

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Science of the Total Environment

journal homepage: <www.elsevier.com/locate/scitotenv>

## Variable effects of biochar application to soils on nitrification-mediated  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emissions



## Gayoung Yoo <sup>a,\*</sup>, Yong Oon Lee <sup>a</sup>, Tae Jin Won <sup>b</sup>, Jun Ge Hyun <sup>a</sup>, Weixin Ding <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Applied Environmental Science, Kyung Hee University, 1732, Deogyeong-daero, Giheung-gu, Yongin-si, Gyeonggi-do 446701, Republic of Korea <sup>b</sup> Gyeonggi-do Agricultural Research and Extension Services, 283-33, Byeongjeomjungang-ro, Hwaseong-si, Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea

<sup>c</sup> State Key Laboratory of Soil and Sustainable Agriculture, Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210008, China

### HIGHLIGHTS

### GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

- N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were increased or not changed when both biochar and urea were applied to dry soils with low C content.
- Soil water status right after urea and biochar application was the primary determinant to predict the effect of biochar on  $N_2O$  emissions, together with soil C status and biochar's adsorptive capacity.
- Our study is unique in that we obtained the results from multiple field experiments covering the whole cropping periods.

### article info abstract

Article history: Received 1 August 2017 Received in revised form 26 December 2017 Accepted 10 January 2018 Available online 19 February 2018

Keywords: Biochar Nitrification N2O emission Soil C content Dry condition Water filled pore space



Although a meta-analysis on biochar's effects on  $N_2O$  emission reported an overall reduction in  $N_2O$  emission by adding biochar to the soils, there are still variations in the changes in N<sub>2</sub>O emission, especially from field results. The objectives of this study are 1) to compare the effects of biochar addition on  $N_2O$  emission between three agricultural upland field experiments, where soil water status was dry favoring nitrification and 2) to identify main factors explaining biochar's variable effects on N<sub>2</sub>O emission. Three field experiments were conducted: Exp A in the cultivated grassland treated with rice husk biochar at 2 ton ha<sup>−1</sup> + urea (CHAR) and with urea only (CON); Exp B in the cabbage field with CHAR and CON treatments; and Exp C in the pepper field with CHAR, CON, and CHAR  $+$  DCD (dicyandiamide, nitrification inhibitor) treatments. In Exp A and C, cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions significantly increased by 82.5% and 55.8% in the CHAR than CON treatments, respectively, while in Exp B, there was no difference in cumulative N2O emission between the CHAR and CON. Based on results from using nitrification inhibitor and soil % water filled pore space (WFPS), we assumed that the main  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  production mechanism was nitrification. Our results suggest that soil water status right after urea application is the primary determinant of different effects of biochar on N<sub>2</sub>O emission in addition to soil C status and biochar's adsorption. Principal component analysis using the 25 compiled data also supported our results. This study identified the specific field conditions under which biochar could have stimulating effects on  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emission. Mitigation potential of biochar application should be reconsidered if biochar and urea were amended to dry soils with low C contents.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

⁎ Corresponding author at: 1732, Deogyeong-daero, Giheung-gu, Yongin-si, Gyeonggi-do 446701, Republic of Korea. E-mail address: [gayoo@khu.ac.kr](mailto:gayoo@khu.ac.kr) (G. Yoo).

### 1. Introduction

Approximately 70% of anthropogenic N<sub>2</sub>O emission originates from the agricultural sector ([Bouwman et al., 2010](#page--1-0); [Smith et al., 2008](#page--1-0)), primarily from widespread use of synthetic nitrogen (N) fertilization. As  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  is a potent greenhouse gas, this means that a management strategy is needed to cut  $N_2O$  emission, especially with regard to agricultural soils. Biochar amendment to soils has been suggested as a potential strategy for reducing  $N_2O$  emission, but the mitigation effects of biochar application have not yet been fully verified.

