

Visual acuity recovery following traumatic hyphema in a pediatric population

Erin A. Boese, MD,^{a,b} Daniel J. Karr, MD,^a Michael F. Chiang, MD, MA,^{a,c} and Laura J. Kopplin, MD, PhD^{a,d}

PURPOSE	To determine the rate of visual recovery following hyphema caused by traumatic blunt force injury in children.
METHODS	The medical records of patients evaluated between July 2008 and July 2014 were reviewed retrospectively. Primary outcome measures included presenting and follow-up visual acuities.
RESULTS	At total of 56 eyes of 55 children (<18 years of age) were diagnosed with hyphema following blunt force nonpenetrating injury. The average patient age was 10.3 ± 3.2 years. The majority of subjects were male (78%). Presenting visual acuities ranged from logMAR 0.0 (Snellen equivalent, 20/20) to light perception. Rebleeding occurred in 4 subjects (7.1%). Visual acuity demonstrated improvement over the first 28 days following injury, with 59% achieving visual acuity of logMAR 0.0 (Snellen equivalent, 20/20) and 82% recovering vision to logMAR 0.2 (Snellen equivalent 20/30) by day 28. All but 1 patient (43 of 44 eyes, 98%) had a best-corrected visual acuity of better than or equal to logMAR 0.2 at their last recorded follow-up.
CONCLUSIONS	There is good potential for visual recovery following uncomplicated traumatic hyphema in children. In our patient cohort, the majority of patients had significant improvement in visual acuity within the first 28 days; in some children visual acuity continued to improve beyond the first month. (J AAPOS 2018; ■:1-4)

Trauma is a relatively common mechanism for the development of hyphema in children, with an estimated incidence of 17-20/100,000 cases of traumatic hyphema per year.¹⁻³ Traumatic hyphemas are the result of the disruption of anterior ciliary body and iris vessels. Numerous complications are associated with traumatic hyphemas, including corneal bloodstaining, elevated intraocular pressure (IOP), and rebleeds.¹⁻⁵ Perhaps secondary to participation in at-risk activities or due to the anatomic response of the eye to blunt injury, children are at higher risk than adults for sustaining traumatic hyphema.^{1,4} One report proposes that children younger than 6 years of age may be particularly susceptible to

secondary hemorrhage,⁶ suggesting that the pediatric population may be at higher risk for long-term complications.

The initial decrease in visual acuity after the inciting injury can be worrisome for both patients and their families and results in frequent questions about visual potential and rate of recovery. Visual recovery after traumatic hyphema is multifactorial, varying with age, size of presenting hyphema, and the presence or development of complications including rebleeding, elevated IOP, and concomitant injury to adjacent structures.^{1,6-8} Prior studies have evaluated final visual acuity after treatment, with reports ranging from 71% to 88% of patients achieving a visual acuity of better than logMAR 0.3 (Snellen 20/30) in the outpatient setting,⁸ and 91% in the inpatient setting.^{1,5} Numerous studies have analyzed risk factors, complications, and final visual acuity in pediatric traumatic hyphema patients; however, to our knowledge, this is the first study to address the rate of visual recovery in this population. This study aimed to better define the typical visual recovery course in children suffering hyphema after blunt trauma injury.

Subjects and Methods

The medical records of all patients seen at Casey Eye Institute between July 1, 2008, and July 30, 2014 were reviewed retrospectively. The Oregon Health & Science University Institutional Review Board approved this study, which followed the tenets set forth by the Declaration of Helsinki and was fully compliant

Author affiliations: ^aOregon Health & Science University, Casey Eye Institute, Portland;

^bUniversity of Michigan, Kellogg Eye Center, Ann Arbor; ^cDepartment of Medical Informatics & Clinical Epidemiology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland;

^dMedical College of Wisconsin, Department of Ophthalmology & Visual Sciences, Milwaukee

Funding support: Unrestricted grant from Research to Prevent Blindness; NIH/NEI Core Grant (P30EY010572); The Heed Ophthalmic Foundation.

Presented at the Annual Meeting of the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology, Orlando, Florida, May 4-8, 2014.

Submitted September 14, 2017.

Revision accepted November 20, 2017.

Correspondence: Erin A. Boese, MD, Kellogg Eye Center, 1000 Wall Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48105 (email: boese@med.umich.edu).

Copyright © 2018, American Association for Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1091-8531/\$36.00

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaaapos.2017.11.004>

with the US Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996. Medical records were searched performed using ICD-9 codes 364.41 (“hyphema of the iris and ciliary body”) and 921.3 (“contusion of eyeball”), and 921.9 (“eye trauma”). Subjects were included if they met the following criteria: blunt force mechanism of trauma, diagnosis of traumatic hyphema, evaluation by a Casey Eye Institute pediatric ophthalmologist, ability to complete Snellen visual acuity testing, and age <18 years at time of initial evaluation. To be included in the visual acuity recovery analysis, patients had to have a follow-up examination at least 1 week after initial injury. Patients with concurrent penetrating ocular injury, patients who sustained additional injuries precluding their participation in the ocular examination, and patients who presented more than 24 hours after the injury were excluded.

