

SURGERY FOR OBESITY AND RELATED DISEAS

Surgery for Obesity and Related Diseases ■ (2017) 00–00

Original article

Sleeve gastrectomy surgery: When 2 alcoholic drinks are converted to 4

María Belén Acevedo, Ph.D.ª, J. Christopher Eagon, M.D.^b, Bruce D. Bartholow, Ph.D.^c, Samuel Klein, M.D.^d, Kathleen K. Bucholz, Ph.D.^e, Marta Yanina Pepino, Ph.D.^{a,f,*}

^aDepartment of Food Science and Human Nutrition, College of Agricultural, Consumer and Environmental Sciences,

University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, Illinois

^bDepartment of Surgery, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri

^cDepartment of Psychological Sciences, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri

^dCenter for Human Nutrition and Atkins Center of Excellence in Obesity Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, University School of Medicine,

St. Louis, Missouri

^eDepartment of Psychiatry, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri

^fDivision of Nutritional Sciences, College of Agricultural, Consumer and Environmental Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, Illinois

Received August 1, 2017; accepted November 3, 2017

ground: While it is well established that Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) causes a rapid and tened peak blood alcohol concentration (BAC), results from previous studies on the effects of sleeve ctomy (SG) on alcohol pharmacokinetics are conflicting. Data from 2 studies found SG did not affect whereas another study found SG caused a heightened peak BAC after alcohol ingestion. Moreover, 3 studies estimated BAC from breathalyzers, which might not reliably estimate peak BAC. ctives: The aims of this study were evaluate (1) the effect of SG, relative to RYGB and a rgery group, on alcohol pharmacokinetics and subjective effects, and (2) whether breathalyzers eliable in this population. ng: Single-center prospective nonrandomized trial. ods: We performed alcohol challenge tests in 11 women who had SG surgery $1.9 \pm .1$ years body mass index = $35.1 \pm 6.6 \text{ kg/m}^2$), 8 women who had RYGB surgery $2.2 \pm .4$ years ago mass index = $30.0 \pm 5.2 \text{ kg/m}^2$), and 9 women who were scheduled for bariatric surgery mass index = $44.1 \pm 4.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$). BAC were estimated from breath samples and measured by
ctomy (SG) on alcohol pharmacokinetics are conflicting. Data from 2 studies found SG did not affect whereas another study found SG caused a heightened peak BAC after alcohol ingestion. Moreover, 3 studies estimated BAC from breathalyzers, which might not reliably estimate peak BAC. ctives: The aims of this study were evaluate (1) the effect of SG, relative to RYGB and a rgery group, on alcohol pharmacokinetics and subjective effects, and (2) whether breathalyzers chable in this population. ng: Single-center prospective nonrandomized trial. ods: We performed alcohol challenge tests in 11 women who had SG surgery $1.9 \pm .1$ years body mass index = $35.1 \pm 6.6 \text{ kg/m}^2$, 8 women who had RYGB surgery $2.2 \pm .4$ years ago mass index = $30.0 \pm 5.2 \text{ kg/m}^2$, and 9 women who were scheduled for bariatric surgery
whereas another study found SG caused a heightened peak BAC after alcohol ingestion. Moreover, 3 studies estimated BAC from breathalyzers, which might not reliably estimate peak BAC. ctives: The aims of this study were evaluate (1) the effect of SG, relative to RYGB and a rgery group, on alcohol pharmacokinetics and subjective effects, and (2) whether breathalyzers bliable in this population. ng: Single-center prospective nonrandomized trial. ods: We performed alcohol challenge tests in 11 women who had SG surgery $1.9 \pm .1$ years body mass index = $35.1 \pm 6.6 \text{ kg/m}^2$, 8 women who had RYGB surgery $2.2 \pm .4$ years ago mass index = $30.0 \pm 5.2 \text{ kg/m}^2$, and 9 women who were scheduled for bariatric surgery
ctives: The aims of this study were evaluate (1) the effect of SG, relative to RYGB and a regery group, on alcohol pharmacokinetics and subjective effects, and (2) whether breathalyzers blable in this population. ng: Single-center prospective nonrandomized trial. ods: We performed alcohol challenge tests in 11 women who had SG surgery $1.9 \pm .1$ years body mass index = $35.1 \pm 6.6 \text{ kg/m}^2$), 8 women who had RYGB surgery $2.2 \pm .4$ years ago mass index = $30.0 \pm 5.2 \text{ kg/m}^2$), and 9 women who were scheduled for bariatric surgery
rgery group, on alcohol pharmacokinetics and subjective effects, and (2) whether breathalyzers hiable in this population. ng: Single-center prospective nonrandomized trial. ods: We performed alcohol challenge tests in 11 women who had SG surgery $1.9 \pm .1$ years body mass index = $35.1 \pm 6.6 \text{ kg/m}^2$), 8 women who had RYGB surgery $2.2 \pm .4$ years ago mass index = $30.0 \pm 5.2 \text{ kg/m}^2$), and 9 women who were scheduled for bariatric surgery
Hiable in this population. ng: Single-center prospective nonrandomized trial. ods: We performed alcohol challenge tests in 11 women who had SG surgery $1.9 \pm .1$ years body mass index = $35.1 \pm 6.6 \text{ kg/m}^2$), 8 women who had RYGB surgery $2.2 \pm .4$ years ago mass index = $30.0 \pm 5.2 \text{ kg/m}^2$), and 9 women who were scheduled for bariatric surgery
ng: Single-center prospective nonrandomized trial. ods: We performed alcohol challenge tests in 11 women who had SG surgery $1.9 \pm .1$ years body mass index = $35.1 \pm 6.6 \text{ kg/m}^2$), 8 women who had RYGB surgery $2.2 \pm .4$ years ago mass index = $30.0 \pm 5.2 \text{ kg/m}^2$), and 9 women who were scheduled for bariatric surgery
ods: We performed alcohol challenge tests in 11 women who had SG surgery $1.9 \pm .1$ years body mass index = $35.1 \pm 6.6 \text{ kg/m}^2$), 8 women who had RYGB surgery $2.2 \pm .4$ years ago mass index = $30.0 \pm 5.2 \text{ kg/m}^2$), and 9 women who were scheduled for bariatric surgery
body mass index = $35.1 \pm 6.6 \text{ kg/m}^2$), 8 women who had RYGB surgery $2.2 \pm .4$ years ago mass index = $30.0 \pm 5.2 \text{ kg/m}^2$), and 9 women who were scheduled for bariatric surgery
mass index = 30.0 ± 5.2 kg/m ²), and 9 women who were scheduled for bariatric surgery
' mass index – 44 (+ 4 (kg/m ⁻) BA(were estimated from breath samples and measured by
hromatography at various times after consuming approximately 2 standard drinks.
Its: BAC increased faster, peak BAC was approximately 2-fold higher, and feelings of
tenness were heightened in both SG and RYGB groups relative to the presurgery group
lues < .001). BAC estimated from breath samples underestimated BAC by 27% (standard
tion = 13%) and missed peak BACs postsurgery.
lusions: SG, similar to RYGB, causes marked alterations in the response to alcohol ingestion
fested by a faster and higher peak BAC. The breathalyzer is invalid to assess effects of gastric
ries on pharmacokinetics of ingested alcohol. (Surg Obes Relat Dis 2017; 1:00-00.) © 2017
ican Society for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery. All rights reserved.
e gastrectomy; Bariatric surgery; Metabolic surgery; Pharmacokinetics; Ethanol; Alcohol; Breathalyzer

