Acta Orthopaedica et Traumatologica Turcica xxx (2017) 1–4

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Acta Orthopaedica et Traumatologica Turcica

journal homepage: https://www.elsevier.com/locate/aott

Platelet rich plasma: Effective treatment for repairing of spinal cord injury in rat

Reza Salarinia [a, b], Hamid Reza Sadeghnia [c, *], Daryoush Hamidi Alamdari [d], Seyed Javad Hoseini [a], Asghar Mafinezhad [e], Mahmoud Hoseini [f]

[a] Department of Medical Biotechnology, School of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran
[b] Department of Medical Biotechnology and Molecular Sciences, School of Medicine, North Khorasan University of Medical Sciences, Bojnurd, Iran
[c] Pharmacological Research Center of Medicinal Plants, School of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran
[d] Biochemistry and Nutrition Research Center, Department of Clinical Biochemistry, School of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Iran
[e] Pathology Department of Shahid Kamyab Hospital, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran
[f] Division of Neurocognitive Sciences, Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 29 December 2015
Received in revised form
29 February 2016
Accepted 9 July 2016
Available online xxx

Keywords:
Spinal cord injury
Platelet rich plasma
Locomotor function

ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of PRP on the repair of spinal cord injury in rat model.
Material and methods: Rats were randomly divided into three groups with six rats in each group. Then, spinal cord injury was performed under general anesthesia using "weight dropping" method. Control group included rats receiving normal saline, group two received PRP 1 week after injury; group three received PRP 24 h after injury. The motor function was assessed weekly using the Basso, Beattie, and Bresnahan (BBB) locomotor rating scale. Anterograde tracing was performed for evaluation of axon regeneration.
Result: Motor recovery was significantly better in the rats treated with PRP 24 h after injury than the control group. In the rats treated with PRP 1 week after injury and rats treated with PRP 24 h after injury, the average numbers of BDA-labeled axons were statistically different from the control group.
Conclusion: Our experimental study demonstrated positive effects of platelet rich plasma on nerve regeneration after spinal cord injury.

Introduction

Spinal cord injury (SCI) often causes permanent neurological deficits, mostly because injured neurons lack regenerative ability, and a series of pathological events following SCI results in a second wave of cell death and spreading tissue loss. Accordingly, axonal regeneration and neuro-protection to restore functional recovery after SCI become crucial. The majority of previous studies focused upon growth and neurotrophic factors for recovery of SCI.[1,2]

Blood platelets contain many different growth and neurotrophic factors that are released when activated, including platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1), fibroblast growth factor (FGF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), nerve growth factor (NGF) and neurotrophin-3 (NT3).[3–5] There is a great interest in utilizing platelet rich plasma (PRP) in oral and maxillofacial bone grafting procedures, non-healing wounds, ulcers, fistula, skin rejuvenation, and peripheral nerve regeneration.[6–12] However, there is no literature on the effect of PRP on the regeneration of central nerve fibers, particularly in SCI. The enhancing effect of PRP is based on the premises that a large number of platelets in PRP release significant quantities of growth factors that aid the healing process. These growth factors act locally to recruit undifferentiated cells to the site of injury, trigger mitosis in these cells, and stimulate angiogenesis.[13]

The aim of this study was to show that PRP can enhance nerve regeneration and functional recovery when locally applied in rat SCI model. Possible effects were evaluated using behavioral and histological methods.

* Corresponding author.
E-mail address: SadeghniaHR@mums.ac.ir (H.R. Sadeghnia).
Peer review under responsibility of Turkish Association of Orthopaedics and Traumatology.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aott.2017.02.009

Please cite this article in press as: Salarinia R, et al., Platelet rich plasma: Effective treatment for repairing of spinal cord injury in rat, Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aott.2017.02.009

Materials and methods

Animals

Male Wistar rats weighing 210–230 g were obtained from the Animal Facilities of the School of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences (MUMS). All animal treated in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guidance for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, and their use was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences (910856). These rats underwent spinal cord contusion (see below) and were treated with antibiotics (cefazolin 50 mg/kg). Moreover, they were kept one per cage and underwent urinary bladder massage at least twice a day until the recovery of spontaneous micturition.

Spinal cord surgery

The rats were randomly divided into three groups, six rats each.[14] Then, the SCI was induced under general anesthesia using the drop weight method. In group one, the rats received normal saline (control group); in group two, they received PRP a week after the injury; and in Group three, they received PRP 24 h after the injury. The rats were anesthetized (70 mg/kg ketamine and 10 mg/kg xylazine), laminectomized at T10, and contused by dropping a 10 g metal rod from the height of 50 mm onto the exposed spinal cord.[15] Afterward, the dorsal musculature and skin was sutured. Locomotor function was observed and recorded using the Basso, Beattie, and Bresnahan (BBB) locomotor rating scale[16] to ensure that complete loss of locomotion in both hindlimbs occurred. Animals that showed a movement in hindlimbs, were excluded from the study.

