

HOSTED BY



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine

journal homepage: <http://ees.elsevier.com/apjtm>Original research <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apjtm.2017.07.012>

Clinical study inpatient-reported outcomes after binocular implantation of aspheric intraocular lens of different negative spherical aberrations

Qi Ze-Quan Xu^{1, #}, Xu-Hua Song^{2, #}, Wen-Zhe Li³, Yan Dou⁴, Qiang Wu^{1, ✉}¹Department of Ophthalmology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University Affiliated Sixth People's Hospital, No. 600, Yishan Road, Xuhui District, Shanghai, 200233, China²Department of Ophthalmology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Hainan Medical College, No. 33, Longhua Road, Longhua District, Haikou 570102, China³Clinical Medical College, Tianjin Medical University, No. 176, Xueyuan Road, Dagang District, Tianjin 100270, China⁴Department of Foreign Languages, Hainan Medical University, No. 3, College Road, Longhua District, Haikou 571100, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30 May 2017

Received in revised form 30 Jun 2017

Accepted 5 Jul 2017

Available online xxx

Keywords:

Catquest nine-item short-form

Patient-reported outcomes

Vision-related quality

Aspheric intraocular lens

Spherical aberration

ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare patient-reported outcomes after implantation of the ZA9003 intraocular lens (IOLs), or the MCX11 ASP IOLs or the spherical IOLs (HQ-201HEP).**Methods:** Prospective nonrandomized controlled trial was used. A total of 105 patients (210 eyes) were divided into three groups according to the type of IOLs: ZA9003 (35 patients, 70 eyes), MCX11 ASP (35 patients, 70 eyes) or HQ-201HEP (35 patients, 70 eyes). The main outcome was scores of Catquest nine-item short-form questionnaire. Additional outcome was best corrected visual acuities, spherical aberration (SA) and total higher-order aberrations (HOAs).**Results:** The global score was significantly lower in the spherical IOL group than the aspherical IOL group of $-0.20 \mu\text{m SA}$ ($P < 0.05$) and the aspherical IOL group of $-0.27 \mu\text{m SA}$ ($P < 0.05$), and no significant difference was found in the global score between the aspherical IOL group of $-0.20 \mu\text{m SA}$ than the aspherical IOL group of $-0.27 \mu\text{m SA}$ ($P > 0.05$). Significant differences were also found in question 2, question 5, question 6 and question 8 between the spherical IOLs and the aspherical IOLs.**Conclusion:** Implantation of an aspherical IOL could improve vision-related quality of life compared with a spherical IOL. However, there were no statistically significant differences in vision-related quality of life between aspheric IOLs with different negative spherical aberrations.

1. Introduction

Over the years, the incidence of cataract cases and the demand of a better quality of life are both increasing. Thus, the cataract surgeries techniques are evolving, as a results, better

designs of intraocular lens (IOLs) used to substitute for the human lens are now available. The human cornea generally has a positive spherical aberration (SA) with a mean of $(0.280 \pm 0.086) \mu\text{m}$ [1]. Conventional spherical IOL could increase the positive SA after IOL implantation, to reduce the retinal image quality, whereas the advanced aspherical IOL is designed to neutralize the SA. Up to date, several aspheric IOLs are available, including the Tecnis Z9003 (SA = -0.27), MCX11 ASP (SA = -0.20). Previous studies already confirmed that a aspherical IOL neutralizes ocular SA and decreases total higher-order aberrations (HOAs) while enhancing contrast sensitivity compared with a spherical IOL [2–4]. However, what still remains controversial is the amount of residual SA: whether correcting all residual SA or remaining

First author: Ze-Quan Xu, Department of Ophthalmology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University Affiliated Sixth People's Hospital, No. 600, Yishan Road, Xuhui District, Shanghai, 200233, China.

[✉]Corresponding author. Qiang Wu, Department of Ophthalmology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University Affiliated Sixth People's Hospital, No. 600, Yishan Road, Xuhui District, Shanghai, 200233, China.

E-mail: qiang.Wu@shsmu.edu.cn

Peer review under responsibility of Hainan Medical University.

Foundation project: This work was supported by the Health Bureau of Shanghai City (201440029).

[#] These authors contributed equally to this work.

partial SA (0.1) could provide the best postoperative visual quality. Some researchers suggested a target of 0 μm , which is the aim of Tecnis Z9003 IOL [5]. On the contrary, some researchers suggested target of 0.1 μm , which is the aim of the MCX11 ASP IOL [6], because they believed that residual SA could improve depth of focus [7]. Because the function of SA is still not completely clear and it has complex interaction with other aberrations [8], the relationship between residual SA and better visual-related quality of life is still ill-defined.

To the best of our knowledge, studies on aspherical IOLs mainly focused on conventional clinical measurements of visual function (visual acuity and contrast sensitivity). However, few studies concerned subjective visual-related quality of life. Patient-reported outcomes (PROs), the foremost representative of which is reliable and validated multi-item questionnaires [9], could directly and effectively reflect the visual-related quality of life. And Catquest nine-item short-form (Catquest-9SF) is a reliable and valid questionnaire which specifically measures the visual-related quality of life of cataract patients [10,11].

