

Performance of Personal Electrostatic Bioaerosol Sampler (PEBS) when Collecting Airborne Microorganisms

Taewon T. Han, Nirmala M. Thomas, Gediminas Mainelis



PII: S0021-8502(18)30172-1  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2018.07.004>  
Reference: AS5315

To appear in: *Journal of Aerosol Science*

Received date: 30 April 2018  
Revised date: 2 July 2018  
Accepted date: 16 July 2018

Cite this article as: Taewon T. Han, Nirmala M. Thomas and Gediminas Mainelis, Performance of Personal Electrostatic Bioaerosol Sampler (PEBS) when Collecting Airborne Microorganisms, *Journal of Aerosol Science*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2018.07.004>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

## Performance of Personal Electrostatic Bioaerosol Sampler (PEBS) when Collecting Airborne Microorganisms

Taewon T. Han, Nirmala M. Thomas, and Gediminas Mainelis\*

Department of Environmental Sciences, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey,  
14 College Farm Road, New Brunswick, NJ 08901, USA;

\*Corresponding author: Gediminas Mainelis. Phone: 848-932-5707; Fax: 732-932-8644. E-mail: mainelis@envsci.rutgers.edu

### Abstract

We recently developed a new personal electrostatic bioaerosol sampler (PEBS) for determining exposures to airborne microorganisms. PEBS was shown to collect airborne non-biological particles with efficiencies approaching 80% while producing very low ozone concentrations. In this work, we analyzed the performance of this sampler when collecting airborne *Bacillus atrophaeus* bacterial cells and *Penicillium chrysogenum* fungal spores as a function of sampling flow rates (e.g., 10 and 20 L/min) and sampling time (e.g., 10, 60, and 240 min). The collected samples were analyzed

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8865194>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8865194>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)