ARTICLE IN PRESS

UROLOGYPRACTICE

urologypracticejournal.com

Abbreviations

and Acronyms

infections

Sessions

CAUTI = catheter

associated urinary tract

IM = internal medicine

TIPS = Troubleshooting,

Indications and Practice

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

## Urinary Catheter Management for Nonurologists: A Resident Driven **Educational Initiative**

Matthew Mossanen,\* Brian Winters, Franklin Lee, Liam C. Macleod, Maahum Haider, Suzette E. Sutherland, Robin Olsen, Claire C. Yang, Bruce Dalkin, John Choe and John L. Gore

From the Departments of Urology (MM, BW, FL, LCM, MH, SES, CCY, BD, JLG), Epidemiology (RO) and Medicine (JC), University of Washington, Seattle, Washington

#### Abstract

Introduction: Prevention of catheter associated urinary tract infection relies on timely catheter removal and care of indwelling catheters. Educational and quality improvement initiatives to prevent catheter associated urinary tract infection should address the basics of urinary catheter placement and management. Internal medicine residents are an appropriate target for these efforts and they may lack formal training in these issues. We developed a resident driven orientation session that covers basic Foley catheter management principles called the TIPS (Troubleshooting, Indications and Practice Sessions) program.

Methods: Urology residents at our institution were queried on common consultations for urinary catheter related issues. The incoming intern internal medicine class at our institution completed a pre-TIPS survey that evaluated their baseline urological experience and knowledge. A 1-hour didactic session led by urology residents was followed by hands-on directed practice with mannequins. The web based survey was repeated 1 month later.

Results: Of the total of 60 residents 54 (90%) completed the initial survey. In medical school 38 of 54 residents (70%) had never rotated in urology. Upon repeating the survey at 1 month the response rate was 34 of 60 residents (57%). The proportion of residents confident in their ability to troubleshoot catheter problems increased from 50 to 88% (p < 0.05). Knowledge of indications, clot retention and proper catheter technique also improved (p < 0.05).

**Conclusions:** A focused educational session about common urological catheter management scenarios resulted in improved internal medicine resident confidence in catheter troubleshooting and knowledge of basic urinary catheter placement indications. These educational sessions may be a method to improve nonurology resident education and awareness of common urological issues.

Key Words: urethra; urinary tract infections; catheterization; education, medical; internship and residency

48

No direct or indirect commercial incentive associated with publishing this article. number. The corresponding author certifies that, when applicable, a statement(s) Supported with University of Washington Housestaff Association grant has been included in the manuscript documenting institutional review board, support. \* Correspondence: Department of Urology, University of Washington School ethics committee or ethical review board study approval; principles of Helsinki Declaration were followed in lieu of formal ethics committee of Medicine, 1959 Northeast Pacific, Box 356510, Seattle, Washington 98195 (telephone: 818-590-2441; FAX: 206-543-3272; e-mail address: mnmoss@uw. approval; institutional animal care and use committee approval; all human edu). subjects provided written informed consent with guarantees

2352-0779/17/41-1/0

UROLOGY PRACTICE

Submitted for publication November 4, 2015.

© 2016 by American Urological Association Education and Research, Inc.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.urpr.2015.12.003 Vol. 4, 1-6, January 2017 Published by Elsevier

confidentiality; IRB approved protocol number; animal approved project

### ARTICLE IN PRESS Urinary Catheter Management for Nonurologists

97 Quality improvement initiatives in health care are becoming 98 important driving forces in the promotion of care that is safe, effective and efficient.<sup>1,2</sup> AMA (American Medical Asso-99 ciation) created PCPI (Physician Consortium for Perfor-100 101 mance Improvement) to promote patient centered care and quality improvement programs.<sup>3</sup> In the last 10 years almost 102 103 every major medical society has established a division 104 dedicated to quality improvement in patient care. One of the 105 most widely adopted quality improvement initiatives across the country is the prevention of CAUTIs.<sup>4</sup> Prevention of 106 CAUTI has been identified as a prime target for improving 107 patient care and decreasing health care costs.<sup>5</sup> CAUTIs are 108 109 tracked as a metric of hospital performance and recently 110 Medicare adopted a nonpayment policy for additional care 111 and costs resulting from a CAUTI diagnosis.<sup>6</sup>

