



ARCHIVOS DE LA SOCIEDAD ESPAÑOLA DE OFTALMOLOGÍA

www.elsevier.es/oftalmologia



Original article

An angle-supported foldable phakic intraocular lens for correction of myopia: A five-year follow-up[☆]

J.L. Alió^{a,b,*}, A.B. Plaza-Puche^a, F. Cavas^c, P. Yébana Rubio^a, E. Sala^a

^a Vissum Alicante, Departamento de Investigación, Desarrollo e Innovación, Alicante, Spain

^b División de Oftalmología, Departamento de Patología y Cirugía, Universidad Miguel Hernández, Alicante, Spain

^c Departamento de Expresión Gráfica, Universidad Politécnica de Cartagena, Cartagena, Murcia, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 13 April 2016

Accepted 30 May 2016

Available online xxx

Keywords:

Phakic intraocular lens

Long term follow-up

Visual outcomes

Endothelial cell density

Efficacy

Safety

ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the efficacy and safety of an angle-supported foldable phakic intraocular lens (pIOL) for the correction of moderate to high myopia after 5 years follow-up.

Methods: Prospective and retrospective, observational, longitudinal, non-randomised consecutive series of cases conducted on a total of 100 eyes of 67 patients with moderate to high myopia implanted with an Acrysof Cachet pIOL (Alcon Laboratories Inc.) with the aim of minimizing the refractive error. The ages ranged between 18 and 60 years. Uncorrected distance visual acuity (UDVA), manifest refraction, corrected distance visual acuity (CDVA), endothelial cells density, pIOL position, intraocular pressure, and complications were recorded preoperatively and during the 5 year follow-up.

Results: Five years after implantation, the mean manifest spherical equivalent refraction reduced significantly from -11.62 ± 3.35 dioptres (D) to -0.33 ± 0.85 D. UDVA was 20/20 or better in 5 of 25 cases (20%), and 20/40 or better in 22 cases (88%). CDVA was 20/20 or better in 17 cases (68%), and 20/32 or better in 23 cases (92%) of eyes. The residual refractive error was within ± 0.50 D of emmetropia in 12 cases (48%), and within ± 1.00 D in 19 cases (76%). Mean endothelial cell loss at 5 years was 11.8% central, and 13.7% peripheral. Mean endothelium-pIOL distance was 2.11 ± 0.18 mm, and mean pIOL-crystalline distance was 0.88 ± 0.20 mm. **Conclusions:** This angle supported pIOL provided a favorable refractive correction and predictability, as well as acceptable safety in patients with moderate to high myopia. Although endothelial cell density decreased over 5 years, the results are within the range reported in previous studies with other pIOLs.

© 2016 Sociedad Española de Oftalmología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

[☆] Please cite this article as: Alió JL, Plaza-Puche AB, Cavas F, Yébana Rubio P, Sala E. Lente intraocular fájica plegable acrílica de apoyo angular para la corrección de miopía: seguimiento de 5 años. Arch Soc Esp Oftalmol. 2016. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.oftal.2016.05.009>

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: jlalio@vissum.com (J.L. Alió).

2173-5794/© 2016 Sociedad Española de Oftalmología. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Lente intraocular fuica plegable acrlica de apoyo angular para la correccin de miopa: seguimiento de 5 aos

R E S U M E N

Palabras clave:

Lente intraocular fuica
Seguimiento a largo plazo
Resultados visuales
Densidad de clulas endoteliales
Eficacia
Seguridad

Objetivo: Evaluar la eficacia y la seguridad de una lente intraocular (LIO) fuica plegable de apoyo angular para la correccin de miopa de grado moderado-alto tras 5 aos de seguimiento.

Mtodos: Anlisis prospectivo y retrospectivo, observacional, longitudinal, no aleatorizado de una serie de casos que incluye un total de 100 ojos de 67 pacientes con miopa moderada-alta implantados con una LIO fuica Acrysof Cachet (Alcon Laboratories Inc.) con el objetivo de minimizar el error refractivo. El rango de edad comprende de 18 a 60 aos. La agudeza visual sin correccin de lejos (AVsc), la refraccin manifiesta, la agudeza visual con correccin de lejos (AVcc), la densidad de clulas endoteliales, la posicin de la LIO fuica, la presin intraocular y las complicaciones detectadas fueron registradas antes de la operacin y durante los 5 aos de seguimiento.

