



Original Article

Experience of double balloon enteroscopy

Ming-Yao Su^{a,b,c,*}, Wei-Pin Lin^{a,c}, Cheng-Tang Chiu^{a,b,c}^a Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Linkou Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Taoyuan, Taiwan, ROC^b Chang Gung University College of Medicine, Taoyuan, Taiwan, ROC^c Taiwan Association for the Study of Small Intestinal Diseases (TASSID), Taoyuan, Taiwan, ROC

Received March 3, 2017; accepted June 20, 2017

Abstract

Background: To evaluate the experiences of double balloon enteroscopy (DBE) for obscure gastrointestinal bleeding.**Methods:** From October 2003 to November 2009, a total of 124 patients with obscure gastrointestinal bleeding were investigated using DBE. A total of 142 procedures (84 per oral and 58 per rectal route) were performed by the same endoscopist.**Results:** The average insertion time was 71.4 (20–199) minutes per-orally; the average insertion time was 139.2 min for the first 5 procedures, 109.4 min for 6–10 procedures, 76.6 min for 11–15, 66.4 min for 16–20, 67.4 min for 21–25, 59 min for 26–30, 66 min for 31–35, 52 min for 36–40, 42.9 min for 41–45, 44.6 min for 46–50, 42.2 min for 51–55, 38.6 min for 56–60, 44.6 min for 61–65, 37.8 min for 66–70, 38.8 min for 71–75, 44.3 min for 76–80, and 36.6 min for 81–84 procedures; there was no statistical difference after the first ten procedures.

The average insertion time was 92.1 (22–260) minutes per-rectally; the average insertion time was 159.6 min for the first 5 procedures, 98.4 min for 6–10 procedures, 86.6 min for 11–15, 76.4 min for 16–20, 82.4 min for 21–25, 75.0 min for 26–30, 78.2 min for 31–35, 72.4 min for 36–40, 68.2 min for 41–45, 66.9 min for 46–50, and 71.4 min for 51–58 procedures; there was no statistical difference after the first five procedures.

For the different genders there was no statistically significant difference. There were no differences regarding the diagnostic yield between the previous factors. The overall diagnostic yield was 82.4%.

Conclusion: DBE is a safe and effective means of diagnosing and managing patients with obscure gastrointestinal bleeding. However, because of its time-consuming, DBE has a learning curve to overcome before a physician can become an expert to achieve adequate insertion times with good diagnostic and therapeutic rates.Copyright © 2017, the Chinese Medical Association. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).**Keywords:** Double balloon enteroscopy; Gastrointestinal bleeding; Obscure

1. Introduction

Approximately 1–5% of patients with gastrointestinal bleeding could be classified as having obscure bleeding, defined as bleeding of an unknown origin that persists or recurs after a negative initial or primary colonoscopy and/or

upper endoscopy result.^{1–3} The primary source of blood loss in patients with obscure gastrointestinal bleeding is the small bowel, although bleeding lesions located within the reach of standard upper endoscope or colonoscope may be overlooked during initial investigation.¹ Because of the free intraperitoneal location, the vigorous contractility, convolutions and the length of the small bowel, bleeding from this area is difficult to approach using a conventional endoscopy.³ Push enteroscopy could detect only a limited portion of the proximal small bowel. Sonde-type enteroscopy is not popular, suffering the disadvantages of prolonged length of examination time, limited mucosal visualization and aggravated patient discomfort.^{1,3,4} Moreover, other diagnostic modalities such as small

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest related to the subject matter or materials discussed in this article.

* Corresponding author. Dr. Ming-Yao Su, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Linkou Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, 5, Fushin Street, Gweishan district, Taoyuan 333, Taiwan, ROC.

E-mail address: doctorsu@adm.cgmh.org.tw (M.-Y. Su).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcma.2017.06.020>

1726-4901/Copyright © 2017, the Chinese Medical Association. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Please cite this article in press as: Su M-Y, et al., Experience of double balloon enteroscopy, Journal of the Chinese Medical Association (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcma.2017.06.020>

bowel contrast studies, angiography and radionuclide bleeding scans are unsatisfactory due to their low yield or poor localization of lesion site.¹ Consequently, identifying and treating the source of obscure gastrointestinal bleeding is a clinical challenge.

Recently the clinical application of capsule endoscopy has marked a significant advance in diagnosing the cause of obscure gastrointestinal bleeding.^{5–8} Capsule endoscopy is a noninvasive technique with high success rate for complete examination of the whole small bowel.⁶ Compared with push enteroscopy and small bowel radiography, capsule endoscopy has been demonstrated to be superior in detecting the source of bleeding.^{9–12}

Double-balloon enteroscopy (DBE), a new insertion method developed by Yamamoto et al., enables observation of the entire small bowel with high image quality and excellent maneuverability and enables to-and-fro examination, biopsy, and therapeutic intervention at any given site.^{13–15} Therefore, double-balloon enteroscopy offers a good method for investigating the cause of obscure gastrointestinal bleeding. However, DBE is time consuming and a learning curve is needed. This study presented the personal experience and evaluated the factors that influence the learning curve of DBE for obscure gastrointestinal bleeding.

