

# Globalization and psychology

Chi-Yue Chiu<sup>1</sup> and Letty Yan-Yee Kwan<sup>2</sup>

In globalized societies, people often encounter symbols of diverse cultures in the same space at the same time. Simultaneous exposure to diverse cultures draws people's attention to cultural differences and promotes categorical perceptions of culture. Local cultural identification and presence of cultural threat increase the likelihood of resisting inflow of foreign cultures (exclusionary reactions). When cultures are seen as intellectual resources, foreign cultural exposure affords intercultural learning and enhances individual creativity (integrative reactions). Psychological studies of globalization attest to the utility of treating cultures as evolving, interacting systems, rather than static, independent entities.

## Addresses

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Social Science, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong

<sup>2</sup> Department of Psychology, Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, N.T., Hong Kong

Corresponding author: Chiu, Chi-Yue ([cychiu@cuhk.edu.hk](mailto:cychiu@cuhk.edu.hk))

**Current Opinion in Psychology** 2016, 8:44–48

This review comes from a themed issue on **Culture**

Edited by **Michele J Gelfand** and **Yoshihisa Kashima**

For a complete overview see the [Issue](#) and the [Editorial](#)

Available online 25th September 2015

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.09.010>

2352-250X/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Globalization refers to the process of interaction and integration among the people, companies and governments of different nations [1]. Globalization involves global flows of goods, services, ideas, technologies, cultural forms and people. It speeds up transnational circulation of ideas, languages and popular cultures. It also increases the inflow of capitalist values, neoliberal economic thoughts, and instrumental rationality into traditional communities [2•]. Although globalization is a popular topic of investigation in the social sciences, it has not received much research attention in psychology [3]. Nonetheless, findings from recent psychological studies of globalization invite psychologists to embrace an ecological view of human psychology and a system approach to personal, organizational and societal change [4,5]. These studies have also inspired some psychologists to adopt a polyculturalist perspective to culture, treating cultures as interacting systems rather than independent, static entities [6•].

Many behaviors are individuals' responses to globalization, including organization and participation in anti-globalization collective actions [7]; energy conservation behaviors in response to global warming [8]; support for restricting the civil liberties of foreign visitors and noncitizens in order to contain terrorism [9]; increased popularity of cosmopolitanism — the endorsement of cultural openness, respect for universal human rights and appreciation of cultural diversity — in global cities [10]; and new pathways of constructing cultural identities in globalized societies [11]. The current review focuses on three issues in psychological studies of globalization: (1) Lay perceptions of globalization; (2) perceptual consequences of globalization; and (3) responses to inflow of global culture.

## 1. Lay perceptions of globalization

Globalization is a multi-faceted construct that involves a multitude of issues [4]. How do lay people categorize these issues and evaluate their impact? Cross-cultural studies have identified 26 items that are perceived by people in the US, Taiwan, Hong Kong and Mainland China to be strongly associated with globalization. These items include Facebook, WTO, the UN, McDonald's, the Olympics, global warming, etc. People in these four regions classify these items into five clusters: (1) global consumer brands; (2) information technology; (3) human mobility; (4) global calamities; and (5) international trade and regulatory bodies [12].

When asked to evaluate the impact of each of these items on their community along two major dimensions of social perception: competence and warmth [13], people from all four regions rated most globalization-related items to have more positive impact on people's competence than on their warmth [12]. A popular folk theory of social change is that when a society develops from a traditional community to a modern society, its people become more capable and less trustworthy and warm-hearted than before [14]. Not surprisingly, in countries where globalization is a major driver of development, globalization is perceived to have the same impact as development. Nonetheless, individuals in a society differ in their beliefs about globalization. Those who expect globalization to have positive effects on well-being tend to believe that development will turn their society into a more competent *and* warmer society [15]. Likewise, in a society with recent positive experiences with globalization, its people also tend to forecast an optimistic future trend in society's competence and warmth [16].

## 2. Perceptual consequences

Globalization has brought symbols of diverse cultures together, resulting in experiential compression of space

and time. People in globalized environment often encounter symbols of different societies, as well as those of traditional and modern cultures in the same place and at the same time. These encounters afford ample opportunities for the simultaneous activation of two or more cultural representations [17].

