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In this paper, we outline how relationship functioning affects the biological experience of stress and its consequences for physical health. Negative relationship perceptions and processes, such as attachment insecurity, hostility, and frequent conflict, tend to heighten stress responses and generate worse health over time, whereas positive relationship perceptions and processes, such as responsiveness, support, and intimacy, are generally associated with reduced or buffered stress responses and improved health (with some caveats). Future research should focus on the mechanisms behind these effects, the extent to which they can be changed or reversed, incorporating developmental perspectives, and effects of individual differences on these processes.
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Beneficial effects of close relationships for helping people cope with stressors are widely documented for not only mental well-being, but also for physical health [1]. Relationships, however, can also be a source of stress, generating deleterious health effects. In this article, we describe the biological experience of stress and its effects on physical health, review evidence regarding the impact of relationship functioning throughout this process, and discuss future research directions.

Biological experience of stress and physical health
The biological stress pathway and its effects on physical health are outlined in Figure 1. When individuals experience a stressor, the hypothalamus initiates a hormone cascade resulting in the release of cortisol [2]. Cortisol bonds to glucocorticoid receptors (GRs), which launches DNA transcription. Genes regulated in this process serve many functions, particularly immune system suppression and inflammation.

When individuals encounter frequent or chronic stress, however, the body resists hyperactivation induced by cortisol. This has two major consequences. First, following prolonged activation, cortisol drops below baseline levels. This can lead to dysregulation of the HPA-axis and flat cortisol levels throughout the day, instead of normal declines over the day [3]. Second, glucocorticoid receptor resistance (GCR) can develop. Immune cells with GCR have GRs that are desensitized to cortisol, requiring larger concentrations to begin transcription [4]. With the anti-inflammatory effects of cortisol reduced, GCR produces inflammatory factors and chronic inflammation, which is associated with myriad health risks and diseases [5]. Health outcomes fall into two categories [6,7]. Clinical outcomes include disease presence (e.g., cardiovascular disease [CVD]), disease severity (e.g., hospitalization frequency), mortality, and subjective health ratings. Surrogate outcomes include biological markers of disease and mortality, such as high blood pressure and high blood sugar.

Relationship processes affecting health
The effects of positive and negative relationship perceptions and processes have been documented throughout the biological experience of stress leading to physical health outcomes. Negative relationship perceptions/processes generally intensify stress responses leading to worse health outcomes (see the bottom of Figure 1). For example, being insecurely attached or having a relationship with an insecure partner are associated with several health risks [7,8]. Attachment insecurity, which involves having negative expectations for relationships which lead to unconstructive reactions under stress, predicts perceiving relationship situations as more stressful [8], having higher cortisol levels, and experiencing slower return to cortisol baselines [9]. Insecurely attached individuals also have flatter diurnal cortisol patterns [10], fewer and less effective immune cells [10], and higher inflammation [11]. The toll of insecure attachment is also seen in endpoint measures, such as more inflammation-related symptoms [12] and higher incidence of strokes, heart attacks, and ulcers [13].

Hostility is another major negative relationship process. Individuals who express more hostility to their partners...
(or are the targets of greater hostility) show greater cortisol reactivity during conflict discussions [14] and worse immune functioning following conflict [15]. Negative marital interactions are also associated with delayed wound healing, an effect mediated by overproduction of pro-inflammatory factors [16]. Greater anger and hostility have also been tied to more arterial calcification [17]. Conflicts do not have to be overtly hostile to generate adverse health outcomes, however. The frequency of conflict and marital distress also predict worse immune functioning [18], higher infection rates after virus exposure [19], flatter diurnal cortisol patterns [20], higher blood pressure [21], and worse asthma [22]. Moreover, frequent conflict and marital distress forecast greater risk for developing CVD [23,24] and experiencing recurrent cardiac problems [25**].

In addition, positive relationship perceptions/processes are systematically related to smaller stress responses and better overall health outcomes (see the upper portion in Figure 1). Higher quality relationship partners buffer us from stress [25**]. For example, individuals experiencing external stressors who self-disclose more to their partners [26] or whose partners touch them [27] display less cortisol reactivity. Children at risk for poor health due to low SES [28] or high life stress [29] are buffered by warm/sensitive parental care and experience relatively better health outcomes in adulthood. Higher quality relationships characterized by greater intimacy and responsiveness predict steeper (healthier) diurnal cortisol slopes [30,31*]. These individuals also have lower blood pressure and healthier overnight dips in blood pressure [32], and are less likely to be re-hospitalized [33] or die from CVD [34].

However, the effects of relationships on health are not always straightforward. Sometimes positive relationship processes are tied to greater health risks. The passionate, early stages of love, for instance, are associated with higher cortisol levels [35], suggesting that intensely positive relationship experiences are short-term stressors. Moreover, even though providing and receiving support are typically good for health, individuals with chronic health problems and their partner-caretakers often experience greater stress and poor health outcomes [36]. Positive and negative relationship experiences are also not mutually exclusive; individuals may behave in a hostile manner in some situations, but be responsive in others, so the relative impact of positive and negative relationship processes can be mixed. Some studies indicate that such ‘ambivalent’ relationships are risky [37], whereas others find positive elements protect individuals from health risks [38].