was also found. In contrast, no correlation reached statistical significance in the group of noncarriers.						
	Conclusion: These findings suggest that familiarity performance might be a useful marker of the						
	integrity of the rhinal cortex, especially in populations at risk of AD.						
	© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Alzheimer's Association. This is an						
	open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/ 4.0/).						
Keywords:	Apolipoprotein E; Aging; Alzheimer's disease; Cognition; Familiarity; Recollection; Structural neuroimaging;						

-

Apolipoprotein E; Aging; Alzheimer's disease; Cognition; Familiarity; Recollection; Structural neuroimaging; Hippocampus; Entorhinal cortex; Perirhinal cortex

1. Introduction

According to dual-process theories, recollection and familiarity are two distinct processes contributing to recognition performance [1]. Studies investigating the neural correlates of recollection and familiarity have revealed a functional dissociation of these two processes within medial temporal lobe structures. More precisely, as recollection has been linked with hippocampal integrity, evidence suggests

*Corresponding author. Tel.: 514-766-2010; Fax: 514-888-4050. E-mail address: dorothee.schoemaker@mail.mcgill.ca that familiarity is associated with the integrity of the entorhinal cortex and/or perirhinal cortex [2,3]. Interestingly, these areas are the very first to be affected by neurofibrillary tangles in the course of Alzheimer's disease (AD) [4]. Thus, familiarity impairments could represent one of the earliest cognitive manifestations of this disease. In accordance with this hypothesis, we recently outlined a selective impairment in familiarity in cognitively normal aging individuals carrying the apolipoprotein E ε 4 allele (*APOE* ε 4), a significant risk for the development of AD [5]. In this follow-up investigation, and within the same population, we now aimed to explore the medial temporal lobe structural correlates of this selective impairment.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dadm.2017.05.008

2352-8729/ © 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Alzheimer's Association. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

¹Current address: Department of Psychology, Konstanz University, Universitätsstr-10, 78464 Konstanz, Baden-Württemberg, Germany.

2. Methods

A total of 21 *APOE* ε 4 carriers and 60 noncarriers aged 55 to 80 years (mean 64.76 years; standard deviation 6.42) participated in this study. Both groups were similar in age, education, and gender representation. Participants underwent a comprehensive cognitive evaluation and completed a computerized task to assess their recollection and familiarity performance. Evaluation procedures as well as demographic and cognitive characteristics of both groups have been previously described in greater detail [5].

For each participant, a T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (3D MP-RAGE) scan was acquired on a 3T Siemens Tim Trio scanner (Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany), with a 32-channel head coil. Before segmentation, neuroanatomical images were denoised [6], corrected for nonuniformity [7], and linearly registered to Montreal Neurological Institute standard space [8]. The volumes of the hippocampal, entorhinal, and perirhinal cortices were then automatically segmented using a previously described technique [9,10] and manually corrected based on published segmentation guidelines [11,12]. Volumes obtained in the standard space were transformed to the native space, using scaling factors derived from the linear registration. Finally, to account for variations in head size, all volumes were normalized for total intracranial volume ([raw volume/total intracranial volume] $\times 10^3$).

Difference in normalized structural volumes between *APOE* ε 4 carriers and noncarriers were assessed using a one-way analysis of variance. Pearson correlations were computed between the combined left and right hemispheric normalized volumes of each segmented structure and performance on the recollection/familiarity task. Correlation analyses were carried using the full sample as well as separately for the group *APOE* ε 4 carriers and noncarriers. Owing to the small sample size of the ε 4 carriers' group and the preliminary nature of research hypotheses, we did not correct for multiple comparisons and reported correlations with a *P* value of <.05 as significant.

3. Results

As previously reported, the familiarity rate was significantly reduced in *APOE* ε 4 carriers, as compared with noncarriers [F(1, 79) = 7.80; P = .007] [5]. When estimates of familiarity were computed to account for the process independence assumption [13], the group difference in familiarity remained significant [F(1, 79) = 3.99; P < .05] [14]. There was no significant group difference with regards to the hit rate, the recollection rate or the false alarm rate. Furthermore, no other cognitive measure was significantly reduced in *APOE* ε 4 carriers.

There was no significant difference in the total normalized volumes between *APOE* ε 4 carriers and noncarriers for the hippocampus [F(1, 79) = 1.02; P > .05], the perirhinal cortex [F(1, 79) = 0.35; P > .05], and the entorhinal cortex [F(1, 79) = 0.45; P > .05].

In the full sample, results revealed a significant positive correlation between the recollection rate and the total normalized hippocampal volume (r = 0.26; P < .05). No other correlation reached statistical significance. When considering the two groups separately, no correlations reached statistical significance in the group of APOE E4 noncarriers. In contrast, in APOE ɛ4 carriers, significant positive correlations were found between the total normalized hippocampal volume and the recollection rate (r = 0.49; P < .05). Both the familiarity rate (r = 0.61; P < .01) and the familiarity estimate (r = 0.54; P < .05) derived from Jacoby's formulas (1991) were positively and significantly associated with the total normalized perirhinal cortex volume. A significant correlation was also noted between the familiarity rate and the total normalized entorhinal cortex volume (r = 0.46; P < .05); however, the correlation between the total normalized entorhinal cortex volume and the familiarity estimate derived from Jacoby's formulas was only of marginal significance (r = 0.42; P = .06). Detailed results of these correlational analyses are presented in Table 1 and illustrated in Fig. 1.

Table 1

Correlations between recollection and familiarity performance and normalized volumes of medial temporal lobe structures

	Full sample $(N = 81)$			APOE $\varepsilon 4$ noncarriers ($N = 60$)			APOE $\varepsilon 4$ carriers ($N = 21$)		
Volumes	Recollection rate	Familiarity rate	Familiarity estimate	Recollection rate	Familiarity rate	Familiarity estimate	Recollection rate	Familiarity rate	Familiarity estimate
Normalized HP volume Normalized PC volume Normalized EC volume	0.26* 0.16 0.15	-0.01 0.02 0.01	0.10 0.12 0.11	0.18 0.18 0.19	-0.05 -0.14 -0.13	0.04 - 0.03 - 0.01	0.49* 0.12 0.10	$-0.05 \\ 0.61^{\dagger} \\ 0.46^{*}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.19 \\ 0.54^{\dagger} \\ 0.42^{\ddagger} \end{array}$
Normalized PC volume Normalized EC volume	0.16 0.15	0.02 0.01	0.12 0.11	0.18 0.19	-0.14 -0.13	$-0.03 \\ -0.01$	0.12 0.10	$0.61^{\dagger} \\ 0.46^{*}$	(

Abbreviations: APOE £4, apolipoprotein E £4; EC, entorhinal cortex; HP, hippocampus; PC, perirhinal cortex.

NOTE. Summary of correlational analyses between performance in recollection and familiarity and normalized volumes of medial temporal lobe regions of interest. Analyses are presented for the full sample, as well as for *APOE* ε 4 carriers and noncarriers separately. *N* is the sample size of each group. Recollection rate is the proportion of recognition responses based on recollection. Familiarity rate is the proportion of recognition responses based on familiarity. Familiarity rate is the proportion of recognition responses based on familiarity. Familiarity estimate derived from Jacoby's formulas (1991) to account for the process independence assumption. Total normalized volume represents the combined volume of the left and right hemispheres normalized for total intracranial volume.

*Significant correlation as per an $\alpha = 0.05$ threshold.

[†]Significant correlation as per an $\alpha = 0.01$ threshold.

[‡]Correlation of marginal significance as per an $\alpha = 0.10$ threshold.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8680314

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8680314

Daneshyari.com