



Case report

A case of neglected silicone tube in lacrimal duct for 20 years

Masashi Mimura^{a,b,*}, Hidehiro Oku^a, Mari Ueki^a, Bunpei Sato^b, Tsunehiko Ikeda^a^a Department of Ophthalmology, Osaka Medical College, Takatsuki City, Osaka, Japan^b Department of Ophthalmology, Osaka Kaisei Hospital, Osaka City, Osaka, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Silicone tube
Lacrimal obstruction
Deformation
Micro-lacrimal endoscopy
Prolonged tube placement
Granulation

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To report a case of prolonged placement of a lacrimal silicone tube for 20 years, with evaluation of the lacrimal duct using lacrimal micro-endoscopy and inspection of deformation of the lacrimal tube.

Observations: This study involved a case of dacryocystitis in which a silicone tube had been placed in the patient 20-years previous and that was treated conservatively. Although granulation tissue formation due to dacryocystitis in the lacrimal duct was observed under lacrimal micro-endoscopy, subjective and objective resolution of symptoms, including granulated tissue formation, was achieved after removal of the silicone tube and conservative medical treatment. Follow-up examinations performed over a 12-month period post treatment revealed no recurrence of epiphora or anatomical obstruction. Inspection of the lacrimal tube using the tension test revealed minimal changes in the tube *in situ* for 20 years.

Conclusions and Importance: The findings in this case suggest both the lacrimal system and the silicone tube are tolerant to prolonged intubation, as long as the tube had been placed properly with careful observation. Our findings may encourage physicians to consider prolonged intubation for select cases of nasolacrimal duct obstruction.

1. Introduction

Primary acquired nasolacrimal duct obstruction (PANDO) is the most common cause of epiphora in adults. Dacryocystorhinostomy (DCR) is the primary treatment choice for PANDO cases, however, silicone intubation has become an established alternative treatment option since first developed in 1968.^{1–7}

In PANDO cases, the silicone tube should be placed in the lacrimal duct until the damaged mucosa has become re-epithelialized in order to avoid recurrence of the nasolacrimal duct obstruction; however, the appropriate time period for removal of the tube has yet to be standardized. Previously reported timing for the removal varies from 1 week to several years, with 2- to 6-months post intubation being the most common timing over the past 2 decades.^{4,8–11} It is a commonly held opinion that severe cases of PANDO need prolonged intubation to allow re-epithelialization and prevent recurrence.⁷

Here we report a case of PANDO in which the silicone tube was neglected for 20-years post intubation, which to the best of our knowledge, is the longest latency period in comparison with the previously published reports. In this present case, the surgical outcome post tube removal, along with evaluation of the lacrimal mucosa by use of a micro-lacrimal endoscope and inspection of the deformation of the removed tube, were investigated.

2. Findings

A 72-year-old male presented with epiphora and discharge in his left eye that had been occurring for 2 weeks. He had been treated for sinus surgery on his left side 20-years previous, and lacrimal intubation was simultaneously performed to prevent secondary lacrimal duct obstruction. Post surgery, the patient had never undergone follow-up examination with an ophthalmologist. Upon initial visit at our clinic, examination by computed tomography (CT), nasal endoscopy, and lacrimal micro-endoscopy revealed an open lacrimal passage and proper placement of the silicone tube (Figs. 1 and 2-A). Although no obvious damage to the lacrimal duct due to the previous surgery was detected, dacryocystitis with biofilm formation around the tube, complicated with granulated tissue formation in the nasolacrimal duct, was observed (Fig. 2-B). Thus, we began a conservative treatment regimen that involved a weekly irrigation and instillation of antibiotic eye drops, which settled the inflammation within 3 weeks, followed by tube removal. The removed tube was identified as a standard-type Nunchakustyle silicone tube (NST; Kaneka Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). Following the removal of the tube, the patient expressed that he felt symptom free, and lacrimal micro-endoscopy examination at 1-month after tube removal showed rapid resolution of the granulated tissue. At 6-months after tube removal, endoscopic examination revealed complete

* Corresponding author. Department of Ophthalmology, Osaka Medical College, 2-7 Daigaku-machi, Takatsuki City, Osaka, 569-8686, Japan.
E-mail address: opt119@osaka-med.ac.jp (M. Mimura).




Fig. 1. Clinical photographs of the puncta (A), including a view of the inferior nasal meatus using nasal endoscopy (B) and a computed tomography (CT) scan (C). Proper insertion of a Nunchaku-style silicone tube is shown (arrows), with slight punctal slitting of the lower puncta.

recovery of the healthy mucosa (Fig. 2-C and D). Follow-up examinations performed over a 12-month period post treatment revealed no recurrence of obstruction or dacryocystitis.

2.1. Deformation of the removed silicone tube

Post removal of the silicone tube, a detailed evaluation revealed accumulation of pus inside of the lumen, however, the tube itself was undamaged and clear (Fig. 3). The length of the tube was 1mm longer than the standard length of an unused tube at one end (Table 1). However, the diameter of the removed tube was found to be the same as that of an unused tube. Degradation of the tube was measured via tension testing using a table-top tensile testing instrument (EZ Test; Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). The test findings showed that the tube was slightly stiffer than a new unused tube, yet only at the body and not at the rod (Figs. 4 and 5).

3. Discussion

The findings in the current case suggest the possibility that lacrimal tubes can remain implanted for decades in the nasolacrimal system with a successful outcome. The NST itself was found to be effective and in good condition, thus well-tolerating prolonged intubation.

It should be noted that there are few previous reports regarding the time period in which a lacrimal tube should be removed post intubation. To the best of our knowledge, the longest reported elapsed time post silicone nasolacrimal intubation until tube removal using a



Fig. 3. Detailed photographs of the removed lacrimal tube. Although accumulation of pus was seen in the inner cavity of the tube, the tube itself was clear.

Table 1

Comparison of the dimensions between the silicone tube used in the current case and an unused tube.

	Total length (mm)	Body length (mm) (blue tip end/white tip end)	Body diameter (mm)	Rod length (mm)	Rod diameter (mm)
Used	106	41/40	1.0	25	0.6
Unused	105	40/40	1.0	25	0.6 ~ 0.7




Fig. 4. The results of a tension test on the body of the Nunchaku-style silicone tube is shown. The body of the used tube was found to be stiffer than that of the unused tube.

conventional Crawford-style stent was 3.5 years.⁷ The NST is designed as a push-to-insert lacrimal stent using a stainless inner probe to prevent false passage, with a silicone tube of 1.0mm outer diameter in the




Fig. 2. A series of photographs of the nasolacrimal duct obtained by lacrimal micro-endoscopy. The lacrimal tube (arrowhead) had been placed properly in the duct (A). Although granulated tissue (arrow) was observed on the nasolacrimal mucosa at the time of tube removal (B), follow-up observations at 1-month postoperative showed regression of the granulation tissue (C) and at 6-months postoperative showed that the treatment achieved recovery of healthy mucosa without re-obstruction (D).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8790906>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8790906>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)