

# Genera of phytopathogenic fungi: GOPHY 2

Y. Marin-Felix<sup>1,2\*</sup>, M. Hernández-Restrepo<sup>1</sup>, M.J. Wingfield<sup>2</sup>, A. Akulov<sup>3</sup>, A.J. Carnegie<sup>4</sup>, R. Cheewangkoon<sup>5</sup>, D. Gramaje<sup>6</sup>, J.Z. Groenewald<sup>1</sup>, V. Guarnaccia<sup>1</sup>, F. Halleen<sup>7,8</sup>, L. Lombard<sup>1</sup>, J. Luangsa-ard<sup>9</sup>, S. Marincowitz<sup>2</sup>, A. Moslemi<sup>10</sup>, L. Mostert<sup>7</sup>, W. Quaedvlieg<sup>11</sup>, R.K. Schumacher<sup>12</sup>, C.F.J. Spies<sup>7,8</sup>, R. Thangavel<sup>13</sup>, P.W.J. Taylor<sup>10</sup>, A.M. Wilson<sup>2</sup>, B.D. Wingfield<sup>2</sup>, A.R. Wood<sup>14</sup>, and P.W. Crous<sup>1,2,15\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, P.O. Box 85167, 3508 AD Utrecht, The Netherlands; <sup>2</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Genetics and Microbiology, Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, P. Bag X20, Pretoria 0028, Pretoria, 0002, South Africa; <sup>3</sup>V.N. Karasin National University of Kharkiv, Svobody sq. 4, Kharkiv 61077, Ukraine; <sup>4</sup>Forest Science, NSW Department of Primary Industries, Locked Bag 5123, Parramatta, New South Wales 2124, Australia; <sup>5</sup>Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand; <sup>6</sup>Instituto de Ciencias de la Vid y del Vino, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Universidad de la Rioja, Gobierno de La Rioja, 26071 Logroño, La Rioja, Spain; <sup>7</sup>Department of Plant Pathology, University of Stellenbosch, Private Bag X1, Matieland 7602, South Africa; <sup>8</sup>Plant Protection Division, ARC Infruitec-Nietvoorbij, Private Bag X5026, Stellenbosch 7599, South Africa; <sup>9</sup>Microbe Interaction and Ecology Laboratory, Biodiversity and Biotechnological Resource Research Unit (BBR), BIOTEC, NSTDA 113, Thailand Science Park Phahonyothin Rd., Khlong Nueng, Khlong Luang, Pathum Thani 12120, Thailand; <sup>10</sup>Faculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; <sup>11</sup>Naktuinbouw, Sotaweg 22, 2371 GD Roelofarendsveen, the Netherlands; <sup>12</sup>Hölderlinstraße 25, 15517 Fürstenwalde/Spree, Germany; <sup>13</sup>Plant Health and Environment Laboratory, Ministry for Primary Industries, P.O. Box 2095, Auckland 1140, New Zealand; <sup>14</sup>ARC – Plant Protection Research Institute, Private Bag X5017, Stellenbosch 7599, South Africa; <sup>15</sup>Wageningen University and Research Centre (WUR), Laboratory of Phytopathology, Droevendaalsesteeg 1, 6708 PB Wageningen, The Netherlands

\*Correspondence: Y. Marin-Felix, y.marin@westerdijkinstitute.nl; P.W. Crous, p.crous@westerdijkinstitute.nl

Abstract: This paper represents the second contribution in the Genera of Phytopathogenic Fungi (GOPHY) series. The series provides morphological descriptions and information regarding the pathology, distribution, hosts and disease symptoms for the treated genera. In addition, primary and secondary DNA barcodes for the currently accepted species are included. This second paper in the GOPHY series treats 20 genera of phytopathogenic fungi and their relatives including: Allantophomopsiella, Apoharknessia, Cylindrocladiella, Diaporthe, Dichotomophthora, Gaeumannomyces, Harknessia, Huntiella, Macgarvieomyces, Metulocladosporiella, Microdochium, Oculimacula, Paraphoma, Phaeoacremonium, Phyllosticta, Proxypiricularia, Pyricularia, Stenocarpella, Utrechtiana and Wojnowiciella. This study includes the new genus Pyriculariomyces, 20 new species, five new combinations, and six typifications for older names.

Key words: DNA barcodes, Fungal systematics, 26 new taxa, Six new typifications.

