# ARTICLE IN PRESS



https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soard.2018.02.008

54 1550-7289/© 2018 American Society for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery. All rights reserved.

 2

66 gastric fundus [2]. On the other hand, lower esophageal sphincter tone can decrease as a consequence of the 67 blunting of the angle of His, the division of the muscle 68 fibers of Helvetius, and the presence of a hiatal hernia (HH) 69 [3,4]. The observation of a proper surgical technique can 70 71 reduce the extent of this imbalance. In fact, it is of importance to achieve a regular-shaped gastric tubule 72 73 (without stenosis or fundus residual) and to preserve the 74 integrity of the sling fibers when approaching the angle of His [5–9]. HH repair (HHR) may be of use, even if current 75 76 data are not conclusive [10-13]. GERD diagnosis after SG has been often based on symptoms evaluation and proton 77 pump inhibitor (PPI) consumption. However, published 78 79 papers have reported conflicting results. In fact, while some studies showed the development of de novo GERD after SG 80 or the worsening of preexisting reflux symptoms, in other 81 studies postoperative improvement of GERD has been 82 reported [1]. To date, specific diagnostic examinations like 83 esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD), esophageal manom-84 etry, 24-pH monitoring, or 24-hour multichannel intra-85 86 luminal impedance pHmetry (MII-pH) have been used in a small number of studies, with inconsistent outcomes [1]. 87 In our previous paper [14], we preliminary reported that 88 GERD symptoms were more frequent and severe in patients 89 with mild erosive esophagitis (EE; grade A and B according 90 91 to Los Angeles Classification) than in those with severe EE 92 (grade C and D). Moreover, the lowest mean visual analog scale (VAS) score was registered in patients with Barrett's 93 esophagus (BE) [14]. 94

The aim of this study was to investigate the predictive
value of GERD symptoms for the development of EE and
BE and the severity of the esophageal lesions in patients
who underwent SG.

#### Methods

99

100

101

102

103

#### Study design

Between July 2007 and February 2011, 249 patients with 104 a mean body mass index of  $46.2 \pm 7.2 \text{ kg/m}^2$  underwent SG 105 106 in our bariatric unit. All patients underwent a multidisciplinary workup, including history and physical examination, 107 routine laboratory evaluation, EGD, abdominal ultrasonog-108 raphy, and nutritional and psychiatric evaluation. Upper 109 gastrointestinal contrast study was performed only in 110 patients with endoscopic findings, such as esophageal 111 diverticula, achalasia-like aspect of the esophagus, and 112 abnormal shape of the stomach and of the duodenum, to 113 rule out possible contraindications to SG. GERD symptoms 114 (acid reflux, regurgitation, heartburn) were evaluated by 115 means of VAS score. All data were collected in a 116 prospective database. Twenty-two patients were excluded 117 from the study because they underwent SG as a revision 118 119 procedure for insufficient weight loss or weight regain after gastric banding (17 patients) or vertical banded gastroplasty 120

(5 patients). An additional 8 patients were excluded because 121 they experienced major postoperative complications (3 122 leaks, 4 bleeding, and 1 middle-gastric stenosis). A clinical 123 control study of EGD, laboratory tests, VAS evaluation of 124 GERD symptoms, and PPI consumption was prospected to 125 all the patients with primary SG, regardless of the presence 126 of GERD symptoms [14]. All the clinical controls and the 127 EGD were performed between January 2014 and June 2015. 128 All the participating patients signed an informed consent, 129 and the local institutional review board approved the study. 130

131

132

133

143

144

162

163

164

#### SG surgical technique

134 Surgical technique has been illustrated elsewhere [15]. A 135 48-Fr bougie was used to tailor the SG, starting the 136 resection of the stomach 6 cm above the pylorus. Special 137 care was taken to avoid stenosis at the level of the incisura 138 angularis. To achieve a radical "fundectomy," the gastric 139 fundus and the posterior gastric wall were completely 140 mobilized until the left pillar was exposed. If present, HH 141 was always repaired by posterior hiatoplasty. 142

## Endoscopy

145 EGD was performed by means of a high-definition 146 gastroscope (Evis Exera II; Olympus Corp., Tokyo, Japan) 147 with lidocaine spray and under conscious sedation (mid-148 azolam iv). Esophagitis, when present, was classified  $Q_{49}^{1}$ according to the Los Angeles Classification [16]. The 150 presence of esophageal biliary-like reflux (EBR) was 151 registered. The endoscopic appearance of BE was evaluated 152 according to the guidelines of the American Gastroentero-153 logical Association [17] and the American Society for 154 Gastrointestinal Endoscopy [18]. When BE was suspected, 155 the International C&M classification (Prague classification) 156 was applied, measuring the circumferential and the maximal 157 proximal extent of the BE mucosal tongue from the upper 158 incisors. In these cases, the Seattle biopsy protocol was 159 applied: 4-quadrant biopsy sampling at every 1 to 2 cm of 160 the columnar lined esophagus [19]. 161

## Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with STATA software 165 (version 11).  $\chi^2$  testing was used to compare categorical 166 variables between patients with or without EE and BE, 167 whereas continuous variables were compared using a 168 Student's t test. Differences were considered statistically 169 significant for P values < .05. The association between the 170 development of GERD-related esophageal lesions and the 171 presence of reflux symptoms was investigated with logistic 172 regression analysis. The correlation between VAS score and 173 the development of EE and BE has been evaluated with 174 multiple linear regression analysis. 175 Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8731344

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8731344

Daneshyari.com