

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Orthopaedics



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jor

Comparison of two extensile approaches to the knee: a cadaveric study evaluating quadriceps snip and extensile medial parapatellar approach



Jarrad M. Stevens*, Nicholas D. Clement, Gavin Macpherson, James T. Patton

Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Royal Infirmary Edinburgh, Scotland, UK

ABSTRACT

Purpose: This study aimed to evaluate the mobility and excursion of the patella achieved by two different techniques for increasing exposure to the knee joint: the quadriceps snip and the extensile medial parapatellar approach.

Method: Six matched intact fresh frozen cadaveric knees were used in this study. A standard medial parapatellar approach was undertaken and the patella excursion with a constant force of 5 kg was measured based on two fixed points at 0, 45 and 90 $^{\circ}$ s of knee flexion. The left knee in the matched pair was made extensile with a quadriceps snip and the right with an extensile medial parapatellar approach. The distance and change in distance as a percentage were then recorded at 0, 45 and 90 $^{\circ}$ s of knee flexion.

Results: Both techniques increased the mobility of the patella and its excursion. Quadriceps snip was found to give an average increase in excursion of 7 mm (12% increase in excursion), while the extensile medial parapatellar approach increased the patella distance from a fixed point by 10 mm (15% increase in excursion). Maximum displacement of the patella was consistently found to occur at 5 kg. The angle of knee flexion at which the maximum excursion was achieved was variable.

Conclusion: The quadriceps snip and extensile medial parapatellar approach both provide increased mobility of the patella in the cadaveric knee. When exploring the options to increase exposure to the knee, the operating surgeon may wish to employ either the extensile medial parapatellar approach or the quadriceps snip. There may be clinical advantage in developing the plane between vastus medialis and rectus femoris, as opposed to cutting across the quadriceps tendon.

1. Introduction

Many exposures have been developed to preserve the integrity of the extensor mechanism when approaching the knee joint.^{1–4} This is particularly important in arthroplasty, revision arthroplasty, trauma and tumour procedures where increased exposure is required, typically following the standard medial parapatellar approach. Increasing patella excursion while maintaining the function of the extensor mechanism is a critical step when extensile exposure is required.

Gaining further exposure and increasing the mobility of the patella can be achieved by proximal releases,^{1,4} tenolysis² and distal tibial tuberosity osteotomies.^{5,6} A balance is frequently sought between increased exposure, technical difficulty and potential complications. The quadriceps snip is often employed to gain exposure via increased patella excursion and mobility. The extensile medial parapatellar approach, using the unique landmark of the fat stripe demarcating the interval between vastus medialis and rectus femoris, has allowed surgeons at our unit to achieve sufficient exposure to the knee and increased patella mobility.

The purpose of this study was to compare and discuss the effect of the quadriceps snip technique and the extensile medial parapatellar approach for mobilising the patella, thereby increasing exposure to the knee. We used matched cadaveric models with a standard medial parapatellar approach as the control. It was hypothesised that both techniques would increase patella mobility and that one may be superior.

2. Method

Specimen Preparation: Six intact human fresh frozen cadaveric knees were used in this study. The specimens were checked by inspection, palpation and physical examination, including a Lachman test and varus/valgus stress test to detect any obvious bony deformity, previous fracture or ligamentous laxity. All specimens included in the study had no signs of previous operation and were clinically stable throughout a full range of movement. For all cadaveric specimens, a

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jor.2018.03.023

Received 11 December 2017; Accepted 20 March 2018

Available online 22 March 2018

0972-978X/ Crown Copyright © 2018 Published by Elsevier, a division of RELX India, Pvt. Ltd on behalf of Prof. PK Surendran Memorial Education Foundation. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: drjarradstevens@hotmail.com (J.M. Stevens).




Fig. 1. F = Femur, T = Tibia, P = Patella, 5 kg traction and measure the transposition.



Fig. 2. Femoral pin placement.



Fig. 3. Central patella drill hole to attach scale and act as a second fixed point.

standard medial parapatellar approach was undertaken as described by Hoppenfeld (3). The patella was everted in extension and then flexed to the 90 $^{\circ}$ position. At this point two fixed points were selected for comparison of mobility (Fig. 1). The apex of the femoral notch was identified and a pin placed 10 mm proximal along Whiteside's line



Fig. 4. 5 kg traction and measurement of distance.

(Fig. 2). A drill hole for the scale was placed in the centre of the patella (Fig. 3). These two points acted as fixed references for measuring the patella excursion.

Following the standard medial parapatellar approach to the knee, the distance between the two fixed points was measured in millimetres with traction applied at 0, 45 and 90 °s of knee flexion (Fig. 4). Constant lateral force of 5 kg was used as this was determined to be the minimum force required to achieve reasonable excursion (Fig. 6).

The left knee underwent a quadriceps snip (QS) as described by Garvin et al.⁹ and the right knee underwent the extensile medial parapatellar (EMP) approach. These two techniques are illustrated on the lithographs by J. Walsh, entitled Plate xxxii (Fig. 5). Traction was added with the use of a spring scale with the loading hook in the centre of the patella. The distance between the two fixed points was then remeasured and recorded. The distance as a percentage difference compared to the standard medial parapatellar approach was calculated at 0, 45 and 90 °s of knee flexion. The amount of force applied to the patella was determined by using sequentially increasing force until a point at which no significant increase in the excursion distance was observed.

Statistical Analysis: Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Non-parametric tests were used to assess continuous variables for significant differences between groups. A Mann Whitney U test was used to compare linear variables between groups. A p-value of < 0.05 was defined as statistically significanct A power calculation was performed. Using a Mann Whitney test, an effect size of 1.3, a power of 80% and an alpha of 0.005 it was determined that nine comparative measures would be required between the groups.

3. Results

In order to determine the appropriate amount of traction to be placed on the patella, increasing force was applied to the first cadaveric knee in the study and plotted against the measured excursion of the patella (Table 1, Fig. 6). Once 5 kg of force was applied, there was no significant increase in the excursion distance observed (p = 0.80) and the 5 kg force was then used as the standard for all further assessments.

The cadaver specimens were composed of two males and one female aged 73, 80 and 91 respectively. The measured patella excursion for the standard MPA and the QS or EMP approaches are recorded in Table 2.

The median excursion of the patella in the QS group after the standard MPA was 64 mm (inter quartile range (IQR) 62 to 66 mm), which significantly (difference 9, 95% confidence interval (CI) 7.8–11.8, p < 0.001 Mann Whitney) increased to 75 (IQR 72–77) after the QS was performed. The median excursion of the patella in the EMP group after the standard MPA was 65 mm (inter quartile range (IQR) 62

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8720205

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8720205

Daneshyari.com