[Cayuela et al. \(2014\)](#page--1-0) compiled 30 peer-reviewed literatures and collected 261 experimental treatments to investigate the impact of biochar on N2O emission and to test whether the often proposed reductions in N2O emissions occurred across multiple studies. They found that biochar decreased soil  $N_2O$  emissions by 54% on average but that the effects of biochar on N2O emission varied depending on soil pH, texture, soil water status, biochar C/N ratio, and application rates. In addition, there are still many studies which reported no difference or even an increase in soil  $N_2O$  emissions after biochar amendment ([Clough et al.,](#page--1-0) [2010;](#page--1-0) [Suddick and Six, 2013](#page--1-0)).

Inconsistent effects of biochar addition on N2O emission might be related to different mechanisms of  $N_2O$  production in soils under various conditions. [Singh et al. \(2010\)](#page--1-0) reported positive and negative effects of biochar addition on  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emission and suggested a possible mechanism of N2O emission increase via enhanced nitrification. [Sánchez-García](#page--1-0) [et al. \(2014\)](#page--1-0) studied two soils with contrast responses to biochar amendment and reported that in the soil with reduced  $N_2O$  emission by biochar addition, the main pathway leading to  $N_2O$  was denitrification, while in the soil with increased  $N_2O$  emission, the main process of N2O production was nitrification. [Li et al. \(2015\)](#page--1-0) reported a slight increase in  $N_2O$  emission with the addition of wheat straw biochar and confirmed that the production of  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  occurred via nitrification through use of a nitrification inhibitor. [Lin et al. \(2017\)](#page--1-0) reported that the application of wheat straw biochar to rice paddy soil significantly increased N<sub>2</sub>O emission and attributed this increase to the enhanced abundance of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) amoA genes, which is the first step in the nitrification process. The abovementioned findings led us to hypothesize that biochar addition would have a stimulating effect when the main  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  production process is nitrification. However, in prior studies, enhanced nitrification related to biochar did not always lead to increased N<sub>2</sub>O emission. [Xu et al. \(2014\)](#page--1-0) reported that biochar amendment stimulated both nitrification and denitrification processes, while reducing N<sub>2</sub>O emission overall. [Verhoeven et al. \(2017\)](#page--1-0) also reported that biochar addition reduced  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emission in upland systems to the same degree as rice paddy systems where denitrification is dominant. Thus, a better understanding of the main mechanism of  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  production and influential factors is needed in order to effectively predict the effects of biochar on  $N_2O$  emission.

Meta-analyses on the effects of biochar addition on  $N_2O$  emission were recently conducted by [Cayuela et al. \(2014\)](#page--1-0) and [Verhoeven et al.](#page--1-0) [\(2017\)](#page--1-0). These analyses were based on data collected from a wide range of water conditions from flooded to very dry soils and variable mechanisms of  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  production. Considering that the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)'s greenhouse gas inventory includes soil N<sub>2</sub>O emission only from agricultural upland systems, it is more practical for us to focus on the effects of biochar on  $N_2O$  emission from upland field soils [\(Liu et al., 2016\)](#page--1-0). Under drier soil conditions where the soil % water filled pore space (WFPS) is 35-60%,  $N_2O$  was primarily produced from the microbial nitrification process ([Bateman and Baggs,](#page--1-0) [2005\)](#page--1-0). In the nitrification process,  $N_2O$  is formed from  $NH_2OH$ , which is oxidized from NH $_4^+$ /NH<sub>3</sub> by ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB). Nitrite is further oxidized into nitrate by nitrite oxidizing bacteria (NOB). Considering the higher sensitivity of NOB to NH<sub>3</sub> toxicity compared to AOB,  $NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>$  tends to be accumulated when the soil contains high levels of NH<sub>3</sub>, resulting in high possibility of N2O emission ([He et al., 2016;](#page--1-0) [Venterea](#page--1-0) [et al., 2015](#page--1-0)). To understand the effects of biochar addition on nitrification-mediated  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emission, we need to investigate the conditions and factors for nitrification influenced by biochar.

