Journal of Acute Disease 2016; ■(■): 1–5



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Acute Disease

journal homepage: www.jadweb.org



Original article

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joad.2016.08.024

Antibacterial activity of *Hibiscus sabdariffa* L. calyces against hospital isolates of multidrug resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*

Emad Mohamed Abdallah

Department of Laboratory Sciences, College of Sciences and Arts, Qassim University, Al-Rass, Saudi Arabia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 Jul 2016 Received in revised form 9 Aug 2016 Accepted 29 Aug 2016 Available online xxx

Keywords:

Antibacterial activity
Hibiscus sabdariffa
Acinetobacter baumannii
Antibiotics
Multidrug resistant

ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the antibacterial activity of methanol extract of *Hibiscus sab-dariffa* (*H. sabdariffa*) calyces employed in Sudanese folk medicine against five hospital isolates of multidrug resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* (MDR *A. baumannii*).

Methods: The antibacterial activity of 80% methanol extract (v/v) of *H. sabdariffa* calyces was evaluated by agar disc diffusion, minimum inhibitory concentration and minimum bactericidal concentration methods. Antibiotic susceptibility of selected *A. baumannii* strains was tested.

Results: In the present investigation, the methanol extract from the calyces of *H. sabdariffa* exhibited significant antibacterial properties against the non-MDR *A. baumannii* as well as the MDR *A. baumannii* strains with a zone of inhibition ranging from (11.3 ± 0.3) to (13.6 ± 0.3) mm. The relative percentage inhibition of *H. sabdariffa* extract (10 mg/disc) with respect to gentamicin (10 µg/disc) had potent antibacterial properties and was much more effective than gentamicin. Values of minimum inhibitory concentration and minimum bactericidal concentration ranged from 25 to 50 and 50–100 mg/mL, respectively, revealing the potential bactericidal properties of the extract.

Conclusions: According to the present study, the calyces of *H. sabdariffa* can be used as a substitute source of the current ineffective synthetic antibiotics used against MDR *A. baumannii*.

1. Introduction

In the 21st century, the infectious diseases remain the leading cause of death classified by the World Health Organization, where around 15 million people (accounting for >25% annual world death) die every year from infectious diseases worldwide^[1]. Antibiotics, the most effective drugs against microbial infections in the 1950s, are recently losing their efficacies as most microorganisms have an acquired resistance^[2]. Despite the negative side effects of antibiotics on human organs, the intensive use of antibiotics has led to emerging of what is called multidrug resistant (MDR) bacteria which are now

raising remarkably all over the world and become an international public health threat^[3]. Moreover, such pathogens have negative impacts on economy that infections have costed United States 21–34 billion dollars Acinetobacter baumannii (A. baumannii) is a short Gramnegative plump rod (coccobacilli) belonging to genus Acinetobacter. It was earlier believed that this bacterium is ubiquitous in nature. But recently, it emerged as one of the most dangerous nosocomial pathogens worldwide, since it showed resistance to all known antibiotics^[5]. A. baumannii can frequently cause pneumonia, bacteraemia, meningitis, wound infections and urinary tract infections [6]. The MDR A. baumannii is defined as resistants to more than two antibiotics classes, especially ampicillin-sulbactam, fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin or levofloxacin), aminoglycosides (gentamicin, tobramycin or amikacin), antipseudomonal cephalosporins (ceftazidime or cefepime) and antipseudomonal carbapenems (imipenem or meropenem)^[5]. MDR A. baumannii is widely prevalent which has been reported and isolated from hospitals in many countries and areas, such as India, Turkey, Taiwan, Argentina, Korea, Japan, Iran, Saudi

Tel: +99 6509949631

E-mails: emad100sdl@yahoo.com, 140208@qu.edu.sa

Peer review under responsibility of Hainan Medical College. The journal implements double-blind peer review practiced by specially invited international editorial board members.

2221-6189/Copyright © 2016 Hainan Medical College. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

^{*}Corresponding author: Emad Mohamed Abdallah, Department of Laboratory Sciences, College of Sciences and Arts, Qassim University, P.O. Box 53, Al-Rass, Saudi Arabia.

Emad Mohamed Abdallah/Journal of Acute Disease 2016: ■(■): 1-5

64 65

66

70

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

120

121

122

123

124

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

59

61

Arabia, Latin America, North America, Europe, Asia–Pacific, Brazil, Australia, Spain, US and UK^[7].

Plants are the main source of medications for human, since they appear on earth and have abilities to synthesize endless secondary metabolites known as phytochemical compounds which serve as plant defense mechanism against macro and micro-organisms^[8]. Alkaloids, flavonoids, phenolics and tannins are among the most important phytochemicals used in phytotherapy^[9]. The World Health Organization estimated that, during the past decade, a large proportion of the population depended on traditional medicinal plants for treatment of different illnesses and preferred the modern medication, and even in developed countries, many people have begun to use medicinal plants as an alternative therapy[10]. Therefore, it is a logical approach to search for new antibacterial agents from natural sources like plants, since most of the recent drugs are initially obtained or semi-synthesized from these sources, particularly from those which are prescribed in traditional medicine[11]. Numerous studies are published showing a potent antibacterial activity of many medicinal plants[8]. However, little is known about the antibacterial activity of plants against MDR A. baumannii.

