1

Neuroscience

RESEARCH ARTICLE

B. S. East et al./Neuroscience xxx (2018) xxx-xxx

Human Apolipoprotein E Genotype Differentially Affects Olfactory Behavior and Sensory Physiology in Mice

Brett S. East, ^{a,b*} Gloria Fleming, ^a Kathy Peng, ^{d,i} Jonas K. Olofsson, ^{a,b,c} Efrat Levy, ^{d,e,f,g} Paul M. Mathews ^{d,f} and
 Donald A. Wilson ^{a,b,g,h}

7 ^a Emotional Brain Institute, Nathan S. Kline Institute, Orangeburg, NY 10962, USA

- 8 ^b Department of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY 10016, USA
- ⁹ ^c Department of Psychology, Stockholm University, SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden
- ¹⁰ ^d Center for Dementia Research, Nathan S. Kline Institute, Orangeburg, NY 10962, USA
- 11 ^e Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Pharmacology, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY 10016, USA
- ¹² ^fDepartment of Psychiatry, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY 10016, USA
- ^g The Neuroscience Institute, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY 10016, USA
- 14 h Department of Neuroscience & Physiology, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY 10016, USA
- ¹⁵ ¹Department of Neurology, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY 10016, USA

Abstract—Apolipoprotein E (ApoE) is an important lipid carrier in both the periphery and the brain. The ApoE £4 16 allele (ApoE4) is the single most important genetic risk-factor for Alzheimer's disease (AD) while the £2 allele (ApoE2) is associated with a lower risk of AD-related neurodegeneration compared to the most common variant, ε3 (ApoE3). ApoE genotype affects a variety of neural circuits; however, the olfactory system appears to provide early biomarkers of ApoE genotype effects. Here, we directly compared olfactory behavior and olfactory system physiology across all three ApoE genotypes in 6-month- and 12-month-old mice with targeted replacement for the human ApoE2, ApoE3, or ApoE4 genes. Odor investigation and habituation were assessed, along with, olfactory bulb and piriform cortical local field potential activity. The results demonstrate that while initial odor investigation was unaffected by ApoE genotype, odor habituation was impaired in E4 relative to E2 mice, with E3 mice intermediate in function. There was also significant deterioration of odor habituation from 6 to 12 months of age regardless of the ApoE genotype. Olfactory system excitability and odor responsiveness were similarly determined by ApoE genotype, with an ApoE4 > ApoE3 > ApoE2 excitability ranking. Although motivated behavior is influenced by many processes, hyper-excitability of ApoE4 mice may contribute to impaired odor habituation, while hypo-excitability of ApoE2 mice may contribute to its protective effects. Given that these ApoE mice do not have AD pathology, our results demonstrate how ApoE affects the olfactory system at early stages, prior to the development of AD. © 2018 IBRO. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Key words: olfaction, apolipoprotein E, piriform cortex, olfactory bulb, Alzheimer's disease, odor habituation.

INTRODUCTION

Apolipoprotein E (ApoE) is the primary carrier of
cholesterol within the brain, and ApoE genotype is an
important determinant of an individual's risk for
developing Alzheimer's disease (AD) (Corder et al.,
1993; Farrer et al., 1997; Bu, 2009; Liu et al., 2013).
Three alleles of ApoE occur in humans: ε2 (cys112,

*Correspondence to: B. S. East, EBI, Nathan Kline Institute, 140 Old Orangeburg Road, Orangeburg, NY 10962, USA. E-mail address: brett.east@nki.rfmh.org (B. S. East).

Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; aPCX, anterior piriform cortex; ApoE, apolipoprotein E; FFT, Fast-Fourier Transform; LFP, local field potential; NKI, The Nathan S. Kline Institute for Psychiatric Research; OB, olfactory bulb; PCX, piriform cortex.

cys158; \sim 6% of the ApoE alleles in the population), ϵ 3 25 (cys112, arg158; the most abundant allele at \sim 80%), 26 and $\varepsilon 4$ (arg112, arg158; ~14%) (Mahley, 1988; Mahley 27 and Rall, 2000). ApoE4, in a dose-dependent manner, is 28 the single most important genetic risk-factor for AD 29 (Corder et al., 1993; Farrer et al., 1997; Bu, 2009; Liu 30 et al., 2013). ApoE3 is viewed as the neutral allele in 31 terms of neurodegenerative risk (Corder et al., 1993; 32 Mahley and Rall, 2000; Liu et al., 2013) (and most closely 33 resembles murine ApoE (Raffai et al., 2001)). ApoE2 is 34 associated with a lower risk of AD-related neurodegener-35 ation (Corder et al., 1994; Liu et al., 2013), delayed age of 36 onset of AD, and a greater likelihood of survival to 37 advanced age compared to the ApoE3 and ApoE4 alleles 38 [reviewed in (Suri et al., 2013)]. In addition to AD, there is 39

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2018.04.009

0306-4522/© 2018 IBRO. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1

104

105

110

132

2

increasing evidence that ApoE is involved in several other 40 disorders, with ApoE4 often exerting a deleterious and 41 ApoE2 a protective effect; while not all studies have found 42 a difference between ApoE2 and ApoE3 carriers [re-43 viewed in (Suri et al., 2013)], both ApoE4 and ApoE2 44 appear to be associated with hemorrhagic and ischemic 45 cerebrovascular disease [reviewed in (Liu et al., 2013; 46 47 Suri et al., 2013: Lopez et al., 2014)], with a high risk of argyrophilic grain disease and frontotemporal dementia 48 [reviewed in (Suri et al., 2013)]. ApoE2 also appears to 49 confer an increased incidence and severity of posttrau-50 matic stress disorder (PTSD) (Freeman et al., 2005; 51 52 Kim et al., 2013; Johnson et al., 2015). While the effects 53 of ApoE4 have been extensively studied, the inconsistencies among a small number of studies regarding the effect 54 of ApoE2 may in part be due to challenges related to its 55 low frequency allele. 56