 2

63 Sleeve gastrectomy (SG) is the most frequent bariatric surgical procedure performed in the United States. 64 Yet, data on its intermediate and long-term effects remain 65 limited. For example, it is unknown whether SG is 66 associated with increased likelihood of developing an 67 alcohol use disorder. However, the increased risk of 68 developing an alcohol use disorder after Roux-en-Y gastric 69 bypass surgery (RYGB) [1-4] and gastrectomy surgery 70 71 for ulcer disease and gastric cancer [5-7], suggests that attention to this potential serious side effect of SG is 72 73 critical.

74 The increase in alcohol use disorder after RYGB and gastrectomy is likely caused, in part, by surgery-related 75 76 changes in gastric anatomy that alter the pharmacokinetics and subjective effects of ingested alcohol. While it is well 77 established that RYGB [8–11] and gastrectomy [12] 78 accelerate alcohol absorption and cause a rapid, large 79 increase in peak blood alcohol concentration (BAC), results 80 from previous studies on the effects of SG on alcohol 81 pharmacokinetics are conflicting. We are aware of 3 studies 82 that evaluated the effect of SG on BAC achieved after 83 drinking. Of studies, 2 found SG did not affect BAC 84 [13,14], whereas another study found SG caused a 85 marked increase in peak BAC after alcohol ingestion [15]. 86 However, all 3 studies used breath analysis techniques to 87 88 estimate BAC.

