ARTICLE IN PRESS

Int. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. 2017; xxx: xxx-xxx https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijom.2017.10.013, available online at https://www.sciencedirect.com



Clinical Paper Dental Implants

Evaluation of peri-implant bone levels and soft tissue dimensions around zirconia implants—a three-year follow-up study

K. Kniha, K.A. Schlegel, H. Kniha, A. Modabber, F. Hölzle, K. Kniha: Evaluation of peri-implant bone levels and soft tissue dimensions around zirconia implants—a three-year follow-up study. Int. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. 2017; xxx: xxx–xxx. © 2017 International Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Abstract. The aim of this study was to measure bone levels around zirconia implants during follow-up of up to 3 years. Additionally, the effect of clinical contact point positions on the papilla deficit was evaluated. Eighty-one patients with 105 zirconia implants were examined at the 3-year follow-up. Bone levels were measured on the date of implant placement and at 3 months, 1 year, and 3 years thereafter. Distances between the first bone-implant contact and the contact point of the crowns and between the bone level at the adjacent tooth and the contact point of the crowns were assessed. The effect of the clinical contact point position on the papilla deficit was also assessed. Significant reductions in the distances between the bone-implant contact and the implant shoulder, as well as the contact point of the crowns, and between the bone level at the adjacent tooth and the contact point of the crowns, were found. A significant association was found between the papilla deficit and the height of the contact point. Implant survival was 100% and implant success was 95.4%. While zirconia implants presented little bone loss up to 1 year, significant bone apposition was observed up to 3 years. Low contact points correlated with full papillae, whereas high contact points were associated with a papilla deficit.

K. Kniha¹, K. A. Schlegel¹, H. Kniha², A. Modabber³, F. Hölzle³, K. Kniha³ ¹Department of Oral and Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery, Friedrich-Alexander-University

 ²Private Dental Clinic Drs. Kniha and Gahlert, Munich, Germany;
³Department of Oral and Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery, University Hospital Aachen, Aachen, Germany

Key words: dental implant; ceramic; zirconium oxide; aesthetics; papilla; bone crest.

Accepted for publication 23 October 2017

Zirconia implants may have a better potential for biological and aesthetic success than titanium implants^{1–4}. Owing to its tooth-like colour, zirconia can be used for aesthetically demanding prosthetic reconstructions. However, evidence-based scientific data on the clinical application of zirconia dental implants are scarce. A clinical study demonstrated that immediate and delayed implant placement

showed aesthetic success at 1 year after placement of the prosthetic structure⁵. It is essential that peri-implant bone remains stable and that there is no bone loss, or very little, in order to maintain long-term

0901-5027/000001+07

© 2017 International Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article in press as: Kniha K, et al. Evaluation of peri-implant bone levels and soft tissue dimensions around zirconia implants—a three-year follow-up study, *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* (2017), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijom.2017.10.013

<u>ARTICLE IN PRESS</u>

2 Kniha et al.

hard and soft tissue stability. Therefore, implant success criteria, such as those of Albrektsson et al., demand bone loss of less than 0.2 mm per year after the occlusal loading of implants⁶.

Recession of the hard tissue and gingival lining may lead to longer clinical crowns, resulting in a so-called 'black triangle' in the interdental space^{7–9}. Stable peri-implant hard and soft tissue dimensions are preconditions for long-term implant success. Several clinical studies have assessed the influence of the distance between the alveolar crest and the contact point of the crowns on the papilla around titanium implants^{9–11}. Large distances were found to be associated with papillary recession in the interdental space. Similarly, the first clinical study of zirconia implants showed that the distance between

the bone crest at the implant and the contact point of the crowns was related to a full or missing papilla¹². Chu et al. published data indicating that the ideal proportion of the papilla height to the clinical crown height is approximately 40% for all tooth groups¹³. Consequently, the ideal contact point position of the crown is at 40% of the height of the crown length. The contact point of the crown is more a proximal contact area, which increases with age due to abrasion¹⁴. Nevertheless, this proximal contact area determines the papillary and incisal embrasures.

The existing literature lacks information on contact point heights around dental implants, especially in aesthetic evaluations. Further assessments are therefore necessary, because the crown and interdental morphologies of the hard and soft tissue dimensions have a strong influence on the papillary fill¹⁵. The aim of this study was therefore to measure the periimplant bone level around zirconia implants during follow-up of up to 3 years. This study also assessed the effect of the clinical contact point position on the papilla deficit.

Materials and methods

Patients with zirconia implants who had undergone prosthetic rehabilitation 3 years previously were included in this follow-up study. This prospective observational study was conducted in accordance with the STROBE statement (strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology)¹⁶.



Fig. 1. (A) Measurement of the distance between the first bone–implant contact and the implant shoulder (distance 1). (B) Measurement of the distance between the first bone–implant contact and the contact point of the crowns (distance 2). (C) Measurement of the distance between the bone level at the adjacent tooth and the contact point of the crowns (distance 3). (D) Measurement of the papilla deficit (distance 4). (E), (F) Papilla height assessment.

Please cite this article in press as: Kniha K, et al. Evaluation of peri-implant bone levels and soft tissue dimensions around zirconia implants—a three-year follow-up study, *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* (2017), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijom.2017.10.013

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8697845

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8697845

Daneshyari.com