



Featured Article

The Alzheimer's Prevention Initiative Autosomal-Dominant Alzheimer's Disease Trial: A study of crenezumab versus placebo in preclinical *PSEN1* E280A mutation carriers to evaluate efficacy and safety in the treatment of autosomal-dominant Alzheimer's disease, including a placebo-treated noncarrier cohort

Pierre N. Tariot^{a,*}, Francisco Lopera^b, Jessica B. Langbaum^a, Ronald G. Thomas^c, Suzanne Hendrix^d, Lon S. Schneider^e, Silvia Rios-Romenets^b, Margarita Giraldo^b, Natalia Acosta^b, Carlos Tobon^b, Claudia Ramos^b, Alejandro Espinosa^b, William Cho^f, Michael Ward^f, David Clayton^f, Michael Friesenhahn^f, Howard Mackey^f, Lee Honigberg^f, Sandra Sanabria Bohorquez^f, Kewei Chen^a, Trisha Walsh^a, Carolyn Langlois^a, Eric M. Reiman^a, on behalf of the Alzheimer's Prevention Initiative

^aBanner Alzheimer's Institute, Phoenix, AZ, USA

^bGrupo de Neurociencias, Universidad de Antioquia, SIU, Medellín, Colombia

^cDepartment of Neurosciences, University of California San Diego School of Medicine, La Jolla, CA, USA

^dPentara Corporation, Salt Lake City, UT, USA

^eUSC State of California Alzheimer's Disease Research and Clinical Center, Keck Medicine of USC, Los Angeles, CA, USA

^fGenentech, a Member of the Roche Group, South San Francisco, CA, USA

Abstract

Introduction: Autosomal-dominant Alzheimer's disease (ADAD) represents a crucial population for identifying prevention strategies that might modify disease course for cognitively unimpaired individuals at high imminent risk for developing symptoms due to Alzheimer's disease (AD), that is,

Conflicts of interest: Dr. Tariot has received consulting fees from Acadia, Abbott Laboratories, AbbVie, AC Immune, Auspex, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chase Pharmaceuticals, Eisai, GliaCure, Insys Therapeutics, and Pfizer. He has received consulting fees and research support from AstraZeneca, Avanir, Eli Lilly, Lundbeck, Merck & Co., Inc., Roche, and research support only from Amgen, Avid, Biogen, Elan, Functional Neuromodulation (f[nm]), GE Healthcare, Genentech, Novartis, and Targacept. Dr. Tariot has received other research support from the National Institute on Aging and Arizona Department of Health Services and holds stock options in ADAMAS. Drs. Lopera, Rios-Romenets, Giraldo, Acosta, Tobon, Ramos, and Espinosa report participation in other projects financed by the National Institutes of Health, Comité para el Desarrollo de la Investigación, and COLCIENCIAS. Dr. Langbaum has received consulting fees from Biogen and Lilly. Dr. Thomas has received consulting fees from Toyama, Avraham, and Intel-Genx. He has received research support from the National Institute on Aging. Within 3 years of the beginning of this work, Dr. Schneider has received grant and research support from Baxter, Biogen, Genentech, Johnson & Johnson, Eli Lilly, Lundbeck, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Tau Rx, the State of California, and the National Institutes of Health. Within 3 years of the beginning of the work, he has served as a consultant for and received consul-

ting fees from Abbvie, AC Immune, Accera, Allergan, Allon, AstraZeneca, Avraham, Baxter, Biogen Idec, Biotie, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Cerespir, Chiesi, Cognition, Corium, Eli Lilly, Forum, General Electric, GlaxoSmithKline, Insys, Johnson & Johnson, Lundbeck, MedAvante, Merck, Neurim, Novartis, Piramal, Pfizer, Roche/Genentech, Ste-medica, Takeda, Tau Rx, Toyama (FujiFilm), vTv, and Zinfandel. Drs. Cho, Ward, Clayton, Mackey, Honigberg, and Sanabri Bohorquez and Mr. Friesenhahn are all full-time employees of Genentech, Inc., a member of the Roche Group. Drs. Cho, Ward, and Honigberg own stock in Roche. Drs. Cho and Ward and Mr. Friesenhahn are inventors on a crenezumab patent. Ms. Walsh and Ms. Langlois report no conflicts. Dr. Reiman has received consulting fees from Alkahest, Alzheon, Biogen, Denali, Pfizer, United Neuroscience, and Zinfandel Pharma. He received research support from Avid/Lilly, Genentech/Roche, and Novartis/Amgen, the National Institute on Aging, the National Institute of Neurologic Disorders, Banner Alzheimer's Foundation, Alzheimer's Association, GHR Foundation, FBRI, NOMIS Foundation, Flinn Foundation, and the State of Arizona.

