

# Cultural differences in moral judgment and behavior, across and within societies

Jesse Graham, Peter Meindl, Erica Beall, Kate M Johnson and Li Zhang

We review contemporary work on cultural factors affecting moral judgments and values, and those affecting moral behaviors. In both cases, we highlight examples of within-societal cultural differences in morality, to show that these can be as substantial and important as cross-societal differences. Whether between or within nations and societies, cultures vary substantially in their promotion and transmission of a multitude of moral judgments and behaviors. Cultural factors contributing to this variation include religion, social ecology (weather, crop conditions, population density, pathogen prevalence, residential mobility), and regulatory social institutions such as kinship structures and economic markets. This variability raises questions for normative theories of morality, but also holds promise for future descriptive work on moral thought and behavior.

## Address

University of Southern California, United States

Corresponding author: Graham, Jesse ([jesse.graham@usc.edu](mailto:jesse.graham@usc.edu))

**Current Opinion in Psychology** 2016, 8:125–130

This review comes from a themed issue on **Culture**

Edited by **Michele J Gelfand** and **Yoshihisa Kashima**

For a complete overview see the [Issue](#) and the [Editorial](#)

Available online 21st September 2015

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.09.007>

2352-250X/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

There is no question in current moral psychology about whether culture is important for morality — it is, and recent work is beginning to show exactly how. Most major theories in moral psychology include a primary role for cultural transmission of shared norms and values in predicting moral thought and action [1–3,4\*,5]. For instance, cultural learning (in which cultures differentially build on universally available intuitive systems) is one of the central tenets of Moral Foundations Theory [3], which was based in part on Shweder's comparisons of cultures in the three ethics of Autonomy, Community, and Divinity [1]. The cultural ubiquity of moral norms and values is a testament to the central role morality plays in holding societies together. Human beings are a physically weak species whose evolutionary success depended on the

ability to cooperate and live in groups. As such, shared norms — and their enforcement — are essential [6]. Indeed, children as young as three years old comprehend and enforce moral norms on behalf of others [7].

In this paper we review contemporary work on cultural factors affecting moral judgments and values, and those affecting moral behaviors. We define these broadly, as any judgments and behaviors people find morally relevant; cross-cultural research has shown great variety in the very definitions of 'moral' or 'immoral,' for instance with Westerners using immoral to connote primarily harmful actions, and Chinese to connote primarily uncivilized actions [8\*]. For both moral judgments and moral behaviors we highlight examples of within-societal cultural differences in morality, to show that these can be as substantial and important as cross-societal differences. We end by discussing future directions for psychological work on culture and morality.

## Moral judgments and values

Multifaceted psychological measurement of morality has opened up the doors to studying cross-cultural similarities and differences in moral judgments across a variety of content domains. Some domains like honesty are consistently endorsed as morally important across cultural contexts [9]. However, cultural variations in whether moral concerns focus on individual rights or communal social duties predict moralization of a broader range of personal and interpersonal actions [10,11]. Cultural variations in moral focus affect not only which behaviors individuals will find morally relevant, but also the extent to which their personal values will be reflected in their attitudes about social issues. For example, endorsement of self-transcendence values (e.g. believing that the universal well-being of others is important) strongly predicts pro-social and pro-environmental attitudes in individual rights-focused cultures, where investing one's own resources in collective goods is seen as a personal choice. However, the same value-attitude relationship is attenuated in cultures emphasizing duties toward one's community, as personal resources are culturally expected to contribute to the common good [12\*].

As individualism–collectivism research would suggest, research using multifaceted measurement has shown that while Western, Educated, Industrialized, Rich, and Democratic (WEIRD) [13\*] cultures are generally more apt to

endorse moral codes emphasizing individual rights and independence, non-WEIRD cultures tend to more strongly moralize duty-based communal obligations and spiritual purity [8<sup>\*</sup>,14–16]. In turn, individuals in autonomy-endorsing cultures view personal actions such as sexual behaviors as a matter of individual rights, whereas those in community-endorsing cultures are more likely to see them as a collective moral concern [10]. These societal prescriptions of what one should do to be a moral person facilitate endorsement of congruent personal values. Further, whether one's cultural prescriptions provide a range of morally acceptable responses or only one moral course of action affects the extent to which individuals' social attitudes and behaviors are able to reflect personal — rather than systemic — moral values [17].