The use of the breath analysis techniques to estimate 89 BAC in the bariatric population has limitations. First, to 90 ensure that there is no residual mouth alcohol, which could 91 dramatically affect the estimation of BAC, the protocol for 92 breath analysis techniques requires waiting at least 15 93 94 minutes after patients finish their drink to take a breath sample. Such a time lag restriction could result in entirely 95 missing peak BAC in conditions when alcohol absorption is 96 significantly faster, such as after RYGB, and gastrectomy. 97 Second, we are not aware of any published study that 98 99 evaluated whether breath-sampling techniques provide a valid assessment of BAC in patients with severe obesity or 100 gastric bypass patients. Notably, BAC estimated from 101 alcohol breath techniques depends on several factors, 102 including lung volume, hematocrit, and body size, and the 103 algorithm currently used to derive BAC estimations is based 104 on data from healthy lean men [16]. 105

The primary goals of the present study were to evaluate 106 the effect of SG, relative to RYGB and a presurgery group, 107 108 (1) on alcohol pharmacokinetics, by measuring BAC with gas chromatography, the gold standard technique, as well as 109 by breath analysis; and (2) on alcohol subjective effects, by 110 using the drunkenness scale of the Addiction Research 111 Center Inventory, a validated questionnaire. A secondary 112 aim of this study was to determine whether breath analysis, 113 which is normally used to estimate BAC, is a reliable 114 technique to study the effects of RYGB or SG on alcohol 115 pharmacokinetics. 116

Methods

Patients

There were 11 women who had SG (SG group) and 8121women who had RYGB (RYGB group) within the last 1 to1225 years, and 9 women who were scheduled to have RYGB123at Barnes-Jewish Hospital in St. Louis, MO (presurgery
group) who participated in this study (Table 1), which was
approved by the Washington University institutional review
board. All patients provided written informed consent.121121122123124124125125126126127

118

119

120

153

154

167

168

169

172

128 Patients were recruited by reviewing their medical record 129 to determine initial eligibility followed by a personal 130 interview conducted at the Bariatric Surgery Clinic. We 131 only studied women because most patients who have bariatric surgery are women [17] and sex can affect alcohol 132 133 pharmacokinetics [18]. All patients completed a compre-134 hensive medical evaluation, including history, physical 135 examination, blood tests, and urine pregnancy test. Subject's alcohol use patterns were assessed with the Alcohol 136 Module of the Semi-Structured Assessment for the Genetics 137 138 of Alcoholism [19]. To be eligible for the study, patients 139 had to be regular, light drinkers and not have evidence of 140 risky drinking, according to the National Institute of 141 Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism guidelines 1 month before enrolling in the study. Patients with lifetime alcohol 142 143 dependence, current regular use of drugs other than alcohol, 144 or current use of medications that can affect alcohol 145 pharmacokinetics were excluded. In addition, patients who 146 smoked cigarettes in the last 6 months, were pregnant, breastfeeding, or not using an effective birth control 147 148 method, anemic, or had liver disease were excluded. Data 149 from a subsample of these patients have been reported 150 previously [9]. The study is registered with the Clinical 151 Trials.gov identifier: NCT01843257. 152

Study design and experimental procedures

The study was conducted in the Clinical Research Unit at 155 Washington University School of Medicine. Using a 156 randomized crossover design, all patients were evaluated 157 in 2 sessions, approximately 1-week apart. Body fat-free 158 mass (FFM) was assessed in the Clinical Research Unit by 159 using dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry. Patients consumed 160 either .5 g of alcohol per kg of FFM (equivalent to ~ 2 161 standard alcoholic beverages: alcohol condition) or a non-162 alcoholic placebo beverage (control condition) at each visit. 163 The dose of alcohol consumed was based on each subject's 164 total FFM, because FFM, not weight, correlates closely with 165 alcohol volume of distribution [20]. 166

Alcohol and placebo challenge tests

For each session, patients were admitted to the Clinical 170 Research Unit after an overnight fast and remained fasted 171

117

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8731554

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8731554

Daneshyari.com