112 Although quality improvement initiatives have been 113 adopted at many hospitals, most physicians other than urolo-114 gists have no working knowledge of urinary catheters and their 115 management, potentially compromising the efficacy of these 116 quality improvement initiatives. In fact, to our knowledge no 117 formalized programs to date directly address the basics of 118 urinary catheter placement and important issues that relate to 119 catheter management for IM residents. As a result, at many 120 academic institutions residents go through residency training 121 without a basic orientation to Foley catheter management and 122 they experience deficiencies in their knowledge of important 123 urological topics that they are likely to encounter in practice.

124 Inevitably, these new physicians will care for a patient with 125 a urinary catheter. Up to 25% of hospitalized patients and 10% of nursing home patients will have an indwelling urinary 126 catheter.<sup>4</sup> Despite this we could not identify a standardized 127 128 curriculum devoted to CAUTI and urinary catheter manage-129 ment. Such a curriculum would echo ongoing efforts around 130 central venous line placement in which modules involving 131 simulation models have oriented resident trainees to a com-132 plex procedure and potential source of in-hospital morbidity.<sup>7</sup>

133 We sought to address this training deficiency in a teaching hospital environment through an educational ses-134 135 sion. In this project we created a focused session covering 136 commonly encountered topics in urology, including man-137 agement of indwelling urinary catheters, and tested its 138 effectiveness among incoming IM interns at our institution. 139 The session was designed to cover urinary catheter trou-140 bleshooting and indications for placement, and it included 141 hands-on practice sessions using TIPS.

#### 144 Methods

145

142

143

This pilot project was designed to educate IM interns and improve their knowledge of and confidence with urinary

148 catheter related issues. This was a step toward our overarching goal of improving patient care. The curriculum was 149 derived from consultation with urology residents and faculty 150 regarding the most commonly encountered reasons for 151 urological consultation with specific attention to consulta-152 tions on the management of indwelling urinary catheters. 153 Additional topics discussed included indications for urinary 154 catheter placement, management of continuous bladder 155 irrigation, catheter associated urinary tract infection epide-156 miology and management of asymptomatic bacteruria. This 157 information was used to create a brief didactic lecture and 158 159 hands-on guided practice in urinary catheter placement and catheter troubleshooting. The lecture included common 160 clinical scenarios that described management of a clotted 161 catheter, difficult urinary catheter placement and continuous 162 163 bladder irrigation setup.

Two weeks prior to orientation week the IM resident 164 class was invited to complete a brief web based survey. This 165 survey was implemented online through the Catalyst system 166 at our institution and 2 email reminders were circulated to 167 increase participation. The survey included questions about 168 prior urology experience in medical school, including 169 experience placing urinary catheters, calling urology con-170 sults and irrigating catheters. We also tested urinary catheter 171 172 knowledge using case based scenarios and asked residents to 173 rate their confidence with troubleshooting common urological topics. 174

The TIPS intervention was a 60-minute session with a 175 15-minute didactic lecture followed by a 35-minute hands-176 on practicum guided by senior urology residents and fac-177 ulty. In these practice sessions IM residents worked on 178 mannequins to practice placing various catheter types (ie 179 straight and Coudé tip urinary catheters) and irrigating 180 catheters with catheter tip syringes. TIPS concluded with a 181 182 10-minute summary session to review key points and the case based scenarios. A high yield handout was provided 183 (fig. 1). Residents were provided with a laminated card that [F1]84 included useful information from the didactic lecture (fig. 2). [F2]85

One month after TIPS we repeated the same survey with 186 IM resident participants. Descriptive statistics were performed for survey results before and after the session. 188

189

190

191

#### Results

In June 2014 the incoming IM class of 60 residents at our institution was divided into 2 groups of 30 each and then subdivided into small groups of 8 to 10. Of the 60 residents 54 completed the initial survey for a response rate of 90%. Figure 2 shows prior urological experience. Approximately half of the respondents were confident in their ability to

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8830468

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8830468

Daneshyari.com