Roselle [Hibiscus sabdariffa L. (H. sabdariffa)] is a well known multipurpose medicinal plant, which belongs to family Malvaceae. It is an annual tropical short shrub and distributed in many tropical and sub-tropical regions in the world[12]. It is used traditionally for many purposes, such as hot and cold beverage, flavoring agent, food industry and traded as herbal medicine [13]. It also holds a plentiful potential of phytochemical compounds has antioxidant, hypotensive, hypocholesterolemic, immune-modulated, hepatoprotective, renoprotective, diuretic, anti-obesity, antiurolithic, antidiabetic, antimicrobial and anticancer properties without any significant genotoxic effects^[14]. Calyces of roselle is a famous public beverage in Sudan employed traditionally for the treatment of many ailments, such as respiratory tract infections, colds, fevers, hypertensions and malaria[15]. The previous study showed that it has significant antibacterial activity against Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Salmonella enterica, Klebsiella pneumonia, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Escherichia coli, Proteus vulgaris and Bacillus cereus, etc.[16]. The aim of the present study is to evaluate the antibacterial activity of the Sudanese roselle calyces (H. sabdariffa) against some hospital isolates of MDR A. baumannii.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant material and extraction

The dried flowers of *H. sabdariffa* were purchased from local herbal markets in 2015 from Khartoum, Sudan. The plant materials were taxonomically identified by a botanist at College of Sciences and Arts, Al-Rass, Qassim University. Voucher sample specimen was deposited. Calyces of *H. sabdariffa* were separated from the dried flowers and ground to a fine powder. About 100 g dried powder was taken and put in a sterile dark glass container and 500 mL of 80% v/v methanol (Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) was loaded gradually into the container, soaked and subjected to frequent shaking and macerated for 3 days at room temperature (37 \pm 2) °C in a dark cabinet. Then, the suspension was filtered using Whatman filter paper No. 1 (Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, USA). The methanolic filtrate was allowed to

evaporate in the incubator (BINDER GmbH, Neckarsulm, Germany) at 45 °C for up to 10 days till a sticky extract obtained. Several hours before the antibacterial testing, 1 g of the extract was reconstituted in 2 mL of absolute methanol to get 500 mg/mL^[16].

2.2. Isolation and identification of bacteria and antibiotic sensitivity test

A. baumannii was isolated from different clinical samples like pus, wound and sputum by Dr. Fiaz Ahmed (pathologist) from Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Al-Rass General Hospital (Table 1). The samples were identified by subculturing onto blood agar and MacConkey agar for 24 h at 37 °C. The growing colonies were examined with Gramstaining, which were Gram-negative coccobacilli under the microscope confirmed as A. baumannii by further biochemical tests and then classified as either MDR or non-MDR strain by the antibiotics susceptibility testing according to Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method recommended by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines[17]. A. baumannii isolates were tested against the following antibiotics: amikacin (30 µg/disc), ceftazidime (30 µg/disc), aztreonam (30 µg/disc), piperacillin (100 μg/disc), imipenem (10 μg/disc), ciprofloxacin (5 μg/ disc) and cefotaxime (30 µg/disc) purchased from Oxoid Limited, Basingstoke, UK. Isolates showing resistance to at least three antibiotics were considered as MDR A. baumannii.

2.3. Antibacterial assay

The modified Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method was used to evaluate the antibacterial activity of the Hibiscus extract[16]. Prior to the experiment, A. baumannii strains were subcultured in nutrient broth (Watin-Biolife, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia) and incubated for 18 h at 37 °C in order to reach the exponential phase, then adjusted by adding normal saline to be equivalent to 0.5 McFarland standard, which comprised 1.0×10^8 CFU/mL. About 100 µL from each adjusted strain was loaded separately in 90 mm sterile disposable Petri dishes (Jalil Medicals, Manama, Bahrain), and 20 mL sterile warm Mueller-Hinton agar (Watin-Biolife, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia) was poured into each plate and left until solidified at room temperature (35-37 °C). About 6 mm blank discs were cut off from Whatman filter paper No. 1 and autoclaved. Then, 20 µL H. sabdariffa methanol extract at a concentration of 500 mg/mL (10 mg/disc) was loaded to the sterile disc and put over the seeded Mueller-Hinton agar plate. About 10 µg/disc gentamicin (Oxoid Limited, Basingstoke, UK) served as a positive control and 6 mm disc saturated with methanol served as negative control, which were also loaded. The plate was incubated for 24 h at 37 °C. The experiment was repeated thrice and the mean zone of inhibition around the discs was recorded.

Table 1
Sources of A. baumannii.

Strain	Source
Ab1	Wound
Ab2	Sputum
Ab3	Pus
Ab4	Wound
Ab5	Wound

Ab: A. baumannii.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8758807

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8758807

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>