We conducted this prospective study to compare the vision-related quality of life of patients who were respectively implanted with Tecnis Z9003 IOLs, MCX11 ASP IOLs or HQ-201HEP IOLs, by using the Catquest-9SF questionnaire.

2. Subjects and methods

2.1. Patients

This prospective nonrandomized study included patients undergoing bilateral implantation of HQ-201HEP IOLs (group 1), MCX11 ASP IOLs (group 2) or ZA9003 IOLs (group 3) from September 2014 to March 2016. HQ-201HEP (HexaVision, Inc.) is a conventional spheric IOL with a positive SA, MCX11 ASP (HumanOptics Inc.) is an aspheric IOL with a negative SA ($Z[4,0] = -0.20 \mu\text{m}$) while TecnisZA9003 (AMO Inc.) is an aspheric IOL with a negative SA ($Z[4,0] = -0.27 \mu\text{m}$).

We included patients as follows: The presence of bilateral cataract, age between 60 and 80 years, corneal astigmatism <1.5 diopters. We excluded patients as follows: ocular co-pathology that may influence vision; complicated cataract (included congenital cataract); amblyopia; tremor or mobility problems causing inconvenience during slit-lamp examination; previous corneal surgery or intraocular or laser therapy. Patients who had intraoperative or postoperative complications which have influence on visual result were also excluded.

The written informed consent which was approved by the Office of Research Ethical Committee of the Shanghai Jiao Tong University Affiliated Sixth People's Hospital was obtained from all subjects. The Declaration of Helsinki was strictly followed in every step.

A total of 105 patients were recruited in this study. There were slightly more females (59%). The median age was 67 (60–87) years. Gender and age did not differ among the three groups ($P > 0.05$).

2.2. Methods

All patients had a complete ocular examination 3 d before surgery. The examination included best corrected visual acuities

(BCVAs), auto-refraction (KR-1W, Topcon) and Wavefront analysis (KR-1W, Topcon) included SA and total higher-order aberrations (HOAs), intraocular pressure, fundus evaluation and PROs measured by Catquest-9SF. Post-operation assessments were performed 6 months after surgery in the second eye.

BCVAs were analyzed using logMAR. Shack-Hartmann aberrometry (KR-1W, Topcon) was used to obtain mean root mean square scores for total HOAs and SA under a 4.0 mm pupil. Data of auto-refraction under a 4.0 mm pupil were also obtained from KR-1W. Vision-related quality of life was evaluated by PROs measured with Catquest-9SF. Through explanations of the questions were given by our team member to assist the patients to complete the Catquest-9SF if the patient requested.

All operations were performed by the same surgeon using an identical technique with an interval of 1–4 weeks between the two eyes.

2.3. Statistical analysis

All data are recorded as mean \pm SD, and statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois). The data fitted the normal distribution after the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. ANOVA was used to evaluate differences between the three groups, and $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Results of BCVA, SA and HOAs

Table 1 summarizes the mean preoperative and postoperative BCVA, SA and HOAs. There were no significant differences between groups in BCVA. However, SA was significantly higher in the spherical IOL group than the aspherical IOL group of $-0.20 \mu\text{m}$ SA ($P < 0.05$) and the aspherical IOL group of $-0.27 \mu\text{m}$ SA ($P < 0.05$), respectively. Meanwhile, SA was significantly higher in the aspherical IOL group of $-0.20 \mu\text{m}$ SA than the aspherical IOL group of $-0.27 \mu\text{m}$ SA ($P < 0.05$).

HOAs were significantly higher in the spherical IOL group than the aspherical IOL group of $-0.20 \mu\text{m}$ SA ($P < 0.05$) and the aspherical IOL group of $-0.27 \mu\text{m}$ SA ($P < 0.05$), respectively. However, no significant difference was found in HOAs between the aspherical IOL group of $-0.20 \mu\text{m}$ SA and the aspherical IOL group of $-0.27 \mu\text{m}$ SA ($P > 0.05$).

3.2. Results of Catquest-9SF scores

Table 2 summarizes the mean preoperative and postoperative Catquest-9SF scores: no significant difference was found in global scores and scores of each item among the three groups.

Table 2 also summarizes the mean postoperative Catquest-9SF scores. Global score was significantly lower in the spherical IOL group than the aspherical IOL group of $-0.20 \mu\text{m}$ SA ($P < 0.05$) and the aspherical IOL group of $-0.27 \mu\text{m}$ SA ($P < 0.05$), and no significant difference was found in the global score between the aspherical IOL group of $-0.20 \mu\text{m}$ SA than the aspherical IOL group of $-0.27 \mu\text{m}$ SA ($P > 0.05$).

Significant differences were also found in question 2, question 5, question 6 and question 8 between the spherical IOL group and the aspherical IOL group.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8754148>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8754148>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)