Journal of Oral Biology and Craniofacial Research xxx (2017) xxx–xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Oral Biology and Craniofacial Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jobcr

Original Article

Can corticotomy (with or without bone grafting) expand the limits of safe orthodontic therapy?

Federico Brugnami[a], Alfonso Caiazzo[b,c], Pushkar Mehra[c,*]

[a] Rome, Italy
[b] Salerno, Italy
[c] Dept. of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery, Boston University, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 2 October 2017
Accepted 6 November 2017
Available online xxx

Keywords:
Corticotomy
Accelerated orthodontics
Bone regeneration
Orthodontics side effects
Bone graft
PAOO®
Orthodontic movement
Alveolar augmentation
Buccal plate
Bone resorption
Surgically assisted orthodontics
Orthodontically driven corticotomy

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To assess whether concomitant particulate bone grafting makes a difference in the ability to safely orthodontically reposition teeth outside the bony envelope after corticotomy.
Material and methods: Retrospective analysis of patients who underwent corticotomy as part of their orthodontic therapy for treatment of severe crowding. Patients were divided as: a) Group 1: corticotomy with bone grafting, and, b) Group 2: corticotomy without bone grafting. CT scan examinations were performed before and at the end of the treatment. Measurements of bone and tooth positions were obtained and differences between pre- and post-treatment values were calculated.
Results: The study sample included 20 adult patients between the ages of 25 to 58 years. A total of 144 teeth were orthodontically repositioned outside their native bony envelope after corticotomy. Average follow-up was 9 months. Teeth that were repositioned after corticotomy and bone grafting maintained the alveolar bone volume around them while corticotomy without bone grafting was not successful in maintaining bone thickness around teeth that were moved outside the alveolar housing.
Conclusions: Corticotomy in combination with guided bone regeneration has the potential to increase the scope of conventional orthodontic treatment by allowing for expansive movements beyond the traditional limits.

1. Introduction

The envelope of treatment for predictable non-surgical orthodontics has long been established. However, it is a well-known fact that during orthodontic treatment, bone resorption usually occurs in the direction of tooth movement. Reduced volume of alveolar bone is a complicating factor for orthodontic treatment and numerous previous studies have shown a greater incidence of marginal bone resorption in those areas where the tooth movement was carried out towards the cortical plate.[1] The buccal cortical plate of the alveolus has been for many years considered inviolable and it was thought that any movement beyond that line might cause bony dehiscence and eventually gingival recession.[2] With the introduction of periodontally accelerated osteogenic orthodontics (PAOO®), this concept has very recently been refuted and as shown by Williams and Murphy, the alveolar "envelope" or limits of alveolar housing may be more malleable than previously believed and can be virtually defined by the position of the roots.[3] "Surgically-assisted" orthodontic treatment is referred to in many ways in the literature depending on the type of surgery that is performed. Wilckodontics®, AOO®, and PAOO® specifically refer to corticotomy surgery when performed in combination with bone grafting which offers the ability to increase the existing alveolar volume,[4] thereby not only potentially minimizing the risk of bone dehiscence and fenestration as side effects of orthodontic movement when occurring outside the bony envelope but also correcting pre-existing dehiscences and fenestrations over vital root surfaces. This study was aimed to evaluate the ability of corticotomy, with or without bone grafting, in expanding the limits of safe orthodontic treatment.

2. Material & methods

This study is a retrospective analysis of patients who underwent corticotomy (with and without bone grafting) as part of their orthodontic therapy. The records of twenty consecutive patients treated with corticotomy-facilitated orthodontic therapy were included in this study. The study was considered exempt from

* Corresponding author at: 100 East Newton Street, Suite G-407, Boston, MA 02118, United States.
E-mail address: pmehra@bu.edu (P. Mehra).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobcr.2017.11.001
0976-5662/© 2017

institutional review board regulations in accordance with current regulations for research completed in a private practice located in Italy. In all patients the aim of therapy was decrodwing and the patient sample included both Angle Class I and Class II malocclusion patients. Based on a combination of orthodontic objectives and pre-operative cone beam CT scan (CBCT) examinations, only those teeth where orthodontic movement *was to be performed to move teeth outside their original bony envelope* were included in the study. The main objective of the study was to ascertain if expansive orthodontic movements which have been traditionally considered prohibitive due to lack of bone volume, and unstable due to propensity for relapse, could be performed without adverse effects after corticotomy. The primary outcome variable was the ability to expand the alveolus with corticotomy with either presence or absence of concomitant bone grafting during the corticotomy procedure. For study purposes, patients were thus divided into two groups: a) Group 1: those undergoing corticotomy with bone grafting, and, b) Group 2: patient undergoing corticotomy without bone grafting.

