

## Accepted Manuscript

Different Fatigue-Resistant Leg Muscles and EMG Response during Whole-Body Vibration

Deniz Simsek

PII: S1050-6411(17)30151-7

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelekin.2017.10.006>

Reference: JJEK 2119

To appear in: *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*

Received Date: 25 April 2017

Revised Date: 27 September 2017

Accepted Date: 18 October 2017



Please cite this article as: D. Simsek, Different Fatigue-Resistant Leg Muscles and EMG Response during Whole-Body Vibration, *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology* (2017), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelekin.2017.10.006>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

# Different Fatigue-Resistant Leg Muscles and EMG Response during Whole-Body Vibration

## The Influence of Vibration on Muscle Activation

<sup>1</sup>DENİZ SIMSEK

<sup>1</sup>*Faculty of Sport Sciences, Department of Physical Education and Sport Teaching,  
Anadolu University, Eskisehir, Turkey*

Address for correspondence: Deniz Simsek, Ph.D., Faculty of Sport Sciences, Department of Physical Education and Sport Teaching, Anadolu University, Turkey 26470, E-mail: ds@anadolu.edu.tr, Phone: +90(222)3350580-67221.

### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to determine the effects of static whole-body vibration (WBV) on the Electromyographic (EMG) responses of leg muscles, which are fatigue-resistant in different manner. The study population was divided into two groups according to the values obtained by the Fatigue Index [Group I: Less Fatigue Resistant (LFR), n=11; Group II: More Fatigue Resistant (MFR), n=11]. The repeated electromyographic (EMG) activities of four leg muscles were analyzed the following determinants: (1) frequency (30 Hz, 35Hz and 40Hz); (2) stance position (static squat position); (3) amplitude (2 mm and 4 mm) and (4) knee flexion angle (120°). Data were analyzed using PASW/SPSS Statistics 18.0, and the significance level was set at  $p<0.05$ . The study results showed that static WBV stimuli given at different frequencies and amplitudes resulted in a significant increase in lower-body muscular activation ( $p<0.05$ ). When the MFR and LFR groups were compared, the LFR group showed significantly (1) higher rates of quadriceps femoris and hamstring muscle fatigue ( $p<0.05$ ), (2) higher levels of knee extensor and flexor torque ( $p<0.05$ ) and (3) higher percentage increases in EMG activation at higher frequencies (max at 40 Hz) and amplitudes (4 mm) ( $p<0.05$ ). The present study can be used for the optimal prescription of vibration exercise and can serve to guide the development of training programs.

**Key Words:** Fatigue, Vibration, Resistance, Optimal, Sport, Muscle Activation And Electromyography.

### Highlights

- LFR group experienced a significantly higher rate of RF and BF muscle fatigue, compared to the MFR group
- Static WBV stimuli produced significant increases in lower-body muscular activation
- Highest EMG activity in the LFR group was recorded when the frequency and amplitude were 40 Hz and 4 mm, respectively
- The muscle activation of MFR group decreased by increasing frequency of the WBV.
- Increasing the amplitude of WBV attains significant increases in the activation of LFR and MFR leg muscles significant

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8799828>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8799828>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)