Taxonomic novelties: New genera: Pyriculariomyces Y. Marín, M.J. Wingf. & Crous; New species: Apoharknessia eucalypti Crous & M.J. Wingf., Cylindrocladiella addiensis L. Lombard & Crous, Diaporthe heterophyllae Guarnaccia & Crous, Diaporthe racemosae A.R. Wood, Guarnaccia & Crous, Dichotomophthora basellae Hern.-Restr., Cheew. & Crous, Dichotomophthora brunnea Hern.-Restr. & Crous, Harknessia bourbonica Crous & M.J. Wingf., Harknessia corymbiae Crous & A.J. Carnegie, Harknessia cupressi Crous & R.K. Schumach., Harknessia pilularis Crous & A.J. Carnegie, Huntiella abstrusa A.M. Wilson, Marinc., M.J. Wingf., Metulocladosporiella chiangmaiensis Y. Marín, Cheew. & Crous, Metulocladosporiella malaysiana Y. Marín & Crous, Metulocladosporiella musigena Y. Marín, Cheew. & Crous, Metulocladosporiella samutensis Y. Marín, Luangsa-ard & Crous, Microdochium novae-zelandiae Hern.-Restr., Thangavel & Crous, Phaeoacremonium pravum C.F.J. Spies, L. Mostert & Halleen, Phyllosticta iridigena Y. Marín & Crous, Phyllosticta persooniae Y. Marín & Crous, Phyllosticta persooniae Y. Marín, Akulov & Crous, Pyriculariomyces asari (Crous & M.J. Wingf.) Y. Marín, M.J. Wingf. & Crous, Utrechtiana arundinacea (Corda) Crous, Quaedvl. & Y. Marín, Utrechtiana constantinescui (Melnik & Shabunin) Crous & Y. Marín, New status and combination: Oculimacula acuformis (Nirenberg) Y. Marín & Crous; Typification: Epitypification: Phomopsis pseudotsugae M. Wilson, Pyricularia zingiberis Y. Nishik.

Available online 1 May 2018; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simyco.2018.04.002.

## INTRODUCTION

The series, Genera of Phytopathogenic Fungi (GOPHY), was launched by Marin-Felix et al. (2017) to provide a stable platform for the taxonomy of phytopathogenic fungi. The common denominator of the genera included in this series is their association with plant diseases. The authors recognise that many species treated are not well-known plant pathogens or where Koch's postulates have not been proven for them. The focus of the series is mainly to resolve generic and species concepts of the fungi studied. This is particulary important because many taxa have been shown to represent species complexes, or to be accommodated in genera that are poly- or paraphyletic (Crous

et al. 2015b). The series links to a larger initiative known as the "The Genera of Fungi project" (www.GeneraOfFungi.org, Crous et al. 2014a, 2015a, Giraldo et al. 2017), which aims to revise the generic names of all currently accepted fungi (Kirk et al. 2013). Some of the main problems are that for many genera and species type material has not been designated or/ and that the vast majority of these taxa were described before the DNA phylogenetic era (Hibbett et al. 2011) and thus lack DNA barcodes (Schoch et al. 2012). Another important aim of this project is to secure the application of names by generating DNA barcodes of type species of genera and type specimens of species. In those cases where no type material has been preserved, taxa need to be recollected, epi- or neotypes designated,

Peer review under responsibility of Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute.

© 2018 Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute. Production and hosting by ELSEVIER B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

and registered in MycoBank to ensure traceability of the nomenclatural act (Robert *et al.* 2013). The ultimate objective is to move to a single scientific name for fungi (Crous *et al.* 2015b) for which sexual-asexual links have been resolved.

For each paper in the GOPHY series, morphological descriptions and information regarding the pathology, distribution, hosts and disease symptoms are provided for the treated genera. In addition, this information is linked to primary and secondary DNA barcodes of the currently accepted species in each genus. These DNA barcodes are critically important because of problems relating to generic delimitation and species identification based solely on morphology. A clear example is the delimitation of the genera Bipolaris and Curvularia, treated in the first paper of the GOPHY series (Marin-Felix et al. 2017). These two genera share many morphological similarities, and intermediate conidial characters (Manamgoda et al. 2012). Species delimitation in both genera based on morphology alone is of limited value because many species have overlapping characters (Sivanesan 1987, Madrid et al. 2014, Manamgoda et al. 2014). Some genera include species that do not produce reproductive structures and their identification must rely on DNA data. For some phytopathogenic genera, the DNA barcodes for species delimitation have been established in previous studies, but for the vast majority, these data remain unavailable.

