



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Infection and Chemotherapy

journal homepage: <http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jic>

Original Article

Performance of the GenoType MTBDRsl assay for the detection second-line anti-tuberculosis drug resistance

Young Seok Lee ^a, Bo Young Lee ^b, Kyung-Wook Jo ^c, Tae Sun Shim ^{c,*}^a Division of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Korea University Medical Center, Guro Hospital, Seoul, South Korea^b Division of Allergy and Respiratory Diseases, SoonChunHyang University Hospital, Seoul, South Korea^c Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Asan Medical Center, Seoul, South Korea

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 June 2017

Received in revised form

9 August 2017

Accepted 15 August 2017

Available online xxx

Keywords:

Drug resistance

Tuberculosis

Diagnosis

Multidrug-resistance

GenoType MTBDRsl assay

ABSTRACT

The rapid detection of drug-resistant tuberculosis (TB) is important to improve treatment outcomes and prevent disease transmission. The GenoType MTBDRsl assay (MTBDRsl assay) was developed to detect fluoroquinolone (FQ) and second-line injectable drug (SLID) resistance. The aim of this study was to evaluate the performance and clinical utility of MTBDRsl assay. We retrospectively reviewed patient medical records with MTBDRsl assay data between December 2011 and February 2017. MTBDRsl assay results were compared with that of phenotypic drug susceptibility testing. In addition, treatment outcomes were analyzed to evaluate the clinical utility of the MTBDRsl assay. Among 107 clinical isolates (84 cultured isolates and 23 sputum specimens), 85 (79.4%) were multidrug-resistant TB and 9 (8.4%) were extensively drug-resistant TB (XDR-TB). The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and accuracy of MTBDRsl assay for detecting FQ resistance was 87.5%, 94.7%, 87.5%, 94.7%, and 92.5%, respectively. The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, and accuracy of MTBDRsl assay for detecting SLID resistance was 88.9%, 98.9%, 94.1%, 97.8%, and 97.2%, respectively. Novel drugs such as bedaquiline and linezolid were more commonly used in patients with FQ or SLID resistance detected by the MTBDRsl assay and, probably therefore, the treatment outcome was favorable irrespective of FQ or SLID resistance. The MTBDRsl assay could be used as a rule-in test to detect FQ and SLID resistance. By detecting FQ- and SLID-drug resistance rapidly, novel or repurposed drugs could be initiated earlier, suggesting that better treatment outcomes would be expected in patients with pre-XDR- and XDR-TB.

© 2017 Japanese Society of Chemotherapy and The Japanese Association for Infectious Diseases.

Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Although global efforts to control tuberculosis (TB) have reduced the overall incidence of TB, these have failed to reduce the global burden of drug resistance TB [1–6]. The incidence of multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB; defined as resistance to isoniazid and rifampin [RMP]) continues to increase, and the mortality rate of MDR-TB has not decreased. Especially, extensively drug-resistant TB (XDR-TB; defined as MDR-TB with additional resistance to a fluoroquinolone [FQ] and at least one of three injectable drugs [capreomycin, kanamycin, or amikacin]) raises global concern

because the prognosis of XDR-TB is poorer than that of MDR-TB, due to very limited treatment options [3–7]. Delayed diagnosis and treatment of MDR-TB, including XDR-TB, leads to increased ongoing transmission and TB-related mortality in communities [8–10]. Thus, the rapid detection of drug-resistant TB is very important. However, the diagnosis of MDR-TB using conventional phenotypic drug susceptibility testing (DST) can be delayed for several months [11]. For this reason, the application of genotypic DST continues to increase, which uses polymerase chain reaction-based techniques [7,8,12,13]. Actually, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommended that the use of GenoType MTBDRplus assay (MTBDRplus assay; Hain Lifescience GmbH, Nehren, Germany) and GeneXpert MTB/RIF assay (Cepheid Inc. Sunnyvale, California, USA) should be considered when diagnosing drug-resistant TB [7,8,12].

In 2009, the GenoType MTBDRsl assay (MTBDRsl assay; Hain Lifescience GmbH, Nehren, Germany) was developed as a

* Corresponding author. Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Asan Medical Center, 388-1, Pungnap 2-dong, Songpa-gu, Seoul 138-736, South Korea.

E-mail address: shimts@amc.seoul.kr (T.S. Shim).

commercial kit for detecting FQ, amikacin/capreomycin, and ethambutol resistance. Recently, the importance of early detection of FQ-resistant and second-line injectable drug (SLID)-resistant TB has been highlighted because a short-course treatment of 9–12 months is recommended in patients with FQ- and SLID-susceptible MDR-TB [7]. Thus, WHO recommended that the MTBDRsl assay should be considered for the early detection of resistance to FQ and SLID when initiating treatment for MDR-TB [7,13].

