BRAZILIAN IOURNAL OF MICROBIOLOGY XXX (2016) XXX-XXX

BRAZILIAN JOURNAL OF MICROBIOLOGY



http://www.bjmicrobiol.com.br/

Veterinary Microbiology

Comparative study of Mycobacterium bovis primary isolation methods

⁴ Q1 Marina de Azevedo Issa^{a,*}, Paulo Martins Soares Filho^a,

Antônio Augusto Fonseca Júnior^a, Mikael Arraes Hodon^a, Lílian Cristian dos Santos^a,

Jenner Karlisson Pimenta dos Reis^b, Rômulo Cerqueira Leite^b

^a Laboratório Nacional Agropecuário de Minas Gerais, Pedro Leopoldo, MG, Brazil

^b Escola de Veterinária, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO 10

12 Article history:

11

- 13 Received 8 January 2016
- Accepted 31 July 2016 14
- Available online xxx 15

Associate Editor: Miliane Souza

16 Keywords: 17

- Mycobacterium bovis 18
- Diagnosis 19
- Middlebrook 7H11 20
- ²¹Q2 Isolation
- Sulphuric acid 22

ABSTRACT

For the definitive diagnosis of bovine tuberculosis, isolation of the etiologic agent is required. However, there is no consensus on the best methodology for isolation of Mycobacterium bovis in Brazil. This study evaluated the most used decontaminants and culture media in the country, in order to identify the best combination for the Brazilian samples. Three decontaminants - 2% sodium hydroxide (w/v), 0.75% hexadecylpyridinium chloride (w/v) and 5% sulphuric acid (v/v) and four culture media - 7H11 Middlebrook with additives and oleic acid, albumin, dextrose and catalase supplement "A" (7H11 A), the same media with another supplement trademark (7H11 B), tuberculosis blood agar and Stonebrink's medium were compared. Regarding the isolation, there were no significant differences between the decontaminants-media combinations, except 7H11 A combined to any decontaminant. However, the mean colonies score was significantly greater when the samples were decontaminated with 5% sulphuric acid and inoculated in 7H11 B or SB, without significant difference between them, although colonies appeared earlier on 7H11 B than on SB. The trademark of oleic acid, albumin, dextrose and catalase supplement influenced the isolation rate and the number of isolated colonies in Middlebrook 7H11. An incubation time of four weeks was required to detect all positive samples in 7H11 B after decontamination with 5% sulphuric acid but there was an increase in the number of colonies until the sixth week of incubation. Overall, the best strategy for the primary isolation of M. bovis from Brazilian samples was the decontamination with 5% sulphuric acid (final concentration) and inoculation in Middlebrook 7H11 medium formulated with OADC supplement "B".

© 2016 Sociedade Brasileira de Microbiologia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Corresponding author.

E-mail: marinaissa@yahoo.com.br (M.A. Issa). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjm.2016.07.026

1517-8382/© 2016 Sociedade Brasileira de Microbiologia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article in press as: Issa MA, et al. Comparative study of Mycobacterium bovis primary isolation methods. Braz J Microbiol. (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjm.2016.07.026

2

BRAZILIAN JOURNAL OF MICROBIOLOGY XXX (2016) XXX-XXX

Introduction

Bovine tuberculosis is an important zoonotic disease caused 23 by Mycobacterium bovis.¹ Besides the public health impacts, the 24 disease leads to economic losses mainly due to discarding of 25 carcasses in slaughterhouses and restrictions to international 26 trade of meat and live animals. 27

The bases of the National Program for Control and Eradi-28 cation of Brucellosis and Tuberculosis (PNCEBT) in Brazil are 29 the elimination of all reactive bovines to the tuberculin test. 30 In addition, there is a surveillance in slaughterhouses under 31 federal inspection. Therefore, all suggestive lesions detected 32 during slaughter in establishments with Federal Inspection 33 Service (SIF) are sent to an official laboratory for bacteriolog-34 ical confirmation. Thus, the farm can be traced back and the 35 other animals can be tested.² 36

The isolation of the etiological agent is the definitive 37 confirmatory diagnosis of the disease. This bacteriological 38 diagnosis is also important for epidemiological studies and 39 for the validation of immunoassays.¹ However, the long time 40 required for the isolation of the mycobacteria and the high 41 level of tissue samples contamination are limiting factors. To 42 facilitate the recovery of M. bovis, a range of pre-treatment 43 (homogenization, decontamination and concentration) and 44 use of an adequate culture medium are employed.^{1,3} 45

Some laboratories in the country perform the diagnosis 46 of animal tuberculosis by isolation and identification of the 47 agent, but there is no consensus about the best decontaminant 48 medium combination to use for Brazilian samples.^{4–7} 49

