

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Radiation Exposure to the Urologist Using an Over-Couch Radiation Source Compared to an Under-Couch Radiation Source in Contemporary Urology Practice

Author: Andrew M. Harris

PII: S0090-4295(17)31328-6

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2017.12.011>

Reference: URL 20817

To appear in: *Urology*

Received date: 11-10-2017

Accepted date: 12-12-2017

Please cite this article as: Andrew M. Harris, Radiation Exposure to the Urologist Using an Over-Couch Radiation Source Compared to an Under-Couch Radiation Source in Contemporary Urology Practice, *Urology* (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2017.12.011>.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Radiation Exposure to the Urologist Using an Over-Couch Radiation Source Compared to an Under-Couch Radiation Source in Contemporary Urology Practice

Andrew M. Harris, MD

University of Kentucky Medical Center

800 Rose St, MS 237

Lexington, KY 40536-0298

Office: (859) 323-8039

andrew.harrismd@uky.edu

Abstract: 236

Manuscript:1751

Key Words: Radiation, Fluoroscopy, Endourology, Nephrolithiasis

Abstract

Objective: To compare radiation dosage to the Urologist using an over-couch system, X-ray tube over table, and an under-couch system, X-ray tube under table. Urologist continue to perform more endoscopic surgery requiring fluoroscopy. Fluoroscopy, or electromagnetic radiation, can cause cellular damage when passing through tissue. These systems are compared with respect to radiation dosage to the urologist.

Methods: A single urologic surgeon utilized a dosimeter badge while using an over-couch system. The dosimeter exposure was higher than expected and an under-couch system was then employed. Dosimeter exposure levels between the over-couch and under-couch systems were examined and compared.

Results: Over the 4 months reviewed for the over-couch system, radiation doses to the body averaged 3.63 mSv, to the eye averaged 3.73 mSv, to the extremities averaged 3.72 mSv. The 3 month averages for the under-couch system exposure to the body, eye, and extremities were 0.31 mSv, 0.35 mSv, and 0.35 mSv respectively. The difference in radiation exposure between

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8775752>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8775752>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)