ARTICLE IN PRESS

JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES XX (2017) XXX-XXX



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect



www.jesc.ac.cn

15

www.elsevier.com/locate/jes

- **efficiency in hydrocarbon degradation and biosurfactant**
- production by Joostella sp. A8 when grown in pure culture
 and consortia[☆]

Carmen Rizzo^{1,*}, Alessandro Ciro Rappazzo², Luigi Michaud¹, Emilio De Domenico¹, Carlos Rochera^{3,4}, Antonio Camacho³, Angelina Lo Giudice^{1,2}

6 1. Department of Chemical, Biological, Pharmaceutical and Environmental Sciences (ChiBioFarAm), University of Messina, Viale Ferdinando

7 Stagno d'Alcontrès 31, 98166 Messina, Italy

8 2. Institute for the Coastal Marine Environment, National Research Council (IAMC-CNR), Spianata San Raineri 86, 98122 Messina, Italy

Gavanilles Institute for Biodiversity and Evolutionary Biology, University of Valencia, Carrer del Catedrátic José Beltrán Martinez 2,
 46980 Paterna, Valencia, Spain

11 4. Regional Centre for Water Studies (CREA), University of Castilla-La Mancha, C/ Altagracia 50, 13071 Ciudad Real, Spain

14 ARTICLEINFO

12

16 Article history: 17 Received 6 May 2017 18 Revised 11 August 2017 19 Accepted 14 August 2017 Available online xxxx 20 39 Keywords: 40 Joostella Biosurfactants 41 42 Co-cultures Hydrocarbon degradation 43 Biodegradation efficiency 44 45

ABSTRACT

Joostella strains are emerging candidates for biosurfactant production. Here such ability was 21 analyzed for Joostella strain A8 in comparison with Alcanivorax strain A53 and Pseudomonas 22 strain A6, all previously isolated from hydrocarbon enrichment cultures made of polychaete 23 homogenates. In pure cultures Joostella sp. A8 showed the highest stable emulsion percentage 24 (78.33%), hydrophobicity rate (62.67%), and an optimal surface tension reduction during 25 growth in mineral medium supplemented with diesel oil (reduction of about 12 mN/m), thus 26 proving to be highly competitive with Alcanivorax and Pseudomonas strains. During growth in 27 pure culture different level of biodegradation were detected for Alcanivorax strain A53 (52.7%), 28 Pseudomonas strain A6 (38.2%) and Joostella strain A8 (26.8%). When growing in consortia, 29 isolates achieved similar abundance values, with the best efficiency that was observed for the 30 Joostella-Pseudomonas co-culture. Gas-chromatographic analysis revealed an increase in the 31 biodegradation efficiency in co-cultures (about 90%), suggesting that the contemporary action 32 of different bacterial species could improve the process. Results were useful to compare 33 the efficiencies of well-known biosurfactant producers (i.e. Pseudomonas and Alcanivorax 34 representatives) with a still unknown biosurfactant producer, i.e. Joostella, and to confirm them 35 as optimal biosurfactant-producing candidates. 36

© 2017 The Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences. 37

Published by Elsevier B.V. 38

Treatment

48

50 Introduction

The genus Joostella (family Flavobacteriaceae) was firstly described
by Quan et al. (2008) who isolated J. marina strain En5^T gen. nov.,

sp. nov., from coastal seawater (Quan et al., 2008; Hameed et al., 54 2014). A summary classification and a set of features for 55 *J. marina*, in addition to the description of the complete 56 genomic sequencing and annotation, was then presented by 57

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2017.08.007

1001-0742/© 2017 The Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Published by Elsevier B.V.

Please cite this article as: Rizzo, C., et al., Efficiency in hydrocarbon degradation and biosurfactant production by Joostella sp. A8 when grown in pure culture and consortia, J. Environ. Sci. (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2017.08.007

[🕆] Dedication: Carmen Rizzo would like to affectionately dedicate this paper to Luigi Michaud for his special and unforgettable support,

and to her mentor Angelina Lo Giudice, for all teachings and her constant presence.

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: carmen.rizzo@unime.it (Carmen Rizzo).