The surgery was performed according to the principles of the orthodontically-driven corticotomy (ODC), where the surgical procedure is designed and performed in line with the proposed orthodontic treatment. In each patient, single full-thickness flap elevation was performed in the anticipated direction of the orthodontic movement.[3] In most cases, the corticotomy procedure was not full-arch but rather segmental, and performed only in the area were the anticipated orthodontic movements were to take place. Sulcular incisions were made with a #15 Bard-Parker surgical blade with a papilla preservation approach so that the base of the papilla was not elevated. When necessary, vertical releasing incisions were performed to increase flap mobility. The vertical incisions were placed at least one tooth and half away for the most mesial and the most distal area where corticotomies were performed. A combination of a rear-vented high-speed rotary surgical handpiece and bur under copious irrigation (for speed and outlining of corticotomy), and a piezoelectric scalpel (for refinement and inter-proximal corticotomies) were used as instrumentation. The inter-proximal cuts were deepened to at least 3 mm in the bucco-lingual direction, staying at least 3 mm from level of bone crest in the apico-coronal direction. Thinning of the alveolar bone surrounding the teeth to be moved was performed with the same instruments in the anticipated direction of movement.

In Group 1, 0.5 cc of xenogeneic bone of bovine origin was used over an area encompassing every 3–4 teeth for bone grafting. Following the principles of guided bone regeneration (GBR), a resorbable collagen membrane over the graft. Tension free primary closure was completed after periosteal release at the base of the flap with 5–0 Vicryl sutures. Straight wire orthodontic mechanics were used for orthodontic movement of teeth with the objective of repositioning them outside the native alveolar housing (expansive movement) following corticotomy. Orthodontic forces were initiated at the second week interval after surgery.

2.1. Radiographic examination

CBCT examinations were performed before starting the orthodontic treatment and at the end of the treatment. All the examinations were made using a 9000 3D CBCT (Carestream Health, USA) unit, equipped with a flat-panel detector. The exposed volume was 50 mm by 30 mm (voxel size = 0.679 μm–0.2 mm, depending if a "stitching" of 3 consecutive volumes was performed to represent the entire jaw), encompassing the teeth in the jaw where corticotomy was carried out. Exposure parameters were: 70 kV, 8–10 mA (based on the subject's size), and a single 360° 24 to 72 s exposure time comprising a range of 235–468 projections. CBCT were performed to evaluate the thickness of bone and the 3D positioning of the roots in the alveolar ridge before treatment. Primary data reconstructions were made using the acquisition software (CS3D Imaging, Carestream Health, USA), resulting in perpendicular slices in axial, coronal, and sagittal planes of the image volume. Subsequently, a second reconstruction was made to obtain contiguous 0.5 mm thick slices. The workstation consisted of an ASUS Computer, Intel® i5 CPU, with a graphics card [NVIDIA GeForce 9500 GT Series GPU 32-bit (NVIDIA Corporation, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Reformatting and measurements were made on 19 in. flat-panel monitor (resolution 1600 × 1200 pixels). Reconstructions were made in a way that each individual tooth/root inclined lingually or labially, would have the axial slices perpendicular to its long axis. This can be carried out irrespective of the angulation of the tooth relative to the alveolar process and/or the presence of crowding. Image slices, perpendicular to the axial ones, were automatically reconstructed. This results in optimal visualization of the MBC in relation to the cement-enamel junction (CEJ) in axial, coronal, and sagittal views, as described by Lund.[1] Using the axial view, a single reference line was placed between the CEJ's at the buccal and palatal/lingual surfaces. Parallel to that, three lines were placed at 4, 7 and 9 mm distance respectively and the thickness of the plate where the movement was carried out was measured to the nearest 0.1 mm by a single examiner (Fig. 3a and b). Post-treatment measurements were made and the difference between pre- and post-treatment values represented the change in alveolar thickness following surgery and tooth movements. Statistical test analysis was conducted using the commercial package SPSS. Student *t* test for the difference of group means was applied. A P value of <0.05.

3. Results

The study sample included 20 adult patients between the ages of 25 to 58 years (mean 45 years). A total of 144 teeth were orthodontically repositioned outside their native bony envelope after corticotomy. Average follow-up was 9 months (range 7–13 months). Group I had 13 patients (4 males and 9 females) with an average age of 37.7 years and Group 2 had 7 patients (2 males and 5 females) with an average age of 37.4 years.

Differences in bone thickness were statistically significant amongst both groups at all three different levels. The average

Table 1
Pre- and post-operative CBCT of a patient treated with surgically-assisted orthodontics (corticotomy) in combination with bone grafting.

d1 (3 mm)	Number of teeth (n)	Average Difference (Preop and Postop) mm	Standard Deviation
Group 1: Graft	79	0.86	0.25
Group 2: No Graft.	65	−0.24	0.27

A total of 144 teeth were orthodontically repositioned outside their native bony envelope after corticotomy, 79 in Group 1 (Graft) and 65 in Group 2 (No Graft). Average thickness changes of the buccal plate was found to be as follows on CBCT examination: At the 4 mm (d1): group 1: 0.86 +/−0.25, and, group 2: −0,24 +/− 0.27 ($p < 0.05$). Difference in thickness were statistically significant among groups at all three different levels.

Please cite this article in press as: F. Brugnami, et al., Can corticotomy (with or without bone grafting) expand the limits of safe orthodontic therapy?, J Oral Biol Craniofac Res. (2017), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobcr.2017.11.001