FISEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Annals of Medicine and Surgery

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/amsu



Review

Role of biobanks in transplantation

Z. Hanif^{a,1}, N. Sufiyan^{a,1}, M. Patel^a, M.Z. Akhtar^{a,b,*}

- ^a Royal Berkshire Hospital, Reading, RG1, UK
- b Oxford Transplant Centre, Nuffield Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Oxford, Churchill Hospital, Oxford, OX3 7LJ, UK



ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Organ donor
Biobanking
Kidney
Liver
Heart
Systems biology
Personalised medicine
Proteomics
Metabolomics
Transcriptomics

ABSTRACT

The establishment of bio-banks together with high throughput technologies, such as genomics, transcriptomics and proteomics has opened new frontiers in biomarker discovery and the development of systems biology approaches to identifying key pathways that could be exploited to improve outcomes of solid organ transplantation. One of the major challenges in organ donation has been the lack of access to large scale well characterised material to facilitate projects that aim to characterise injury to donor organs and identify biomarkers. This may have hampered research in the field of organ donation by not allowing researchers to materials of high quality and lower pre-analytical variability. We describe in this manuscript the need for bio-banks in organ donation, research opportunities and the particular challenges in establishing such an initiative.

1. Introduction

Over the last decade we have seen a multitude of advances in solid organ transplantation including the use of more advanced immunosuppression, novel surgical techniques and the use of *ex-situ* machine perfusion technologies to support and resuscitate organs for transplant [1,2]. Few innovations have been established or rigorously proven in the field of organ donation however, as illustrated by the lack of translational studies that have shown to be of benefit in rodent models of organ transplantation that have progressed into demonstrating efficacy in human clinical trials [3].

This is in part be due to the multi-faceted injury that organs sustain even prior to organ procurement [4]. This is thought to have an impact on the short and long term outcomes of the transplant. Severe and irreversible pathophysiological changes in the donor result in a disturbance of metabolic, immunological, autonomic and haematological homeostasis resulting in injury to donor organs, increasing both their immunogenicity and susceptibility to preservation injury [5]. Overall this leads to the significant variation in the characteristics of donors, complex mechanisms of injury to donor organs and leads to unpredictable variability of transplantation outcomes.

The identification of pathways that are altered during organ donation, potential molecular targets for therapeutics or biomarkers of organ quality is thus extremely challenging. In addition, coordinating large multi-centre clinical trials in organ donation has proven difficult not

only due to logistics surrounding national allocation of organs but the legal, ethical, organisational and financial challenges to ensure safety and governance. This may in part be the reason why therapies in the organ donor such as administration of thyroid hormone replacement strategies or steroids, have had conflicting results. There is also a growing body of literature that suggests that there is no 'one size fits all' even for organs within the same donor [6]. Thus there is a need to study both organ and donor types, since one therapeutic intervention for improving kidney transplant outcomes may not necessarily have the same beneficial effects for liver and other forms of transplant.

2. The role of bio banks in organ donation

Bio-banking is not a new phenomenon. For many years researchers and clinicians, usually as part of academic institutions, have held collections of samples from research subjects [7,8]. Over the last 30 years, but particularly since the implementation of legislation such as the Human Tissue Act 2006 in England, this has developed in to a more complex but refined procedure, involving larger collections including national bio-banks, such as the UK bio-bank, or disease and population specific bio-banks, such as that for prostate cancer [9]. An emerging area of science looking into sample quality, specimen handling and bio-banking infrastructure has emerged as a consequence [10]. Alongside this a number of important ethical and regulatory issues have emerged, specifically with regards to genetic information, obtaining samples and

^{*} Corresponding author. Oxford Transplant Centre, University of Oxford, Nuffield Department of Surgical Sciences, Oxford, UK. E-mail address: zeeshanakhtar@doctors.org.uk (M.Z. Akhtar).

¹ Contributed equally.

Abbreviations		QUOD	Quality in Organ Donation
		NHSBT	NHS Blood and Transplant
BCAR	Biopsy confirmed acute rejection	NORS	National organ retrieval service
DBD	Donation after brain death	RCT	Randomised control trial
DCD	Donation after circulatory death	SNOD	Specialist Nurse in Organ Donation
ECD	Extended criteria donor	SORT	Scotland Organ Retrieval Service
HTA	Human tissue act	SOP	Standard operating procedure

sample storage [11]. Accountability, anonymisation and data protection are also emerging as key areas for consideration for biobanking [12].

The interest in bio-banks has not only been led by the technological revolution of sequencing or large scale –omic studies, but also by the generation of automated systems, robotics and new ways to transport and store samples. In addition the streamlining of data-systems to allow the collection of more accompanying data has meant that bio-banks have become even more powerful for research. Indeed, virtual biobanks which simply hold clinical data with sequencing or –omic data results are emerging.