The decontamination method traditionally used to isolate 50 M. bovis from bovine tissues is Petroff method, which uses 4% 51 sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution.^{8,9} The main problem of 52 a decontaminant reagent is its adverse effects for M. bovis at 53 the concentration that it is used to give a complete control of 54 contamination.^{1,11,12} Previous studies showed a reduction of 55 M. bovis viability in samples treated with 4% NaOH.^{1,11–13} Hex-56 adecylpyridinium chloride (HPC) and sulphuric acid (H_2SO_4) 57 have been used as alternatives to Petroff method.^{11,14} The 58 decontamination with sulphuric acid has been used in the 59 Brazilian reference laboratory for animal diseases (LANAGRO) 60 since 1985,¹⁵ but few studies in Brazil have evaluated H₂SO₄ 61 in comparison to the most used decontaminant methods. 62 Holanda et al.¹⁶ demonstrated lower toxicity of H₂SO₄, com-63 pared with HPC, benzalkonium chloride (BC) and oxalic acid 64 (OA). However, the authors did not compare it to NaOH. We 65 identified only one study in Brazil that compared H₂SO₄, NaOH 66 and HPC, concerning the contamination control of clinical 67 specimens and toxicity for the M. bouis.⁵ However, this study 68 used samples preserved in sodium borate buffer, while the 69 70 samples analyzed in the routine of the official laboratory of 71 the Ministry of Agriculture are refrigerated or frozen.

72 Besides the decontamination methods, the culture media also have an impact upon the sensitivity of M. bovis isolation. 73 74 The genus Mycobacterium is highly demanding on nutrients, and it takes around five weeks to develop in a simple culture 75 media like Stonebrink's medium.^{3,17,18} The Middlebrook 7H11 76 medium, which is enriched with OADC supplement (oleic 77 acid, albumin, dextrose and catalase), provides early isolation 78 of M. bovis, reducing the incubation time to three weeks or 79

less.^{11,17,19,20} However, the higher concentration of nutrients and lower concentration of malachite green make Middlebrook 7H11 more susceptible to the growth of contaminants compared to Stonebrink's medium.^{12,18} In addition, there are many reports of low quality OADC supplements commercially available, even responsible for bacillus growth inhibition.^{21,22} The tuberculosis blood agar medium (B83) must be a good alternative for the primary isolation of M. bovis due to its strong selective ability, simple and low cost production.^{8,23}

It is known that the type of decontaminant and the choice of media used affects the success of primary isolation and must be adjusted for the conditions in which the bacteriological diagnosis is performed.¹¹ In Brazilian routine laboratories, there is no consensus on the best methodology for primary isolation of M. bovis. We have identified studies that evaluated the performance of some decontaminant or culture media for the isolation of M. bovis, but no previous study compared the effect of both decontaminant and culture media under the conditions of a Brazilian routine diagnostic laboratory. Therefore, the purpose of this work was to evaluate the combination of the decontamination and cultivation methods most used in Brazil, in order to identify the best option to increase the diagnostic accuracy and reduce the required time for isolating of the M. bovis the microorganism in conditions of a real routine diagnostic laboratory.

Materials and methods

Cattle samples

Seventy tissues fragment (lymph nodes and lungs), with lesions suggestive of tuberculosis, from seventy bovines condemned for tuberculosis during routine slaughterhouses inspection where used for this study. These samples were frozen and sent to the Brazilian reference laboratory for animal disease (LANAGRO) in Pedro Leopoldo, Minas Gerais where they were kept at -20 °C. Laboratory processing did not exceed 90 days post sample collection.

Preparation of tissues

Twenty grams of each lesion with the fat tissue removed, was cut in small pieces and macerated in 55 mL of 0.04% phenol red solution with the help of OMNI MIXER®, as a technique described by Robbe-Austerman et al.²⁴ The macerated tissue was filtrated in a double layer of cheesecloth¹³ and the resultant filtrate of about 40% (m/v) was divided into four aliquots of 10 mL each.¹

Decontamination

Each of the four 10 mL aliquots received one of the following 123 treatments: the first aliquot was mixed to an equal volume of 124 10% sulphuric acid (H₂SO₄) (v/v) to obtain a final concentration 125 of 5% acid according MARKS.¹⁴ The second aliquot was added 126 to an equal volume of 4% sodium hydroxide (NaOH) (w/v) to 127 a final concentration of 2%.¹¹ The third received an equiva-128 lent volume of 1.5% hexadecylpyridinium chloride (HPC) (w/v), 129 to a final concentration of 0.75%.13 The fourth aliquot was 130

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

119 120

> 121 122

Please cite this article in press as: Issa MA, et al. Comparative study of Mycobacterium bovis primary isolation methods. Braz J Microbiol. (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjm.2016.07.026

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8842682

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8842682

Daneshyari.com