When individuals rendering judgments or choices encounter symbols of a culture in their environment, they tend to display culturally stereotypic preferences [18]. For example, American consumers primed with icons of US culture tend to prefer brands that are believed to embody American core values [19]. Many residents of global cities have acquired knowledge of the typical behaviors in multiple cultural groups. These individuals may display stereotypic responses of one culture when primed with symbols of this culture, and automatically switch to the stereotypic responses of another culture when subsequently primed with symbols of the second culture [20,21].

When individuals encounter symbols of two dissimilar cultures (e.g., the Torah and the Koran) at the same time, the cultural representations associated with these symbols are activated simultaneously. Representations of the two cultures are now placed in cognitive juxtaposition. On the one hand, this process enhances the awareness of cultural differences (e.g., differences between Judaism and Islam). On the other hand, it enhances the perceived entitativity of the cultures (the perception of these cultures as real entities; e.g., the perception of Judaism and Islam as independent, non-interacting lineages). These effects, which have been referred to as *joint culture priming effects*, do not occur when only one cultural representation is activated, even when that representation is one of a foreign culture [22,23]. This finding suggests that co-activation of two dissimilar cultural representations facilitates entitative perceptions of cultures by focusing perceivers' attention on the essential attributes that differentiate the two cultures.

Consistent with these ideas, research has shown that joint culture priming increases the tendency to attribute stereotypic characteristics to members of one's own culture. For example, in one experiment [22], Beijing Chinese undergraduates were asked to evaluate a *McDonald's* hamburger advertisement that was placed either next to another *McDonald's* hamburger advertisement (one culture condition) or next to a Chinese mooncake advertisement (two cultures condition). In the one culture condition, only the representation of American culture was activated. In the two cultures condition, representations of both American and Chinese cultures were activated. Next, participants were presented with two commercial messages for *Timex*, one appealing to individualist values, and one to collectivist ones. Compared to those in the one culture condition, those in the two

cultures condition believed that the collectivist message was more popular among Chinese consumers. Similar phenomena were observed among European Americans [22]. Moreover, these perceptual effects were observed even when people were exposed to two outgroup cultures, suggesting that these effects occur not because joint culture priming has induced a heightened need to differentiate ingroup culture from outgroup cultures [23].

The joint culture priming effects have been applied to explain several phenomena. First, compared to residents of suburban towns, people living in cosmopolitan cities are more aware of cultural differences and endorse cultural stereotypes more [24]. This is the case probably because people in cosmopolitan cities have more frequent exposure to multiple cultures simultaneously. Second, people were more inclined to view cultures as real entities with distinctive traits shortly after an international mega event (e.g., the Olympics Games) had taken place in their city, probably because the event had created many opportunities for joint culture priming [25]. Finally, in cultural psychology classes, students are often directed to compare and contrast cultures. Research shows that students who have taken cultural psychology classes are more sensitive to cultural differences. However, they are also more inclined to endorse cultural stereotypes [26\*].

### 3. Responses to inflow of foreign culture

Exposure to foreign cultures in global contexts may produce a broad range of psychological impacts, from inciting exclusionary, nationalist sentiments to inspiring novel, creative ideas. There are two broad categories of psychological responses to inflow of foreign culture in global contexts. Exclusionary reactions refer to emotion-driven reactions to fears of cultural contamination and erosion. In contrast, integrative reactions are goal-oriented reactions geared toward problem solving.

Some examples of exclusionary reactions to inflow of foreign cultures include the Chinese's demand to remove a Starbucks café from Beijing's Imperial Palace Museum in 2007, the French's objection to opening a McDonald's restaurant at the Louvre Museum in 2009, and some Americans' opposition to building a Mosque near Ground Zero in 2011.

For an inflow of foreign culture to evoke exclusionary reactions, the locals must see it as a symbolic act that signifies the attempt of a foreign culture to trample over the local culture. For example, in one experiment, an ad that announced the "opening" of a McDonald's in the Great Wall (a sacred cultural space in China) evoked exclusionary reactions from the Beijingers only when the McDonald's logo was superimposed on a picture of the Great Wall in the ad instead of being placed next to it (signifying a foreign trespassing on China's sacred cultural space) and when the Beijingers were led by the tagline to

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/879318>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/879318>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)