

Accepted Manuscript

Relation between dietary essential fatty acid intake and dry eye disease and meibomian gland dysfunction in postmenopausal women

Jillian F. Ziemanski, Lynn R. Wolters, Lisa Jones-Jordan, Jason J. Nichols, Kelly K. Nichols



PII: S0002-9394(18)30007-2

DOI: [10.1016/j.ajo.2018.01.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2018.01.004)

Reference: AJOPHT 10376

To appear in: *American Journal of Ophthalmology*

Received Date: 5 April 2017

Revised Date: 2 January 2018

Accepted Date: 5 January 2018

Please cite this article as: Ziemanski JF, Wolters LR, Jones-Jordan L, Nichols JJ, Nichols KK, Relation between dietary essential fatty acid intake and dry eye disease and meibomian gland dysfunction in postmenopausal women, *American Journal of Ophthalmology* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.ajo.2018.01.004.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Abstract

Purpose:

To evaluate the relationship between omega-3 (n-3) and omega-6 (n-6) fatty acids with dry eye disease (DED) and meibomian gland dysfunction (MGD)

Design:

Cross-sectional study

Methods:

Postmenopausal women (n=439) underwent a clinical evaluation and completed the Vio Food Frequency Questionnaire to estimate their dietary intake of n-3s and n-6s. Subjects were categorized into two binary classifications based upon whether or not they had (1) DED and (2) MGD. Mean intake of dietary fatty acids were compared with two-sample t-tests. Univariate logistic regression models were used to estimate the odds ratios for each condition associated with each quintile of n-3s, n-6s, and n-6:n-3 ratios.

Results:

For DED vs non-DED, there were no significant differences in n-3 intake (1.95 ± 1.47 g vs 1.92 ± 1.24 g, $p=0.86$), n-6 intake (15.58 ± 11.56 g vs 15.44 ± 10.61 g, $p=0.91$), and n-6:n-3 (8.30 ± 2.57 vs 8.30 ± 2.57 , $p=0.99$). For MGD vs non-MGD, there were no significant differences in n-3 intake (1.87 ± 1.35 vs 1.96 ± 1.39 , $p=0.61$), n-6 intake (15.26 ± 11.85 vs 15.62 ± 10.93 , $p=0.80$), and n-6:n-3 (8.35 ± 2.94 vs 8.28 ± 2.42 , $p=0.84$). The odds ratios for DED did not differ significantly from 1.0 for n-3, n-6, or n-6:n-3. High n-3 consumption (OR=0.22 [0.06-0.78]) and moderate n-6 consumption (OR=0.37 [0.15-0.91]) were associated with a decreased frequency of MGD.

Conclusions:

Dietary consumption of n-3s and n-6s showed no association with DED, but high n-3 consumption and moderate n-6 consumption were protective against MGD in this large sample of postmenopausal women.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8790590>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8790590>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)