

### Natureza & Conservação

Brazilian Journal of Nature Conservation

Supported by Boticário Group Foundation for Nature Protection

http://www.naturezaeconservacao.com.br



#### **Research Letters**

## Conservation of grassland birds in South Brazil: a land management perspective



Carla Suertegaray Fontana<sup>a,\*</sup>, Graziela Dotta<sup>a</sup>, Cybele Kelm Marques<sup>a</sup>, Márcio Repenning<sup>a</sup>, Carlos Eduardo Agne<sup>a</sup>, Rogério Jaworski dos Santos<sup>b</sup>

#### ARTICLE INFO

# Article history: Received 10 February 2016 Accepted 29 September 2016 Available online 1 November 2016

Keywords:
Southeastern South America
grasslands
Pampas
Atlantic Forest
Cattle ranching
Grassland birds

#### ABSTRACT

We explored how grassland birds responded to three different managements in grassland areas. Moreover, we examined whether bird's communities were different depending on the biome grasslands were inserted. We carried out bird surveys in six private farms in the Upland grasslands (Atlantic Forest biome) and the Pampas grasslands (Pampa biome). Land use included: (1) natural grasslands – paddocks with cattle stocking around 0.8 animal units/ha, without improvement/crop plantation in the last four years; (2) improved grasslands – grasslands with usage of fertilizers and forage improvement with exotic species, and (3) cultivated fields – forage/crop plantations. Threatened and restricted grassland birds were found in natural grasslands areas while more common species occurred in improved grasslands and cultivated fields. Bird community was different in the biomes with some species more related to the Upland grasslands and others to the Pampas. We highlighted the importance of natural grasslands and its management in private farms to maintain grassland bird species richness and their abundance in south Brazil.

© 2016 Associação Brasileira de Ciência Ecológica e Conservação. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### Introduction

The Grasslands of Southeastern South America (SESA grasslands) are one of the most extensive ecosystems of temperate grasslands in the Neotropics. Its rich biodiversity is likely to experience significant loss due to land use changes, particularly overgrazing, mechanized agriculture, afforestation, and

urban development coupled with the lack of natural areas under protection (Bencke, 2012). Most changes occurred in the end of the XIX century, driven by the expansion of agriculture in South America (Vickery et al., 1999).

In the state of Rio Grande do Sul (RS) grasslands within SESA encompass the Upland grasslands of the Atlantic Forest Biome (AF) and the Pampas in the Pampa Biome (P) occupying around 60% of the area of RS, holding a high biodiversity.

E-mail address: carla@pucrs.br (C.S. Fontana).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Laboratory of Ornithology, Museu de Ciências e Tecnologia (MCT), Postgraduate in Zoology, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul (PUCRS), Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Agronomist Autonomous, Raising Cattle's Specialist, Bagé, RS, Brazil

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

However, until the past decade grasslands importance was known only for livestock production (Bilenca and Miñarro, 2004). More recently, crops and forestry have been replacing the natural grasslands and in the last decades more than 50% of its area in RS has been converted. Most important, economic activities replacing the natural grasslands do not guarantee the persistence of its biological and ecological aspects (Vélez-Martin et al., 2015a; Andrade et al., 2015). Besides crop cultivation, inadequate management of land has pushed to local extinction several open-habitat bird species (Vickery et al., 1999). Most of these species are grassland restrict, such as Anthus nattereri, Polystictus pectoralis and Sporophila beltoni (Azpiroz et al., 2012).

The lack of studies focusing on birds' responses to different land management of cattle ranching, coupled with little exchange of knowledge among researchers, farmers, and governmental agents, have contributed to accelerate the process of grasslands degradation in RS. Governmental and non-governmental conservation actions and plans have been neglecting grassland habitats for a long time (Overbeck et al., 2007, 2015), but recently incentives such as meat certification resulting in profit benefits for ranchers protecting native grasslands have been implemented (Vélez-Martin et al., 2015b).

Grasslands in RS encompass two biogeographic provinces: (1) Paraná province to the north includes the Upland grasslands, and (2) Pampean province to the south includes the Pampas (Cabrera and Willink, 1980). Their distinct biogeographic origins resulted in different precipitation regimes, altitude, and vegetation, with Upland grasslands characterized by megathermic grasses and the Pampas dominated by mesothermic grass species (Crawshaw et al., 2007). Avifauna composition is considered similar in the two regions although there are endemics in each Biome (Fontana et al., 2008; Develey et al., 2008).

