Accepted Manuscript

Cost effectiveness of phosphorus removal processes in municipal wastewater treatment

Rania Bashar, Kerem Gungor, K.G. Karthikeyan, Phillip Barak

PII: S0045-6535(17)32147-1

DOI: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.12.169

Reference: CHEM 20550

To appear in: ECSN

Received Date: 19 September 2017

Revised Date: 11 December 2017

Accepted Date: 26 December 2017

Please cite this article as: Bashar, R., Gungor, K., Karthikeyan, K.G., Barak, P., Cost effectiveness of phosphorus removal processes in municipal wastewater treatment, *Chemosphere* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.12.169.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

1 Cost Effectiveness of Phosphorus Removal Processes in

2 Municipal Wastewater Treatment

3 Rania Bashar^a, Kerem Gungor^b, K.G. Karthikeyan^{a*}, Phillip Barak^c

4 ^a Department of Biological Systems Engineering, University of Wisconsin-Madison, WI 53706, USA

5 ^b Maine Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Land Resources, ME 04333, USA.

^c Department of Soil Science, University of Wisconsin-Madison, WI 53706, USA

7 ABSTRACT

Meeting stringent phosphorus (P) discharge standards remains one of the major challenges for wastewater 8 9 utilities due to increased economic burdens associated with advanced (i.e., secondary, tertiary) treatment 10 processes. In a trade-off between higher treatment cost and enhanced P removal, it is critical for the 11 treatment plants to be able to select the most appropriate technology. To this end, established/emerging 12 high performing P removal/recovery technologies (e.g., Modified University of Cape Towne process, 13 Bardenpho process, membrane bioreactors, IFAS-EBPR, struvite recovery, tertiary reactive media 14 filtration) were identified and full-scale treatment plant designs were developed. Using advanced mathematical modeling techniques, six different treatment configurations were evaluated in terms of 15 performance and cost effectiveness (\$/lb of P removed). Results show that the unit cost for P removal in 16 17 different treatment alternatives range from \$42.22 to \$60.88 per lb of P removed. The MUCT BNR+ tertiary reactive media filtration proved to be one of the most cost effective configurations (\$44.04/lb P 18 19 removed) delivering an effluent with total P (TP) concentration of only 0.05 mg/L. Although struvite 20 recovery resulted in significant reduction in biosolids P, the decrease in effluent TP was not sufficient to 21 meet very stringent discharge standards.

*Corresponding author at:

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8852095

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8852095

Daneshyari.com