In this study, we conducted three independent field experiments of agricultural upland systems where the soils were mostly dry. We assumed that the main  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  production mechanism was nitrification because of the dry soil water status [\(Bateman et al., 2004](#page--1-0)). We considered many of the factors involved in the nitrification process, including soil pH, soil water content, soil inorganic N availability, and microbial activity [\(Che et al., 2015](#page--1-0); [He et al., 2016](#page--1-0); [Nelissen et al., 2012\)](#page--1-0), all of which could potentially be influenced by biochar amendment. To have more generalized knowledge on the variable effects of biochar on nitrification-mediated  $N_2O$  emission, we collected data from published papers on  $N_2O$  emission and biochar addition with nitrification as the main N<sub>2</sub>O production process. By conducting principal component analysis, we identified the main factors determining the direction and magnitude of changes in  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emission via biochar amendment.

The objectives of this study were 1) to compare the effects of biochar addition on  $N<sub>2</sub>O$  emission between three agricultural field studies where soil water status of each experiment was dry favoring nitrification and 2) to identify the main factors influencing biochar's effects on N<sub>2</sub>O emission using statistical analysis.

### 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Site description and biochar preparation

The field experiments were conducted in three different soils, all located in the central area of S. Korea. Annual mean temperatures ranged from 11.8 to 12.5 °C and precipitations ranged from 1227 to 1312 mm [\(Table 1](#page--1-0), Korea Meterological Administration, [http://www.kma.go.kr/](http://www.kma.go.kr/weather/observation) [weather/observation\)](http://www.kma.go.kr/weather/observation). Experiment A (Exp A) was set up on October 25, 2011 in a cultivated grassland planted with tall fescue (Festuca arundiancea), orchard grass (Dactylis glomerata), perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne), and white clover (Trifolium repens). Experiment B (Exp B) was set up on September 1, 2014 and planted with cabbage (Brassica rapa var. glabra) and Experiment C (Exp C) was set up on May 10, 2015 and planted with pepper (Capsicum annuum).

Biochar used in this study was rice husk biochar, which was a commercial product sold by the Farmers' Association in Gangjin-gun, Korea. It was produced by pyrolyzing rice husks at 350 °C in a pyrolysis reactor (DCH-400) from Daewon GSI Co., Gyeongsangbuk-Do, Korea. The detailed procedures for char production are provided at [www.](http://www.daewonces.co.kr) [daewonces.co.kr.](http://www.daewonces.co.kr)

### 2.2. Field experiment

In Exp A, the CHAR treatment applied rice husk biochar at 2 ton ha<sup> $-1$ </sup> on October 25, 2011 together with 140 kg N ha<sup> $-1$ </sup> of urea fertilizer. The control (CON) was only applied with urea fertilizer at the same rate. The experiment lasted for 350 d because cultivated grassland was planted with perennial grass species. In Exp B, the CHAR (2 ton ha<sup>-1</sup> rice husk biochar and urea application) and CON (urea application only) treatments were employed with a urea application rate of 190 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The duration of this experiment was 42 d (the growing period of cabbage). In Exp C, in addition to the CHAR (2 ton ha<sup>-1</sup> rice husk biochar and 225 kg N ha<sup> $-1$ </sup> urea application) and CON (225 kg N ha $^{-1}$  urea application only) treatments, a third treatment was also applied, CHAR + DCD, where we added rice husk biochar, urea and dicyandiamide (DCD). DCD is a representative nitrification inhibitor ([Di and Cameron,](#page--1-0) [2002\)](#page--1-0) that was applied at 10% of the urea applied by weight. The duration of this experiment was 120 d. All experiments used a completely randomized block design and had three replicates.

The biochar applied had a particle size of  $\leq$ 3 mm and was incorporated into the soil profile to a depth of 10 cm using shovels. In Exp C, the DCD was applied in solution by dissolving 100 g of DCD powder in 1000 ml of the deioinized (D.I.) water. The non-biochar plots were Download English Version:

# <https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8860985>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8860985>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)