The aim of our current study was to evaluate the performance and clinical utility of MTBDRsl assay for detecting FQ- and SLID-resistant TB in routine clinical practice.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study design

This retrospective-design study was conducted at Asan Medical Center, a tertiary referral hospital in Seoul, South Korea. South Korea reported prevalence rates of MDR-TB of 2.9% and 9.3% in 2012 in new and previously treated TB cases, respectively [1,14]. From December 2011, we investigated TB resistance to FQ and SLID using the MTBDRsl assay to test isolates from patients with RMP-resistant TB detected by phenotypic DST, MTBDRplus assay, or GeneXpert MTB/RIF assay. The results of the assay were applied to the treatment of patients. In this study, we retrospectively reviewed patient medical records with MTBDRsl assay results between December 2011 and February 2017. All clinical specimens were investigated by the MTBDRsl assay from the same DNA extract that was used for the phenotypic DST and MTBDRplus assay. The result of the MTBDRsl assay was compared with that of the phenotypic DST. Treatment outcomes and new or repurposed drug (bedaquiline and linezolid) use were also analyzed.

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards at the Asan Medical Center (No. 2017-0638). Informed consent was waived because of the retrospective nature of the study.

2.2. MTBDRsl assay

MTBDRsl assay (version 1.0) was performed according to the instructions provided by the manufacturer [15,16]. All specimens showing resistance to RMP and isoniazid or to RMP-alone by the phenotypic DST and MTBDRplus assay were concurrently tested by the MTBDRsl assay using the same DNA extract. For each gene, the test evaluates the presence of wild-type (WT) and/or mutant sequences, thus covering all high-confidence resistance mutations. The absence of a WT band or appearance of a mutant (MUT) band at least as strong as the amplification control band must be interpreted as resistance to the respective drug.

2.3. Drug susceptibility testing

All sputum specimens were examined using Ziehl-Neelsen staining with positive results and cultured in both solid Ogawa medium and the liquid MGIT system (Becton Dickinson Diagnostics, Sparks, MD). Conventional DST was performed using the absolute concentration method with Löwenstein-Jensen media at the Korean Institute of Tuberculosis (Osong, South Korea), which is a Supranational TB Reference Laboratory. Isolates were tested for resistance to critical concentrations of isoniazid (0.2 µg/mL), RMP (40.0 µg/mL), kanamycin (30.0 µg/mL), capreomycin (40.0 µg/mL), amikacin (30.0 µg/mL), ofloxacin (4.0 µg/mL), levofloxacin (2.0 µg/mL), and moxifloxacin (2.0 µg/mL). The critical concentration used for DST of kanamycin changed from 40.0 µg/mL to 30.0 µg/mL at January 2014. In addition, the critical

concentration used for DST of ofloxacin changed from 2.0 µg/mL to 4.0 µg/mL at December 2015 [16–18].

2.4. Definitions of treatment outcomes

The seven categories of outcome definitions included in the 2014 WHO recommendation were as follows: cured, treatment completed, treatment failed, died, lost to follow-up, and not evaluated. Treatment success was defined as the sum of cured and treatment completed [19]. All outcomes were the initial treatment outcomes during the study period and were analyzed based on AFB culture results.

2.5. Statistical analysis

The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and the accuracy of the MTBDRsl assay in detecting resistance to ofloxacin, kanamycin, and ethambutol were calculated using the results of conventional DST as the reference standard. Concordance between the MTBDRsl assay and conventional DST results was assessed using kappa (κ) coefficients, with $\kappa \geq 0.81$ defined as almost perfect agreement, κ between 0.61 and 0.80 defined as substantial agreement, κ between 0.41 and 0.60 defined as moderate agreement, κ between 0.21 and 0.40 defined as fair agreement, κ between 0.01 and 0.20 defined as none to slight agreement, and $\kappa \leq 0$ defined as no agreement [20]. SPSS software (version 21.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) was used for all analyses.

3. Results

3.1. Clinical specimens

Among the 107 clinical specimens, 23 (21.5%) were sputum specimens and 84 (78.5%) were cultured isolates. Of those, 96 (89.7%) were RMP-resistant by conventional phenotypic DST (85 MDR-TB and 11 RMP-mono-resistance) and another 11 were RMP-resistant by MTBDRplus assay or GenoXpert MTB-RIF assay (Fig. 1). Eighty-five (79.4%) were MDR-TB and nine (8.4%) were XDR-TB. Drug-resistant patterns of other drugs are presented in Table 1.

3.2. Performance of MTBDRsl assay according to clinical specimens

In a total of 107 specimens tested, the MTBDRsl assay showed a sensitivity of 87.5%, specificity of 94.7%, PPV of 87.5%, NPV of 94.7%, and accuracy of 92.5% for detecting FQs-resistant TB. The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, and accuracy of MTBDRsl assay for detecting SLID-resistant TB were 88.9%, 98.9%, 94.1%, 97.8%, and 97.2%, respectively. There was an excellent agreement between the MTBDRsl assay and conventional DST in the detection of FQ resistance ($\kappa = 0.822$; 95% CI, 0.703–0.940) and SLID resistance ($\kappa = 0.898$; 95% CI, 0.784–1.012).

The performance of the MTBDRsl assay in clinical specimens is presented in Table 2. In 84 cultured isolates, the MTBDRsl assay showed a sensitivity of 88%, specificity of 98.3%, PPV of 95.7%, NPV of 95.1%, and accuracy of 95.2% for detecting FQ resistance. The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, and accuracy of the MTBDRsl assay for detecting SLID resistance was 86.7%, 100%, 100%, 97.2%, and 97.6%, respectively. The κ value was higher for detecting SLID resistance than for detecting FQ resistance in both sputum specimens and cultured isolates.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8740772>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8740772>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)