We aimed to explore how grassland bird species respond to natural, improved, and cultivated grassland management for cattle ranching. We expected natural areas and improved grasslands to have higher species richness than cultivated fields due to the higher heterogeneity of those land management types. Moreover, we compared bird species community between Upland grasslands in AF biome and Pampas in P biome. We expected bird community composition to be different between biomes considering its different biogeographic province origins.

#### Material and methods

#### Study area

Upland grasslands are a mosaic with patches of Araucaria and nebular forests, marshes and bogs in the South Brazilian Plateau, with undulated relief and average altitude of 900–1000 m. Annual precipitation range is 1500–2000 mm and annual average temperatures between 16 and 22 °C. Frost and snow can occur at higher altitudes during winter. Pampas' grasslands occupy the half-southern part of RS, presenting several grassland physiognomies, marshes and gallery forests, sometimes associated with savannas and palms. Relief is less undulated than in the Upland grasslands, altitudes smaller

than 600 m and annual precipitation from 1200 to 1600 mm. Average annual temperatures range is 13–17  $^{\circ}$ C.

#### Methods

We carried out surveys in six farms, three in the Upland and three in the Pampas. Brazilian Service to Support Small Companies agronomists instructed landowners on management practices including: (1) natural grasslands – grasslands paddocks without improvement or crop plantation in the last four years, cattle stocking around 0.8 animal units/ha (NG); (2) improved grasslands – natural grasslands using fertilizers and forage improvement with exotic species (IG), and (3) cultivated fields – forage/crop plantations (CF).

We surveyed birds in  $400\,\mathrm{m} \times 100\,\mathrm{m}$  line transects separated by 200 m each, distributed according to the total area of each management system in each farm (NG: 13, IG: 8, CF: 10 – Table 1). We avoided fences, woody vegetation, drainages and floodplains. Two observers (CSF and MR/CEA) counted all birds seen and listened. Transects were surveyed in the morning from sunrise to 10:00 am, and in the afternoon from 16:00 pm to sunset. Surveys were during the austral spring-summer in 2010/2011 totaling 24 days.

We used ANOVA to test for the effects of grassland management on bird species richness and abundance in two levels (1) all species recorded, and (2) grassland associated species (sensu Azpiroz et al., 2012). We plotted the six sites using Nonmetric Multidimensional Scaling (NMDS, Bray–Curtis index) to represent any associations between grassland-associated species and sites considering management system and biome. Analyses were performed using R 3.2.2 software (R Core Team, 2015), and vegan 2.3-1 package (Oksanen et al., 2015).

#### Results

We recorded 88 bird species (NG: 68; IG: 39; and CF: 36), and 886 individuals (NG: 537; IG: 166; and CF: 183). Among species recorded, 37 were grassland associated (NG: 34, IG: 21, CF: 18), totaling 569 individuals (Table 2). Natural grasslands had the largest species richness compared to both IG and CF ( $F_{2,12} = 6.08$ , p = 0.01; Tukey: NG-IG, p = 0.05; NG-CF, p = 0.02) while no differences were found in species richness between IG and CF (Tukey: IG-CF, p = 0.93). Natural grasslands also had the largest total abundance compared to CF ( $F_{2,12} = 3.56$ , p = 0.06; Tukey: NG-CF, p = 0.07), but no differences in abundance were found comparing NG and IG (Tukey: NG-IG, p = 0.14) or IG and CF (Tukey: IG-CF, p = 0.97). A similar pattern was found for species richness looking at grassland-associated species (Fig. 1A,  $F_{2.12} = 10.03$ , p = 0.003; Tukey: NG-IG, p = 0.03; NG-CF, p = 0.002; IG-CF, p = 0.55); however, grassland management did not influence species overall abundance (Fig. 1B,  $F_{2.12} = 2.20$ , p = 0.153).

The NMDS axis 2 showed a separation among biomes. Xanthopsar flavus, Tachycineta leucorrhoa, and Emberizoides ypiranganus were more associated with the Upland grasslands, whereas a pool of species, including Cistothorus platensis, Vanellus chilensis, Pseudoleistes virescens and Mimus saturninus were linked to the Pampas. Within biomes different management systems were also separated. In the Upland grasslands,

#### Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8849448

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8849448

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>