*Corresponding author. Tel.: ■■■■; Fax: ■■■■.

E-mail address: Pierre.Tariot@bannerhealth.com

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trci.2018.02.002>

2352-8737/© 2018 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Alzheimer's Association. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

who have “preclinical” AD. Crenezumab is an anti-amyloid monoclonal antibody that binds monomeric and aggregated forms of amyloid β , with highest affinity for oligomers; it is in development for early stages of sporadic AD and for ADAD.

Methods: This is a prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 2 study of the efficacy of crenezumab versus placebo in asymptomatic *PSEN1* E280A mutation carriers from family kindreds with ADAD in Colombia. Participants were randomized to receive either crenezumab or placebo for 260 weeks. The study was designed to enroll a planned total of 300 participants, including 200 preclinical mutation carriers (approximately 100 treatment, 100 placebo) and an additional control group of mutation noncarriers from the same family kindreds included to mask mutation carrier status (100 placebo only). The primary outcome is change in the Alzheimer's Prevention Initiative ADAD Composite Cognitive Test Score from baseline to week 260. Secondary outcomes include time to progression to mild cognitive impairment due to AD or dementia due to AD; changes in dementia severity, memory, and overall neurocognitive functioning; and changes in amyloid–positron emission tomography, fluorodeoxyglucose–positron emission tomography, magnetic resonance imaging volumes, and cerebrospinal fluid levels of β amyloid, tau, and p-tau. Safety and tolerability are assessed.

Results: Two hundred fifty-two participants were enrolled between December 2013 and February 2017.

Discussion: We describe the first large-scale, potentially label-enabling clinical trial of a preclinical treatment for ADAD. Results from this trial will inform on the efficacy of crenezumab for delaying onset of, slowing decline in, or preventing cognitive impairment in individuals with preclinical ADAD and will foster an improved understanding of AD biomarkers and their relationship to clinical outcomes.

© 2018 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Alzheimer's Association. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease; Autosomal-dominant Alzheimer's disease; Preclinical Alzheimer's disease; Prevention; Clinical trial; Crenezumab; Alzheimer's Prevention Initiative

1. Introduction

1.1. The Alzheimer's Prevention Initiative

In 2010, Banner Alzheimer's Institute established the Alzheimer's Prevention Initiative (API) to (1) evaluate potential Alzheimer's disease (AD)–modifying treatments in cognitively unimpaired people who are at high risk for symptoms of AD; (2) develop new cognitive outcomes; (3) assess whether biomarker effects correlate with clinical benefit (“theragnostic” utility, i.e., the treatment's biomarker effects are “reasonably likely to predict a clinical benefit,” a criterion that regulatory agencies consider when asked to qualify a biomarker as a surrogate end point), whether baseline biomarkers are associated with treatment effects (“predictive” utility), and whether baseline biomarkers predict clinical course (“prognostic” utility); (4) help establish the regulatory approval pathway needed for “preclinical” AD treatments; (5) provide improved tests of the amyloid hypothesis than clinical trials in clinical or later preclinical (e.g., amyloid-positive only) stages of AD; (6) provide prevention registries as shared resources; and (7) establish data and sample sharing plans to advance the field. This is the first of a series of API trials designed to systematically address each of these aims in addition to trial-specific aims.

1.2. AD and the amyloid hypothesis

AD is the most common form of disabling cognitive impairment in older people and has a devastating social impact

[1,2]. Postulated elements of the pathogenic cascade include accumulation of amyloid β ($A\beta$) peptides in monomeric, oligomeric, and fibrillar $A\beta$ species; aggregation and phosphorylation of tau; neuroinflammation; synaptic dysfunction; and neuronal loss. Accumulation of soluble $A\beta_{42}$ oligomers and/or $A\beta_{42}$ fibrils may play a critical, early role in the development of AD [3].

1.3. Autosomal-dominant Alzheimer's disease

Autosomal-dominant Alzheimer's disease (ADAD) accounts for 1%–2% of all AD cases [4]. Mutations of the presenilin1 (*PSEN1*), presenilin2 (*PSEN2*), and amyloid precursor protein (*APP*) genes are inherited as fully penetrant, autosomal-dominant traits typically resulting in AD symptoms by age 65 years [4,5]. Although there are genetic and biological differences between ADAD and sporadic AD, they have similar neuropathological and clinical features. Sporadic AD has been associated with reduced $A\beta_{42}$ clearance and ADAD with increased $A\beta_{42}$ production; however, the biochemical consequences are similar, with brain accumulation of $A\beta$ playing an early role. Both forms of the disease might respond to treatments affecting $A\beta$ [6].

1.4. Rationale for preclinical AD trials in ADAD

Treatments targeting this pathogenic cascade include those interfering with production, accumulation, or toxic

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8680467>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8680467>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)