While ApoE genotype may affect a myriad of neural 57 circuits and functions, the olfactory system and olfactory 58 perception appear to be a unique and early biomarker of 59 ApoE genotype. In humans, ApoE4 carriers show early 60 emergence of olfactory dysfunction (Price et al., 1991; 61 Bacon et al., 1998; Mesholam et al., 1998; Murphy 62 63 et al., 1998; Graves et al., 1999; Gilbert and Murphy, 64 2004; Josefsson et al., 2017; Peng et al., 2017), show 65 impaired odor identification (Murphy et al., 1998; Olofsson et al., 2010, 2016), and modified olfactory 66 related evoked potentials (Kowalewski and Murphy, 67 2012; Morgan and Murphy, 2012) prior to other forms of 68 cognitive impairment, including AD impairment associ-69 ated with amyloid β and tau pathology. In mice, ApoE4 70 impairs short-term odor memory and induces olfactory 71 system hyperexcitability including in the olfactory bulb 72 (OB) and piriform cortex (PCX) (Peng et al., 2017) as well 73 as the lateral entorhinal cortex (Nuriel et al., 2017) The 74 olfactory system is suitable for assessing links between 75 76 cell biology, circuit function and behavior given its rela-77 tively simple circuitry and the availability of reliable, robust behavioral assays in both humans and non-human ani-78 mals. ApoE is important for neuroregeneration of the 79 rodent olfactory system (Nathan et al., 2005), and ApoE 80 knock-out mice show impaired olfactory detection 81 (Nathan et al., 2004). This olfactory dysfunction is associ-82 83 ated with neurophysiological (Mesholam et al., 1998; 84 Corby et al., 2012; Kowalewski and Murphy, 2012; Peng et al., 2017), structural (Tanaka et al., 1998; Hashimoto 85 et al., 2001) and cellular changes (Tsuboi et al., 2003; 86 Nathan et al., 2005; Hussain et al., 2013) in olfactory 87 regions of the brain. Understanding how ApoE isoforms 88 may influence olfactory system function and perception 89 90 would provide important insights into ApoE4 as a major risk factor for olfactory and cognitive decline. 91

Here, we directly compared olfactory behavior and 92 olfactory system physiology across all three ApoE 93 genotypes in mice that are homozygous for human 94 ApoE2, ApoE3, or ApoE4. We hypothesized a 95 genotype-dependent gradient in odor habituation and 96 olfactory system excitability, such that, for example, 97 ApoE4 mice would display hyper-excitability 98 and impaired behavioral habituation, and ApoE2 mice hypo-99 excitability and increased behavioral habituation, relative 100

to ApoE3 mice. The results were in line with our 101 hypotheses and they extend previous work (Peng et al., 2017). 103

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Study approval

All animal procedures were performed in accordance with the Nathan S. Kline Institute (NKI) for Psychiatric Research Institutional Animal Care Committee's approval.

Mice

Mice used in this experiment were homozygous for 111 human ApoE2, ApoE3, and ApoE4 genes on a C57BL/6 112 background which are from long-standing colonies at 113 NKI. These targeted-replacement mice express human 114 ApoE under the control of the endogenous murine 115 promoter (Sullivan et al., 1997), which allows for the 116 expression of human ApoE at physiologically regulated 117 levels in the same temporal and spatial pattern as 118 endogenous murine ApoE. The native mouse ApoE pro-119 tein has structural similarities to human ApoE4, but func-120 tional similarities to human ApoE3 (Raffai et al., 2001). 121 Thus, a direct comparison between human and mouse 122 ApoE genotypes would be, at best, difficult to interpret. 123 A total of 40 mice were used for the habituation compo-124 nent of this study (6-month, ApoE2 = 9; 6-month, 125 ApoE3 = 6; 6-month, ApoE4 = 6; 12-month, ApoE2 = 126 6, 12-month ApoE3 = 9; 12-month, ApoE4 = 4). A total 127 of 46 mice were used for the electrophysiology compo-128 nent of this study (6-month ApoE2 = 6; 6-month ApoE3 129 = 13; 6-month ApoE4 = 10; 12-month ApoE2 = 6, 12-130 month ApoE3 = 6; 12-month, ApoE4 = 5). 131

Odor habituation

To investigate for simple behavioral odor memory deficits, 133 mice were screened using an odor habituation test. Prior 134 to behavioral assessment (24-48 h), mice were single-135 housed in new home cages with fresh corncob bedding. 136 Test odors (2-heptanone, isoamyl acetate, (+)137 enantiomer of limonene, and ethyl valerate; Sigma 138 Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) were diluted in mineral oil 139 to a concentration of 100 ppm. The dilution was then 140 applied to a cotton-tipped applicator that was 141 subsequently enclosed in a piece of odorless plastic 142 tubing. The tubing allowed for volatile odor delivery 143 while preventing the liquid from coming in direct contact 144 with either the chamber or animal. Each trial consisted 145 of a 20-s odor presentation (inserting the applicator into 146 a port on the side of the animal's home cage) followed 147 by a 30-s intertrial interval with four presentations of a 148 single odor in each block. Each odor was presented four 149 times and odor sequence was the same for all mice. 150 The duration of time spent investigating, defined as 151 snout-oriented sniffing within 1 cm of the odor 152 presentation port, was recorded by a single observer 153 blind to animal genotype. Data were averaged across 154 odors to provide a single odor habituation curve for 155 each mouse. All testing took place during the light 156 Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8840743

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8840743

Daneshyari.com