PRP preparation

One day before the operation, 10 ml of the peripheral blood was collected from two inbred rats in a tube containing 1 ml clinical grade citrate phosphate dextrose buffer. The donor rats were anesthetized, and their blood was collected by cardiac puncture under anesthesia. The animals were then killed by an intraperitoneal injection of an overdose of ketamine and xylazine. PRP was then prepared by the first centrifugation at 2000 g for 2 min and second centrifugation at 4000 g for 8 min.[7]

PRP injection

Five μl PRP were stereotaxically injected into 1.5 mm depth of the caudal border of the lesion using Hamilton syringes fitted with 30G needles at a rate of 0.5 μl/min. Control spinally contused rats received the injection of normal saline in the same manner. After each injection, the 30 gauge needle was maintained in the spinal cord for an additional 5 min to reduce the possibility of leakage of the injected fluid from the site.

Behavioral analysis

Animals were assessed weekly for locomotor function by two blinded observers, using BBB hindlimb locomotor rating scale and the follow-up was continued for 5 weeks. All the results were assessed by two observers who were blind to the treatment. Locomotor activities were evaluated by placing animals for 4 min in an open field. Hindlimb locomotor recovery in animals was scored on a scale of 0 (no hindlimb movement) to 21 (normal mobility).

Anterograde tracing

Following the conclusion of behavioral experiments, three rats from each group were anaesthetized and an incision was made through the skin covering the skull. One hole was made at 2 mm lateral and 1.6 mm caudal to the bregma. Then, 1 μl anterograde tracer biotinylated dextran amine (BDA, Life Technologies, Cat No. D-1956) was slowly injected at the depth of 1.5 mm. Two weeks after the BDA injection, the animals were deeply anaesthetized and transcardially perfused with 100 ml of heparinized phosphate buffered saline (PBS), followed by 100 ml of 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The vertebral column was dissected from each animal and post-fixed for 24 h. A 1 cm segment was cut from the spinal cord, with the lesion at the mid-point of this segment, and embedded in paraffin. The embedded spinal cords were transversely sectioned (5 μm thickness with 200 μm interval) using a microtome. The sections were washed in PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100, incubated for 1 h with avidin and biotinylated horseradish peroxidase (HRP) (NeuroTrace TM BDA-10,000 Neuronal Tracer Kit, Cat No. N-7167), washed in PBS, and then reacted with 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) in 50 mM Tris buffer, pH 7.6, and 0.024% hydrogen peroxide. Following the DAB staining, which led to black deposit formation, ten sequential cross-sections, 5 μm apart, were randomly prepared. The cross-section blocks were used to determine the extent of corticospinal tract (CST) labeling in the lesion. The extent of the BDA labeled fibers of the thoracic spinal cord at the thoracic vertebrae (T10) in four sections from each animal were quantified (surface area 0.28 mm^2) in a blind manner using Scion Image software (version 3.3, Germany).[17]

Statistical analysis

All the data were represented as mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis was performed using one-way ANOVA and two-way ANOVA. A Turkey test was used for post-hoc analysis for all the comparisons. The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS), version 16 (Chicago, Inc., USA) was used for all statistical comparisons.

Results

Recovery of hindlimb function

The locomotor function was assessed weekly using the BBB locomotor rating scale. As shown in Fig. 1, at week 5, the average score of recovery of hindlimb function in the rats treated with PRP 24 h after SCI significantly increased in comparison with control rats ($p < 0.05$). However, there was no significant difference in recovery of hindlimb function between rats treated with PRP 24 h after injury and rats treated with PRP 1 week after injury. The average score of recovery of hindlimb function in the rats treated with PRP 1 week after injury showed no significant difference in comparison with control rats. In the 5th week after SCI, the average score in the control group was 9.67 ± 0.42, while in the rats treated with PRP 1 week or 24 h after SCI were 10.67 ± 0.49 and 11.67 ± 0.42, respectively. At week 5 post spinal injury, most rats treated with PRP 24 h after injury showed plantar stepping with frequent to consistent weight bearing and occasional forelimb-hindlimb coordination ($p < 0.05$). On the contrary, control rats and those treated with PRP 1 week after injury showed plantar stepping with occasional weight bearing and no forelimb-hindlimb coordination.

Please cite this article in press as: Salarinia R, et al., Platelet rich plasma: Effective treatment for repairing of spinal cord injury in rat, Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aott.2017.02.009