

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/mjafi

Contemporary Issue

Research submission: Some technicalities and vital links

Indranil Saha ^a, Bobby Paul ^{b,*}

^aProfessor, Community Medicine, IQ City Medical College, Durgapur, West Bengal, India

^bAssistant Professor, Preventive and Social Medicine, All India Institute of Hygiene and Public Health, Kolkata, West Bengal, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 September 2017

Accepted 31 October 2017

Available online xxx

Keywords:

Gold open access

Green open access

ORCID

Researcher ID

Research Gate

ABSTRACT

Publishing allows the author to share with the world his original findings, reasoning, important breakthroughs and it also creates the avenue for mandates of promotion and career upliftment. In an endeavour to publish an article, the first vital technicality that the authors face is selection of an appropriate journal. There has been steady increase in the number of predatory publishers and journals. Knowledge of the different publication models, websites to check valid open access journals and reliance on certain reputable publishing houses may actually guide the authors in selecting legitimate journals. Open Researcher and Contributor ID (ORCID ID) or Researcher ID is required during submission of articles. ResearchGate, Mendeley or Scholar Universe are useful sites to collaborate and disseminate research publication in an effective manner. Search Engine Optimization (SEO) is another vital technicality that needs to be adopted by the authors before submission of the research work for publication to ensure higher visibility of the published research article in the academic community and increase citations. Thorough understanding of the publication process, following simple technicalities of manuscript submission and establishing vital links in the post publication phase is some of the useful guidelines for shaping up the success story of the research.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Director General, Armed Forces Medical Services.

Research dissemination is an integral component of every research process, of which publication in a scientific peer reviewed journal is a vital method. Publishing allows the author to share with the world his original findings, reasoning, important breakthroughs and it also creates the avenue for mandates of promotion and career upliftment.

In an endeavour to publish an article, the first vital technicality that the authors face is selection of an appropriate journal. One may easily get lured by e-mails about call for papers from journals with the glamorous word 'International' in the journal's name, promising fast publication within 10–15 days and having both online and print version as well as

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: drbobbypaul@gmail.com (B. Paul).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mjafi.2017.10.006>

0377-1237/© 2017 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Director General, Armed Forces Medical Services.

claims of being indexed in an extensive list of agencies including the ones designated in the essential criteria for Medical Council of India (MCI) approval.

Importance of journal selection

Appropriate and genuine journal selection in the milieu of authentic and predatory journals for publication purpose is really a gigantic task as there is no single one stop solution to distinguish open access journals of questionable quality i.e. predatory publishers from legitimate ones. Predatory publication tends to threaten the credibility of science as appropriately pointed out by Jeffrey Beall, a scholarly librarian at Auraria Library and associate professor at the University of Colorado Denver, USA, who first coined the terms “predatory journal” and “predatory publisher” which implies those journals that exploit the open access model for monetary gains at the cost of scientific integrity.^{1,2} Moreover such journals do not provide the peer review which is the hallmark of traditional scholarly publishing. From only 18 predatory publishers in the year 2011, the number stood at 1155 predatory publishers and 1294 predatory journals on January 3, 2017.³ This trend is worrisome for the scientific academic community. But most unfortunately, at the peak of its popularity, this list abruptly vanished around mid-January 2017.² Nevertheless, Beall's list has been an eye opener and has served as an authentic guide to the scientific community regarding selection of journals to communicate one's research findings, but it had some inherent limitations like absence of specific criteria to black list a given journal as predatory.³ Among few of his criteria were “journals having little or no geographic diversity on their editorial boards” and “not being listed in standard periodical directories or library databases”,⁴ which are quite common problems faced by some legitimate journals and publishers, particularly those from low and middle income countries (LMICs).⁵

Different publication models

At this juncture, it is imperative to provide an insight into different publication models, the familiarity of which may help the authors during journal selection. The advent of internet in the mid 1990s brought about revolutionary transition from the traditional 'reader pays' subscription model to 'open access' publication model where publications are freely available online to all at no cost to readers and with limited restrictions with regards to reuse. This type of research dissemination is especially important for authors (increased scope of article citation), readers (easy accessibility to full text/abstract of the articles) and funders (enhanced scope of research findings to reach a wider audience).⁶

Traditionally open access (OA) models can be of two types i.e. Gold and Green open access. In journals having Gold OA business model, publications are available directly from the publisher and the copyright of the article is retained by the author, whereas in Green OA models, the article can be accessed either from author's institutional website, or from a repository e.g. PubMed Central, a practice commonly referred

to as “self-archiving”. Unlike Gold OA, in Green OA journals, the publisher or the society affiliated with the journal has the copyright for articles, with specific user restrictions i.e. which article version may be used and when the article can be made openly accessible in the repository (embargo period). Hybrid OA journals are subscription-based journals that offer Gold OA option only for those articles for which their authors/funders pay a specific fee for publication, often referred to as 'Article Processing Fee' (APC). APCs can be charged from the authors/institution conducting the research ('author pays' model) or funding body ('funder pays' model). The predatory journals are utilizing this open access popularity and luring the authors with the promise of quick publication by paying APCs, which is their principal business motive and are thus having a field day at the cost of compromised scientificity. Serious authors thus need to be more careful while submitting in the open access journal.^{6,7}

Sites where one can check valid open access journals

However, resources for identifying legitimate journals are Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ) and the standard guidelines advocated by organizations such as the World Association of Medical Editors (WAME), the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE), the Council of Science Editors (CSE), Code of Conduct for Journal Publishers and the Open Access Scholarly Publishers Association (OASPA).⁸ DOAJ which was launched at Lund University, Sweden in 2003 is a list of high quality open access journal titles across all disciplines and as of January 5, 2017, DOAJ included 9456 journals from 128 countries which had conformed according to their publishing standards.⁹ However, even DOAJ does not provide a comprehensive list of all legitimate open access journals and a journal that is not listed should not be assumed to be illegitimate or predatory. Some journals, though being authentic, may not find place in the list, on the circumstance, that they have either not sought inclusion on the DOAJ or in the waiting period for indexing with DOAJ which is around 6 months. Thus the dilemma remains, and there is no simple answer or a full proof checklist to guide the researchers.

Nevertheless, journals from reputable publishing house (e.g., Springer, Wiley, Elsevier, Nature Publishing Group, Wolters Kluwer/Medknow etc.), availing peer review in selection of manuscripts, and indexed in familiar online databases (e.g., PubMed, Science Citation Index, SCOPUS, etc.) may act as a guide for journal selection.

Furthermore, if any journal quotes its international status in its name, it is judicious to check whether the editorial board of the concerned journal has international representation. This can be crosschecked by reviewing the names of the editorial board and ascertaining if they are from established universities worldwide. But sometimes the editorial board members of fake journals are themselves not aware of the fact that their names have been put on the editorial board of a predatory journal. As a safeguard, the authors should search for the institutional affiliation of the editorial board members and may go one step further by trying to personally

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8706920>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8706920>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)