

Advancing Continuous Predictive Analytics Monitoring

Moving from Implementation to Clinical Action in a Learning Health System

Jessica Keim-Malpass, PhD, RN^{a,b,*}, Rebecca R. Kitzmiller, PhD, MHR, RN, BC^C, Angela Skeeles-Worley, MEd^d, Curt Lindberg, DMan^e, Matthew T. Clark, PhD^{f,1}, Robert Tai, EdD^d, James Forrest Calland, MD^b, Kevin Sullivan, PhD⁹, J. Randall Moorman, MD^{b,f}, Ruth A. Anderson, PhD, RN^C

KEYWORDS

- Predictive analytics monitoring
 Implementation science
- Stakeholder driven design Learning health system Streaming design

Disclosure Statement: J. Keim-Malpass was supported through a grant from the University of Virginia Translational Health Research Institute of Virginia (THRIV) Scholars award. R. Kitzmiller was supported by the National Center for Translational Sciences and National Institutes of Health (NIH) through grant KL2TR001109. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent official views of the NIH. C. Lindberg, R. Anderson, and R. Kitzmiller are supported by MITRE funding agreements 19140 and 11348 (accelerating staff engagement in predictive monitoring development, implementation, and use). The MITRE Corporation operates the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) Alliance to Modernize Healthcare (CAMH), a federally funded research and development center dedicated to strengthening the nation's health care system. The MITRE Corporation operates CAMH in partnership with the CMS and the Department of Health and Human Services.

Conflicts of Interest: Drs J.R. Moorman and M.T. Clark have equity in, and are officers of, the Advanced Medical Predictive Devices, Diagnostics, and Displays in Charlottesville, VA, USA (AMP3D). Dr M.T Clark is employed by AMP3D.

^a Department of Acute and Specialty Care, School of Nursing, University of Virginia, PO Box 800782, Charlottesville, VA 22908, USA; ^b Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, University of Virginia, 1215 Lee Street, Charlottesville, VA 22908, USA; ^c School of Nursing, University of North Carolina, Carrington Hall, South Columbia Street, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA; ^d School of Education, University of Virginia, 405 Emmet Street South, Charlottesville, VA 22903, USA; ^e Billings Clinic, 801 North 29th Street, Billings, MT 59101, USA; ^f Advanced Medical Predictive Devices, Diagnostics, Displays, Charlottesville, VA 22903, USA; ^g Department of Computer Science, School of Engineering, University of Virginia, Engineer's Way, Charlottesville, VA 22903, USA

¹ 1215 Lee Street, Charlottesville, VA 22908.

* Corresponding author. University of Virginia School of Nursing, PO Box 800782, Charlottesville, VA 22908.

E-mail address: Jlk2t@virginia.edu

Crit Care Nurs Clin N Am 30 (2018) 273–287 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnc.2018.02.009 0899-5885/18/© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

ccnursing.theclinics.com

KEY POINTS

- Continuous predictive analytics monitoring synthesizes data from a variety of inputs into a risk estimate that clinicians can observe in a streaming environment.
- For continuous predictive analytics monitoring to be useful, clinicians must engage with the data in a way that makes sense for their clinical workflow in the context of a learning health system (LHS).
- Clinicians described the processes needed to move to clinical action through the following themes: (1) understand the science behind the algorithm, (2) trust the data inputs, (3) integrate with the electronic medical record, and (4) optimize clinical pathways.
- Larger prospective studies are needed to evaluate the relationship between continuous predictive analytics monitoring and clinical action from the lens of LHS and implementation science perspectives.

INTRODUCTION

Intensive care unit (ICU) patients are at the highest level of acuity where they are vulnerable to potentially catastrophic clinical events or complications during the course of their stay.¹ The financial, societal, and human burdens of intensive care are growing² and there has been a steady increase in the amount of data inputs received from patients in the ICU. In the ICU, point-of-care clinicians monitor a diverse array of data inputs to detect early signs of impending clinical demise or improvement.³ Most information gained from unprocessed cardiorespiratory monitoring (multilead electrocardiogram [ECG], pulse waveform, heart rate, respiratory rate, oxygen saturation) is neither fully used nor stored for later analysis.⁴ Continuous predictive analytics monitoring synthesizes data from a variety of inputs into a risk estimate that clinicians can observe in a streaming environment.^{4,5} The potential applications for streaming continuous predictive analytics monitoring displays in ICU care settings are extensive.⁶

Continuous predictive analytics monitoring was born in the neonatal ICU (NICU).^{5,7–13} In the neonatal setting, investigators found abnormal heart rate characteristics (HRCs) in the hours preceding a clinical diagnosis of sepsis.⁵ These HRCs were not obvious in vital sign trends, even to experienced clinicians. Methods were developed and refined to characterize, process, and synthesize data inputs. Computational techniques synthesized these data inputs into a model that produced an estimation of risk, which led to the streaming output of characteristics called the HRCs index (Fig. 1).^{8,13–15} A large, multicenter randomized controlled trial studied the impact of HRC monitoring on patient outcomes and determined a reduction in mortality among very low birth weight infants who were monitored using the HRC index.^{8,14} Patients in the HRC monitoring arm received antibiotics for a longer duration of time and clinicians were able to detect preclinical signs of septicemia hours before overt clinical symptoms.^{8,14}

The application of continuous predictive analytics monitoring was then extended to critically ill adults.^{3,10,11} The first phase necessitated detection of physiologic signatures of illness (prodromes). Emergent intubation and hemorrhage were chosen as the initial clinical outcomes to demonstrate proof of concept and model validation.^{3,10,11} The validated algorithms for early detection of subacute and potentially catastrophic illness were then displayed through a continuous streaming environment, called continuous monitoring of event trajectory (CoMET®) (Fig. 2). CoMET®

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8695177

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8695177

Daneshyari.com