2. Methods

2.1. Patients

From October 2003 to November 2009, a total of 126 patients with obscure gastrointestinal bleeding were investigated using DBE in a tertiary medical center by retrospective analysis. The clinical form of bleeding was subdivided into obscure-overt ($n = 114$), defined as recurrent passage of visible blood and obscure-occult ($n = 12$), defined as recurrent iron deficiency anemia and/or recurrent positive fecal occult blood test. The group of patients consisted of 72 men and 54 women, with a mean age of 53.4 years old (range, 12–82 years old). The mean lowest hemoglobin level was 7.9 g/dl (range 3.2 g/dl to 11.8 g/dl). 24 patients (17 males and 7 females) had received abdominal surgery previously. To finish, the median duration of obscure bleeding prior to double-balloon enteroscopy was 8.4 months (range, 1–144 months). A total of 142 procedures (84 per oral route and 58 per rectal route) were performed. There were 16 patients received bi-direction routes of DBE and 12 patients were performed as total enteroscopy. All the procedures were performed by the same endoscopist who had performed more than 10,000 cases of colonoscopy previously. The insertion length was estimated by the sum of inserted length for every insertion sessions. Stopped the insertion when the enteroscope could not insert more but not only found the lesions. The target lesions were defined as compatible with previous findings of other studies, tumor-like lesions, active bleeders, or active inflammatory lesions.

2.2. The double-balloon enteroscopy system

The double-balloon enteroscopy system (Fujinon Inc., Japan) is a high resolution video endoscope with a flexible overtube. The therapeutic enteroscope was used (EN-450T5; Fujinon), which has an external diameter of 9.4 mm and a forceps channel of 2.8 mm. This endoscope has a working length of 200 cm, and a detachable balloon at its tip, and is used with a soft overtube 140 cm long with an additional balloon at the distal end.

The insertion route was determined based on the estimated location of the bleeding site according to the previous studies' guidance or clinical symptoms. There were 48 cases (38%) had suspected lesions (mostly tumor-like lesions) detected by other studies before enteroscopy. If no definite lesion was identified from the initial direction or if total enteroscopy was attempted, the deepest insertion site was tattooed with India ink and subsequent enteroscopy was performed from the opposite direction a few days later.

No specific preparation is required for the oral approach besides fasting for 6–8 h before the procedure. Enteroscopy from the rectal approach requires bowel cleansing as in colonoscopy. The premedication with demerol and midazolam were intravenously injected for minor conscious sedation. No general anesthesia was needed.

3. Results

Only the first four cases were performed using enteroscopy under fluoroscopic guidance for initial practice of DBE. The average insertion time was 71.4 (20–199) minutes per-orally and the diagnostic yield is 83.33%; while the average insertion time was 139.2 min for the first 5 procedures (diagnostic yield was 80%, mean inserted length 360 ± 40 cm), 109.4 min for 6–10 procedures (diagnostic yield was 80%, mean inserted length 410 ± 60 cm), 76.6 min for 11–15 (diagnostic yield was 80%, mean inserted length 340 ± 60 cm), 66.4 min for 16–20 (diagnostic yield was 100%, mean inserted length 360 ± 60 cm), 67.4 min for 21–25 (diagnostic yield was 80%, mean inserted length 320 ± 80 cm), 59 min for 26–30 (diagnostic yield was 80%, mean inserted length 340 ± 80 cm), 66 min for 31–35 (diagnostic yield was 80%, mean inserted length 350 ± 60 cm), 52 min for 36–40 (diagnostic yield was 80%, mean inserted length 380 ± 40 cm), 42.9 min for 41–45 procedures (diagnostic yield was 80%, mean inserted length 310 ± 80 cm), 44.6 min for 46–50 procedures (diagnostic yield was 100%, mean inserted length 340 ± 60 cm), 42.2 min for 51–55 procedures (diagnostic yield was 80%, mean inserted length 300 ± 80 cm), 38.6 min for 56–60 procedures (diagnostic yield was 80%, mean inserted length 360 ± 60 cm), 44.6 min for 61–65 procedures (diagnostic yield was 100%, mean inserted length 320 ± 80 cm), 37.8 min for 66–70 procedures (diagnostic yield was 80%, mean inserted length 360 ± 60 cm), 38.8 min for 71–75 procedures (diagnostic

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8758963>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8758963>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)