Accepted Manuscript

Insight and inference for DVARS

Soroosh Afyouni, Thomas E. Nichols

PII: \$1053-8119(17)31122-9

DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.12.098

Reference: YNIMG 14613

To appear in: Neurolmage

Received Date: 10 December 2017
Revised Date: 24 December 2017
Accepted Date: 30 December 2017



Please cite this article as: Afyouni, S., Nichols, T.E., Insight and inference for DVARS, *NeuroImage* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.12.098.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Insight and Inference for DVARS

Soroosh Afyouni^{a,b,c}, Thomas E. Nichols^{a,d,*}

^aOxford Big Data Institute, Li Ka Shing Centre for Health Information and Discovery, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX3 7LF, UK
^bInstitute for Advanced Studies, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK
^cInstitute for Digital Healthcare, WMG, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK
^dWellcome Centre for Integrative Neuroimaging, FMRIB, Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Oxford, OX3 7LF, UK

Abstract

Estimates of functional connectivity using resting state functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (rs-fMRI) are acutely sensitive to artifacts and large scale nuisance variation. As a result much effort is dedicated to preprocessing rs-fMRI data and using diagnostic measures to identify bad scans. One such diagnostic measure is DVARS, the spatial root mean square of the data after temporal differencing. A limitation of DVARS however is the lack of concrete interpretation of the absolute values of DVARS, and finding a threshold to distinguish bad scans from good. In this work we describe a sum of squares decomposition of the entire 4D dataset that shows DVARS to be just one of three sources of variation we refer to as D-var (closely linked to DVARS), S-var and E-var. D-var and S-var partition the sum of squares at adjacent time points, while E-var accounts for edge effects; each can be used to make spatial and temporal summary diagnostic measures. Extending the partitioning to global (and non-global) signal leads to a rs-fMRI DSE table, which decomposes the total and global variability into fast (D-var), slow (S-var) and edge (E-var)components. We find expected values for each component under nominal models, showing how D-var (and thus DVARS) scales with overall variability and is diminished by temporal autocorrelation. Finally we propose a null sampling distribution for DVARS-squared and robust methods to estimate this null model, allowing computation of DVARS p-values. We propose that these diagnostic time series, images, p-values and DSE table will provide a succinct summary of the quality of a rs-fMRI dataset that will support comparisons of datasets over preprocessing steps and between subjects.

Keywords: DVARS, Mean Square of Successive Differences, Autocorrelation, sum of squares Decomposition, time series, fMRI, Resting-State

 $Email\ addresses: \verb|soroosh.afyouni| @bdi.ox.ac.uk| (Soroosh\ Afyouni), \verb|thomas.nichols@bdi.ox.ac.uk| (Thomas\ E.\ Nichols) |$

^{*}Corresponding author

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8687025

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8687025

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>