Mycologists wishing to contribute to future issues in the GOPHY series are encouraged to contact Pedro Crous (p. crous@westerdijkinstitute.nl) before submitting their contributions. This will ensure there is no overlap with activities arising from other research groups. Preference will be given to genera that include novel DNA data and/or novel species, combinations or typifications. The generic contributions, apart from being published in this series of papers, will also be placed in the database displayed on www.plantpathogen.org.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### Isolates and morphological analysis

Descriptions of the new taxa and typifications are based on cultures obtained from the collection at the Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute in Utrecht. The Netherlands (CBS), the working collection of P.W. Crous (CPC), housed at the Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, and the culture collection (CMW) of the Forestry and Agricultual Biotechnology Institute (FABI), at the University of Pretoria, South Africa. For fresh collections, we followed the procedures previously described in Crous et al. (1991a). Colonies were transferred to different media, i.e. cherry decoction agar (CHA), carnation leaf agar (CLA), commeal agar (CMA), 2 % malt extract agar (MEA), 2 % potato dextrose agar (PDA), synthetic nutrient-poor agar (SNA), oatmeal agar (OA), water agar (WA) (Crous et al. 2009), autoclaved pieces of grapevine canes placed on water agar (grapevine water agar; GWA), pine needle agar (PNA; Smith et al. 1996), or malt extract peptone agar (MPA; Speakman 1984), and incubated at different conditions depending on the taxon to induce sporulation. Requirements of media and conditions of incubations are specified in each genus. Reference strains and specimens are maintained at the CBS, CMW and CPC.

Vegetative and reproductive structures were mounted in 100 % lactic acid either directly from specimens or from colonies sporulating on MEA, PDA, PNA, OA or SNA. For cultural characterisation, isolates were grown and incubated on different

culture media and temperatures as stipulated for each genus. Colour notations were rated according to the colour charts of Rayner (1970). Taxonomic novelties were deposited in Myco-Bank (www.MycoBank.org; Crous *et al.* 2004a).

## DNA isolation, amplification and analyses

Fungal DNA was extracted and purified directly from the colonies or host material as specified for each genus. Primers and protocols for the amplification and sequencing of gene loci, and software used for phylogenetic analyses can be found in the bibliography related to the phylogeny presented for each respective genus. Phylogenetic analyses consisted of Maximum-Likelihood (ML), Bayesian Inference (BI), and Maximum Parsimony (MP). The ML and the BI were carried out using methods described by Hernández-Restrepo et al. (2016b), and the MP using those described by Crous et al. (2006b). Sequence data generated in this study were deposited in GenBank and the alignments and trees in TreeBASE (http://www.treebase.org).

#### **RESULTS**

Allantophomopsiella Crous, IMA Fungus 5: 180. 2014. Fig. 1.

Classification: Leotiomycetes, Leotiomycetidae, Phacidiales, Phacidiaceae.

Type species: Allantophomopsiella pseudotsugae (M. Wilson) Crous., basionym: *Phomopsis pseudotsugae* M. Wilson. Lectotype designated here: material deposited in Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh, E00414771. Epitype and ex-epitype strain designated here: CBS H-23354, CBS 320.53.

DNA barcodes (genus): ITS, LSU.

DNA barcodes (species): ITS, rpb2. Table 1.

Conidiomata up to 600 µm diam, pycnidial, immersed, becoming erumpent, irregularly multilocular, dark brown, ostiolate; conidiomatal wall composed of 3–4 layers of dark brown cells, textura angularis. Conidiophores arising from inner layer of conidioma, branched, septate, at times reduced to conidiogenous cells. Conidiogenous cells integrated or discrete, ampulliform to subcylindrical or lageniform, hyaline, smooth with minute periclinal thickening at apex. Conidia inequilaterally fusiform or naviculate, hyaline, smooth, aseptate, guttulate, bearing mucoid apical appendages, flabelliform to irregular in shape. Sexual morph unknown (adapted from Crous et al. 2014b).

Culture characteristics: Colonies spreading, flat with sparse aerial mycelium and feathery margins. On PDA surface olivaceous grey, reverse iron-grey. On OA surface olivaceous grey with patches of iron-grey.

Optimal media and cultivation conditions: PNA at 25 °C under continuous near-ultraviolet light to promote sporulation.

Distribution: North America and Europe.

Hosts: Conifers (Pinaceae).

Disease symptoms: Canker and dieback.

Notes: This genus was recently introduced by Crous et al. (2014b) to accommodate A. pseudotsugae, a pathogen of conifers that was found to be very damaging, especially after

# Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8844511

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8844511

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>