In transplantation there are already several bio-banks and many local transplant centre collections of samples facilitating research predominantly in areas concerning the recipient. Our aim was to establish a bio-bank which would open new frontiers of research by looking into the donor, specifically with the aim in improving the quality of donated organs. We outline several areas of research that such a bio-bank could positively contribute to, including biomarker discovery, identification of pathways of injury and repair and clinical trials in organ donation.

2.1. Biomarker discovery

One of the clinical challenges in transplantation remains deciding what constitutes a suitable organ for transplantation. Despite years of experience with offering and allocation, uncertainty still prevails regarding which organ to accept or to decline for a particular recipient. This is increasingly becoming more of a pressing issue, since the transplant community are increasingly having to turn to older and more 'higher risk' donors to address the persistent donor shortage. Exacerbated by the falling rate of death in those aged under 75 years and the associated increase in obesity and other co-morbidities (NHSBT activity report). Demographic factors, such as donor age for example, may provide clues as to short and long term likelihood of outcomes which may affect organs differently, but absolute predictive values of such demographics remains poor. Similarly biochemical/functional measures such as donor serum creatinine are not sensitive enough to make decisions regarding the suitability of an organ.

Composite risk scores, such as a donor risk index, which exists for the kidney, liver and other organs, which take into consideration a number of risk indices, are not sufficiently predictive of the suitability of an organ for transplant. For example the kidney donor risk index (KDRI), is an estimated relative risk of post transplant kidney graft survival based on a score for the deceased donor compared to the median (50th percentile) donor [13]. Such scoring systems are useful tools but generally apply to populations of recipients rather than individual patients, have yet to be validated in large cohorts, and lack the required sensitivity and specificity to enable international adoption as the gold standard assessment criteria for donor kidney selection [14]. In addition, this and other scoring systems are not able to predict other clinically relevant post operative outcomes such as the development of delayed graft function. Other tools which combine recipient information and also histological features may add in more specificity, but are vet to be validated [15].

Many of these risk scores and also biochemical parameters fail to recognise the complexity of the donor. It is becoming increasingly clear that all donor organs do not behave the same either due to the injury encountered following brain death or due to the effects of warm ischemia in DCD donation [16]. Furthermore, such risk scores fail to account for other donor factors, such as risk of disease transmission associated with, for example, social or occupational habits.

Biomarkers may offer a more sensitive way to predict outcomes. The development of next generation proteomics, metabolomics and transcriptomics, together with the development of bioinformatics tools may allow identification of novel biomarkers, or collection of markers referred to as a molecular signature, which can predict outcomes. Combining molecular signatures with demographic information and other donor and recipient factors, obtained from linkage to a transplant registry, will further increase the power of such profiles to predict outcomes. The establishment of a bio-bank facilitates this type of research, which has been successful in other specialities including cardiac research and diabetes [17]. The complexity of biomarker research and the correlation with individual markers and signatures of injury and how they correlate with clinically relevant outcomes is recognised. That said, the advent of data mining, machine learning and artificial intelligence will advance this area of research in the future.

Bio-banks offer standardised procedures for the collection of samples, which allows for minimisation of pre-analytical variability. This is of crucial importance when identifying biomarkers, especially from complex subjects such as organ donors and complex sample types such as serum and tissues. The particular challenge in organ donation, is reducing this pre-analytical variability whilst obtaining samples of high enough quality at times when routine laboratories and research staff are not available [18]. There constantly remains a balance between pragmatism and best practice protocols for sample collection [19].

Sample types, which are easy to obtain and have specific associations with an organ, for example urine for kidney transplantation, may offer specific advantages for biomarker discovery (so called 'proximal samples') [20]. Urinary proteomics has shown some promise in being able to suggest candidate markers for development of acute rejection, chronic allograft nephropathy or BK viral infection [21]. Other markers in serum and plasma have also been identified.

For example Freue et al. used isobaric tagging of relative and absolute protein quantification (iTRAQ) technology to quantitate plasma protein relative concentrations in patients with and without biopsy confirmed acute rejection (BCAR). Plasma samples which were depleted of the 14 most abundant plasma proteins, to increase detection sensitivity, and fold change threshold set at ≥ 1.15 for diagnostic purposes. A range of candidate proteins were identified including titin, lipopolysaccharide-binding protein, peptidase inhibitor 16, amongst others [22]. Few biomarker studies have been performed in the donor however, partly due to the perception of legal constraints and logistical issues in obtaining samples.

A recent review by Sarwal et al. has suggested the potential of such approaches in proteomic biomarker discovery and also personalised medicine [22,23]. The review highlights one of the challenges in proteomics, in handling the complex nature of the protein make-up of samples which increases due to the post-translational modification of proteins and also temporal and dynamic nature of protein turn-over [24].

Other –omic technologies such as lipidomics, metabolomics, micro-RNAs and transcriptomics may provide additional opportunities for biomarker discovery [25]. For example, Verhoeven et al. demonstrated

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8817262

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8817262

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>