



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

The American Journal of Surgery

journal homepage: www.americanjournalofsurgery.com

The benefits of a low dose complex carbohydrate/citrulline electrolyte solution for preoperative carbohydrate loading: Focus on glycemic variability

Barrett A. Kielhorn^{a, b, *}, Anthony J. Senagore^c, Theodor Asgeirsson^{d, e, f, g}

^a Metro Health - University of Michigan Health System, Wyoming, MI, USA

^b Clinical Associate Professor Michigan State University College of Osteopathic Medicine, Department of Osteopathic Surgical Specialties, USA

^c Professor, Vice Chair Department of Surgery, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX, USA

^d West Michigan Surgical Specialists LLC, Grand Rapids, MI, USA

^e Clinical Chief, Division of Colorectal Surgery Mercy Health St Mary's Hospital, Grand Rapids, MI, USA

^f Clinical Assistant Professor Michigan State University College of Osteopathic Medicine, Department of Osteopathic Surgical Specialties, USA

^g Clinical Assistant Professor Michigan State University College of Human Medicine, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 7 July 2017

Received in revised form

4 October 2017

Accepted 5 October 2017

Keywords:

Colorectal surgery

Enhanced recovery program

Carbohydrate loading

Perioperative glycemic control

ABSTRACT

Background: Perioperative insulin resistance is associated with significant hyperglycemia-related morbidity in patients undergoing major surgery. We sought to assess the effect of preoperative loading with a low-dose maltodextrin/citrulline solution compared to a commercially available sports drink on glycemic levels in an established colorectal enhanced recovery program.

Methods: Retrospective analysis was undertaken of elective non-diabetic colectomies and enterectomies from January 2016–March 2017. Cohorts included simple (SIM) and complex carbohydrate (COM) groups. Statistical analysis was performed with linear and logarithmic regression.

Results: 83 patients were included (42 SIM, 41 COM). SIM group was older (61.7 vs 52.7 p = 0.012). Glycemic variability was less in the COM group (7.6% vs 21.4% P = 0.034). The frequency of hyperglycemia, postoperative complications, and length of stay trended higher in the SIM group.

Conclusions: This retrospective analysis identifies significant improvement in the perioperative glycemic variability with preoperative low dose complex carbohydrate loading compared to simple carbohydrate loading in colorectal surgery patients.

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction/background

In recent years, the classic dictum of “nil per os” status prior to major gastrointestinal surgery has been challenged. Despite current American Society of Anesthesiology guideline permitting intake of solids 6 h and clear liquids 2 h before surgery, the practice of preoperative oral intake has lagged behind.¹ The catabolic state that occurs as a result of fasting and physiologic insult of surgery results in a surge of catecholamines and inflammatory cytokines.^{2–5} Enhanced circulation of inflammatory mediators results in insulin resistance, increasing the risk of perioperative hyperglycemia.^{2,3} Up to 40% of patients undergoing major abdominal operations

experience perioperative hyperglycemia (≥ 140 mg/dL). Perioperative hyperglycemia has been implicated in an increased risk of perioperative complications including surgical site infection, reoperation, and mortality.^{6,7}

Enhanced recovery programs (ERP) in colorectal surgery have the aim of minimizing the stress response of surgery and its associated catabolic state.^{2,8–10} Interventions such as minimally invasive surgery, bowel preparation with oral antibiotics, opiate sparing analgesia, early mobility, and early postoperative dietary advancement have significantly improved outcomes.^{4,6,8} As their complexity increases, it has become difficult to identify the relative impact of individual components within an ERP and which components offer the greatest value. In review of colorectal surgery ERPs, the greatest potential benefit with respect to surgical site infection appears to be related to four specific process measures: bowel prep with oral antibiotics, laparoscopy, mitigation of early hyperglycemic episodes, and appropriate use of prophylactic

* Corresponding author. Metro Health - University of Michigan Health System, Wyoming, MI, USA.

E-mail address: bkielhorn@gmail.com (B.A. Kielhorn).

intravenous antibiotics.

Of the aforementioned process measures, the most ambiguous measure is the potential benefit of “appropriate” carbohydrate loading. The original work by Lundqvist focused on restoration of insulin sensitivity using 3 individual doses of a preoperative maltodextrin carbohydrate drink with an appropriate volume and osmolarity to assure prompt gastric emptying. This work and additional supporting evidence confirmed the improvement in insulin sensitivity and safety when administered up to two hours prior to surgery.^{2,3,5} Interestingly, until the recently published PROCY Study no prior work assessed the impact of this strategy, nor other forms of carbohydrate, on the incidence of hyperglycemia.¹¹ As a result, there has been no standardization of preoperative carbohydrate loading in established ERPs. In particular, no data exists supporting the substitution of maltodextrin based drinks with simple sugar containing drinks (glucose, sucrose, and fructose).

To date, no study has evaluated the isolated impact of transitioning an ERP from the administration of a simple carbohydrate drink to a low dose complex carbohydrate solution on perioperative hyperglycemia in colorectal surgery. The purpose of this study was to compare the effect of preoperative low dose maltodextrin/citrulline based electrolyte solution versus a commercially available sports drink on perioperative glycemic levels in non-diabetic patients in an established colorectal ERP.