All participants initially underwent a complete ophthalmic examination, including dilated fundus examination. The initial examination was performed by either a comprehensive ophthalmologist on call (typically a resident physician with staffing) or by a pediatric ophthalmologist. All patients had follow-up by a pediatric ophthalmologist. All examinations included Snellen visual acuity testing, IOP measurement, and anterior segment examination. Dilated fundus examination and ancillary testing was left to the discretion of the treating ophthalmologist.

The primary outcome measure for this study was the visual acuity of subjects treated for traumatic hyphema, including the rate of visual recovery along the treatment course. Secondary outcomes included the mechanism of injury, treatment methods (including choice of medications), complications, and the effect of any comorbidities on final visual acuity.

Baseline and follow-up characteristics were summarized with frequencies and percentages for all categorical variables. Snellen best-corrected visual acuities were converted to logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (logMAR) values for analysis. Visual acuity of counting fingers was converted to a logMAR value of 2.0; hand motion, to logMAR of 3.0; and light perception to logMAR of 4.0. The proportion of patients recovering vision to logMAR 0, logMAR 0.2 and logMAR 0.3 during the initial 28 days following injury was calculated. Data compilation and statistical analysis were performed using Microsoft Excel software version 14.5.8 (Redmond, WA).

Results

A total of 56 eyes of 55 patients with traumatic hyphema met inclusion criteria on record review. Of these, the majority (78%) were male, and the average age of injury was 10.4 ± 3.2 years (range, 3.7–17.5 years). Parent-reported race was mostly white (86%); 2 patients identified as black (3.6%), 1 as Asian (1.8%), and 4 (7.3%) as multiracial. Of those who identified as white, 78% were non-Hispanic and 20% were Hispanic. One patient (1.8%) did not specify. The majority of patients (84%) had no prior ocular history. Seven (13%) had known refractive error, 2 (3.6%) had strabismus, and 1 (1.8%) had congenital glaucoma. Patient demographics are provided in [Table 1](#).

The most common injury was sports related, followed by injuries from small projectiles and elastic bands ([Table 2](#)).

Table 1. Demographics and characteristics of patients with traumatic hyphema (N = 55)

	Number (%)
Sex	
Male	43 (78)
Female	12 (22)
Race	
White	47 (86)
Multiracial	4 (7.3)
Black	2 (3.6)
Asian	1 (1.8)
Unknown	1 (1.8)
Ethnicity	
Non-Hispanic	47 (78)
Hispanic	11 (20)
Other	1 (1.8)
Past ocular history	
None	46 (84)
Refractive error	7 (13)
Strabismus	2 (3.6)
Congenital glaucoma	1 (1.8)
Total	55 (100)

Table 2. Mechanism of traumatic hyphema

Mechanism of injury	Number (%)
Sports related (ball, hockey stick, jump rope)	19 (35)
Small projectile (BB, airsoft pellet, paintball)	10 (18)
Bungee cord/rubber band	6 (11)
Stick/handle	5 (9.1)
Rock	3 (5.5)
Firework/bottle rocket	3 (5.5)
Bottle cap	2 (3.6)
Airbag	1 (1.8)
Kick	1 (1.8)
Other (hairbrush, apple, pencil, toy)	5 (9.1)
Total	55 (100)

Corneal abrasion (38%) and commotio retinae (29%) were common presenting comorbidities at the time of hyphema diagnosis ([Table 3](#)). Hyphema treatment typically consisted of topical cycloplegia (98%) and prednisolone (77%), with only 3 patients (5.4%) requiring IOP-lowering treatment at initial presentation ([Table 4](#)). No patients were treated with antifibrotic therapy. One patient was hospitalized for observation due to loss of consciousness at the time of injury; otherwise, hyphema management was completed in the outpatient setting.

Five eyes developed rebleeds, which occurred 1–9 days after injury. Ten eyes had elevated IOP requiring treatment in addition to the 3 patients with elevated IOP at presentation. The average time to starting treatment was 6.6 days (range, 2–14 days). All patients were managed with topical medical therapy, and 4 patients received oral acetazolamide. IOP-lowering treatment could be discontinued in all cases. No patients required emergent glaucoma surgery; 1 patient who was lost to follow-up and had a rebleed of unknown duration eventually returned for care 3.5 years after his injury and required a

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8792197>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8792197>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)