Resultados: Cinco aos despus de la implantacin la refraccin manifiesta media se redujo de forma significativa de un equivalente esfrico de $-11,62 \pm 3,35$ dioptras (D) a $-0,33 \pm 0,85$ D. La AVsc fue de 20/20 o mejor en 5 de los 25 casos (20%) y 20/40 o mejor en 22 casos (88%). La AVcc fue de 20/20 o mejor en 17 casos (68%) y 20/32 o mejor en 23 casos (92% de los ojos). El error refractivo residual presentaba un valor entre $\pm 0,50$ D y emetropa en 12 casos (48%) y entre $\pm 1,00$ D en 19 casos (76%). La media de prdida de clulas endoteliales en los 5 aos fue del 11,8% en la regin central y del 13,7% en la periferia. La distancia media entre endotelio-LIO fuica fue de $2,11 \pm 0,18$ mm y la distancia media LIO fuica-cristalino, de $0,88 \pm 0,20$ mm.

Conclusiones: Esta LIO fuica de apoyo angular proporciona una correccin refractiva y una predictibilidad favorables, as como una seguridad aceptable en pacientes con un grado de miopa moderado-alto. A pesar de que la densidad de clulas endoteliales disminuy durante los 5 aos de seguimiento, los resultados estn dentro del rango reportado en estudios anteriores con otras LIO fuicas.

 2016 Sociedad Espaola de Oftalmologa. Publicado por Elsevier Espaa, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

Introduction

It has been demonstrated that phakic intraocular lens (IOL) implants are an alternative for optical compensation in young patients with moderate to high myopia (from -8 to -23.00 D) and who are contraindicated for refractive corneal surgery.¹⁻⁹ It has also been demonstrated that phakic lenses exhibit several potential advantages¹⁰: excellent refractive results, refractive stability, fast visual recovery, good visual quality and preservation of accommodation. Additional advantages are that this surgery is well known to anterior segment surgeons involving lens explants, it is possible to combine this surgery with other corneal refractive surgery methods and its low cost in comparison to corneal laser surgery.¹⁰⁻¹³

Intraocular lenses can be classified in 3 categories on the basis of their position in the eye or fixation mechanism, i.e., anterior chamber angle support, iris fixation in anterior chamber and posterior chamber lenses. The main advantages of angle support phakic IOLs is the ease of insertion and simplicity of extraction if necessary, in addition to being fully visible in the anterior chamber so that any complication can be detected at an early stage. However, phakic IOLs—particularly anterior chamber angle support models—can be associated

to numerous complications after implantation¹¹⁻¹⁴ such as corneal decompensation, pupil ovalization, glaucoma, angle closure, formation of cataracts and endophthalmitis.

In 1954, Benedetti Strampelli designed the first anterior chamber biconcave phakic IOL with angle support. The use of this IOL was discontinued due to associated complications.¹⁵ Four decades later, new phakic IOL designs were successfully applied for correcting high myopia.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ Since then, ophthalmologists have not observed significant changes in the IOL implant concept for correcting high refractive defects, excepting the improvements achieved in biocompatibility of materials and lens flexibility as well as the biomechanical performance of the lens in the anterior segment. Despite said improvements, the angle support phakic IOL still associated complications, particularly high loss of endothelial cells and pupil ovalization.^{19,20} Some of its disadvantages were related to rigid polymethacrylate methyl (PMMA) that requires large incisions—at least the size of the lens optic—which in some cases required sutures. This limitation was overcome with new flexible models that can be inserted through 3.0 mm incision or less, thus reducing the possibility of producing induced astigmatism and obtaining good results as reported in various clinic studies.^{1-3,21,22}

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8791584>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8791584>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)