2. Methods

As a quality improvement project, this work was deemed exempt as per OHRP guidelines. A retrospective chart review was performed including all non-diabetic, elective, non-urgent segmental colectomy, enterectomy and proctectomy from January 2016–March 2017 in a pre-established ERP.

Cohorts were treated with an identical perioperative enhanced recovery program (ERP) differing only with respect to preoperative dosing with either a simple carbohydrate solution or a complex carbohydrate drink. All patients used a sports drink (64 oz) mixed with 238 g of polyethylene powder followed by 3 separate doses of 1 g neomycin/500 mg metronidazole for bowel preparation the day prior to surgery. The simple carbohydrate group (SIM) received a commercially available sports drink with osmolarity ranging from 210 to 650 milliosmolar, complex carbohydrates (range 0–3.6 mg/dl) and simple carbohydrates (range 14–63 mg/dl). Patients were allowed to drink this simple carbohydrate solution until hospital arrival the morning of surgery. The complex carbohydrate group (COM) received 3 separate 10 oz doses of the complex carbohydrate solution (maltodextrin 25 g; citrulline 3 g), taking 2 doses the evening prior and the final dose en route (completed by 2 h prior to surgery) to the hospital. These drinks were consumed within 5–10 min. The complex group was also allowed ad lib access to any non-carbohydrate containing beverages from completion of the bowel prep to the time of surgery.

Blood glucose was assessed preoperatively with either basic metabolic panel or finger stick rapid glucose test per nursing protocol and reassessed on each postoperative day, paying particular attention to postoperative days 1, 2, and 3. If more than one blood glucose level was obtained, as per hospital nursing protocol, the events were abstracted individually and averaged together over that 24 h period to establish a mean blood glucose level for that postoperative day.

Inclusion criteria consisted of all adult elective segmental colectomy and enterectomy patient's treated as part of an established ERP by a colorectal surgeon practicing at two community-based teaching hospitals during the study period from January 1, 2016 to March 28, 2017. Patients were excluded if they were treated outside of the defined study timeline or did not participate in the

ERP. Additional exclusion criteria consisted of preoperative documentation of type I or type II diabetes mellitus or preoperative laboratory studies including Hgb A1c > 6.5 or history of treatment with insulin or other diabetic medication.

A retrospective analysis of each cohort was undertaken. Demographics were obtained through review of electronic medical record (EMR) data. BMI was calculated using a standardized metric-based calculation for BMI (mass (kg))/(height (m))². Patient medications were reviewed from the preoperative nursing medical reconciliation to identify patients undergoing active treatment with systemic corticosteroids. The surgical procedure performed was abstracted from the surgeon's dictated operative report. Postoperative days were defined as each subsequent day of inpatient hospital stay after the index day of surgery. Whether the patient received the preoperative complex carbohydrate solution was determined based on timing of operative intervention within the ERP after October 1, 2016, at which time the drink was routinely administered as part of the established ERP. Tolerance of preoperative mechanical bowel preparation and carbohydrate loading was assessed by the attending surgeon and no documentation of intolerance was appreciated during retrospective analysis. Preoperative glycemic levels were obtained in the preoperative holding area with standardized finger-stick device or intravenous blood draw (Basic Metabolic Panel) per individual hospital protocol. Hyperglycemia was defined as blood glucose ≥ 140 mg/dL. Glycemic variability was defined by the number of episodes of hyperglycemia compared to the total number of hospital days per cohort. Postoperative complications were assessed and identified if they occurred within 30 days of surgery and categorized based on Clavien-Dindo classification system.

Statistical analysis was performed with linear regression for all quantitative variables (age, BMI, etc) and logarithmic regression for all nominal outcome variables (gender, # of hyperglycemic episodes, and glycemic variability). Logarithmic regression with clustering was required in order to avoid statistical confounding bias through correction of the non-independence of variables like gender and number of hyperglycemic episodes because three of the patients were re-operative cases which occurred in each of the cohorts. Chi squared analysis was then used to analyze complications within 30 days of operative intervention.

3. Results

A total of 101 patients were reviewed. Of these, 18 carried the preoperative diagnosis of diabetes mellitus or previous treatment with insulin or other diabetic medication and, as a result, they were excluded from further analysis. The remaining 83 patients met inclusion criteria; 41 in the simple carbohydrate solution (SIM) cohort and 42 in the complex carbohydrate solution (COM) cohort. Patients ranged from 21 to 88 years of age. Gender distribution, mean weight, mean height, BMI, and perioperative corticosteroid use were not significantly different between the cohorts (See [Table 1](#)). Preoperative diagnoses varied and distribution within these diagnoses were not significantly different. Treatment provided included laparoscopic colectomy or enterectomy, open colectomy or enterectomy, abdominal perineal resection, laparoscopic proctectomy with/without J-pouch reconstruction, ileostomy takedown, colostomy takedown, and laparoscopic colostomy formation (See [Table 1](#)).

Hospital protocol assessment of blood glucose throughout hospital stay facilitated evaluation of both glycemic variability and the total number of episodes of hyperglycemia for each hospital day. The glycemic variability of the COM group was significantly lower compared to the SIM group (8% vs 21%; $p = 0.034$). The preoperative and daily trends (POD 1–3) were also lower for the

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8830719>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8830719>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)