These same cross-cultural differences in moral prescriptions of duty versus individual rights also inform interpersonal moral judgments and moral dilemma responses. In trolley-type dilemmas, respondents are asked whether they should sacrifice one person (say, by pulling a lever to redirect a runaway trolley) in order to save several others. While most people across cultures will say that flipping the lever is the morally right choice, those in collectivist cultures are more likely to also consider additional contextual information when forming judgments, such as whether or not it is their place (or duty) to act [18]. This relational consideration in turn leads to less admonishment of individuals who do not flip the lever, and fewer character attributions of actions made in absence of their broader contextual meaning [19].

Even when there is cross-cultural agreement in the moral importance of abstract concepts like justice or welfare, cultural differences can emerge in the perceived meaning of these concepts [8<sup>\*</sup>,20]. For people in autonomy-emphasizing cultures, justice and fairness are often viewed as a matter of equity, in which outcomes are proportional to personal effort regardless of the potential detriment to less-deserving others. By comparison, people in duty-based, communal cultures often view justice and fairness as an issue of equality, in which all individuals deserve equal outcomes and moral judgments are based on whether a self-beneficial outcome will cause others to suffer [21<sup>\*</sup>,22,23].

### Factors contributing to cultural differences

In addition to elaborating cultural differences in moral values, current research is also addressing factors that can help to explain them. One source of cultural variation in moral values, particularly ones pertaining to fairness and prosocial behavior, can be found in social institutions such as kinship structures and economic markets [24]. For example, higher degrees of market integration are associated with greater fairness in anonymous interpersonal transactions [6]. Ecological factors can also promote certain kinds of moral norms and values. For instance, pathogen prevalence predicts endorsement of loyalty,

authority, and purity concerns, which may discourage behaviors leading to disease contagion [25]. Similarly, exposure to high levels of threat (e.g. natural disasters or terrorism) produces morally 'tight' cultures in which violations of moral norms related to cooperation and interpersonal coordination are more harshly punished [26]. And residential mobility in a culture is associated with greater preference for egalitarianism over loyalty when it comes to preferred interaction partners [27].

Religion is one of the strongest cultural influences on moral values [28], and in a large cross-national study of values religious values varied between nations more than any other single factor [29<sup>\*\*</sup>]. But religious values also vary hugely within nations and societies. For example, Protestants, Catholics, and Jews, all of whom coexist within many nations, differ in how much moral weight they give to impure thoughts versus impure actions, with Protestants more strongly condemning 'crimes of the mind' (e.g. thinking about having an affair) [30<sup>\*</sup>].

### Cultural differences within societies

While cross-national comparisons of moral judgments have existed for decades, recent work is showing that cultural differences *within* nations and societies can be just as substantial. For example, within the US individuals from higher social classes make more utilitarian decisions in moral dilemmas than do those from lower classes [31]. Also within the US, state-level analyses show substantial variation in tightness (rigidly enforced rules and norms) vs. looseness (less rigid norms, more tolerance of deviance) [32]. Antecedents of tightness (compared to looseness) include ecological and man-made threats such as natural disasters, lack of resources, and disease prevalence, and outcomes of tightness include higher social stability, incarceration rates, and inequality, and lower homelessness, drug use, creativity, and happiness. Thus, the factors contributing to within-nation variations in tightness-looseness are largely the same as those contributing to cross-nation variations [33<sup>\*\*</sup>].

Political ideology has emerged as an important dimension for within-society cultural differences in morality. Moral Foundations Theory [3] has described ideological debates about moralized issues as liberal/left-wing cultures (vs. conservative/right-wing cultures) preferentially building more on Care and Fairness foundations than Loyalty, Authority, and Purity foundations [34,35]. These left-wing/right-wing differences have been replicated within several different nations and world areas [16]. Moral foundation endorsements and judgments can vary as much within nations (vegetarian vs. omnivore subcultures) as between nations (US vs. India) [36].

### Moral behavior

The moral status of specific social behaviors can vary widely across cultures [24]. At an extreme, the most

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/879302>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/879302>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)