2

Stackebrandt et al. (2013). A second species, J. atrarenae, was 58 described by Kim et al. (2011), but not yet appeared on a 59 60 validation list. To date, information on Joostella spp. remain scarce and fragmentary. Only recently, members in the genus 61 Joostella have been reported as biosurfactant (BS) producers by 62 Rizzo et al. (2013) who isolated Joostella strain A8 from crude oil 63 64 enrichment cultures, which were set up with homogenates of the polychaete Megalomma claparedei (Gravier, 1906). Further in 65 66 depth investigation were carried out with the attempt to 67 enhance BS production by Joostella strain A8 by varying culture conditions, including the carbon source (Rizzo et al., 2014), and 68 69 link the influence of heavy metals on BS activity (Rizzo et al., 70 2015). Overall, gained data on Joostella strain A8 (Rizzo et al., 2013, 2014, 2015) were encouraging about its strong potentiality 71 72 as BS producers and its possible application in microbial-73 mediated bioremediation process. Surface active agents, such as biosurfactants, facilitate the cellular uptake of insoluble 74 substrates, by reducing the surface and interfacial tension, so 75 76 increasing the solubility and emulsification of them, and resulted 77 able to overcome the toxicity of synthetic compounds (Edwards 78 et al., 2003). As it is well known, a limited range of petroleum 79 substrates are metabolized by individual microorganisms, while mixed populations could exploit a variety of enzymatic abilities. 80 81 This improve the biodegradation efficiency of complex hydrocarbon substrates, thanks to the complementary action of more 82 83 than a single species with substrate specificity (Patil et al., 2012; 84 Varjani and Upasani, 2013; Thomas et al., 2014). According to 85 this, bacterial consortia should be regulated by a wide range of 86 metabolic mechanisms for the enhancement of oil components 87 transformation (Antoniou et al., 2015; Thomas et al., 2014). To 88 date, bacterial consortia have been used to investigate microbial degradation efficiency, which is generally higher if compared to 89 90 mono-cultures (Kadali et al., 2012), probably thanks to synergistic interactions among members of the association (Sampath et al., 91 2012). In contrast, the majority of studies on BS-mediated 92 93 biodegradation were carried out with the use of mono-culture, and in rare occasions, mixed cultures (or co-cultures) were used 94 (Ławniczak et al., 2013). 95

96 The improvement of microbial hydrocarbon degradation 97 and BS production represents a promising approach in the control and remediation of this kind of pollution, so that 98 99 various hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria have been isolated 100 during last decades. The present study had a dual aim. Firstly, 101 BS production and hydrocarbon degradation by Joostella sp. A8 were compared with those by isolates of the same origin 102 103 (Rizzo et al., 2013) belonging to well-known genera in this field, i.e. Alcanivorax strain A53 and Pseudomonas strain A6. The 104 105 genus Alcanivorax is an alkane degrader and producer of an efficient glucose-lipid surfactant (Fernàndez-Martìnez et al., 106 2003). The genus Pseudomonas is the best known rhamnolipid 107 108 producer, able to use different substrates such as fructose, glycerol, mannitol, glucose, n-paraffin and vegetable oils 109 (Desai and Banat, 1997), and most promising candidate 110 for BS-production on large scale. Secondly, BS production 111 112 and hydrocarbon degradation capacity was evaluated in co-cultures of Joostella strain A8 grown together with 113 Alcanivorax strain A53 or Pseudomonas strain A6 in order to 114 establish if they might have reciprocal advantage in substrate 115 degradation thanks to the involvement of different metabolic 116 abilities. 117

1. Material and methods

1.1. Bacterial strains

BS-producing bacterial strains used in this study were 121 previously isolated from crude oil enrichment cultures which 122 were set up with homogenates of the Polychaete annelids 123 *Megalomma claparedei* (Gravier, 1906) (i.e. Joostella strain A8, 124 J, Accession number JX298555; *Pseudomonas* strain A6, **P**; 125 Accession number JX298544) and *Branchiomma luctuosum* 126 (i.e. Alcanivorax strain A53, **A**, Accession number JX298541) 127 from the brackish Lake Faro, Messina, Italy (Rizzo et al., 2013). 128 Main features of the three BS-producing isolates are summa-129 rized in Table 1. Isolates were grown in both pure cultures and 130 co-cultures (consortia) to monitor cell abundances, hydrocar-131 bon degradation and BS production over time, as described in 132 the following sections.

118

120

135

151

161

1.2. Culture set-up134

1.2.1. Pure cultures

Experiments were carried out in 500 mL Erlenmeyer flasks 136 containing 150 mL of the mineral salt medium Bushnell Haas 137 Broth (BH) supplemented with NaCl (3%, W/V) and diesel oil 138 (DO; 2%, V/V). Culture broth was inoculated with 10% (V/V) of 139 an overnight pre-culture (OD₅₈₀ \approx 0.6), and incubated at 25°C 140 in a shaker (160 r/min) for 480 hr. Biodegradation assays were 141 carried out under three parallel culture sets, as follows: Set I, 142 Bacterial cells plus BH plus DO was used for chemical 143 analyses; Set II, Bacterial cells plus BH plus DO was used for 144 monitoring BS production and bacterial abundance; Set III, 145 Bacterial cells plus BH plus DO plus sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) 146 was used to investigate the effect of a synthetic surfactant on 147 hydrocarbon degradation. Uninoculated control experiments 148 were simultaneously carried out, with 150 mL of BH supplement- 149 ed with DO (2%, V/V) to monitor abiotic losses of the substrate. 150

1.2.2. Co-cultures

Equal volumes of bacterial cultures ($OD_{580} \approx 0.700$) (for a total 152 inoculum of 10%) were combined to inoculate 150 mL of BH 153 plus DO (2%, V/V). Consortia were incubated at 25°C under 154 shaking (160 r/min) for 480 hr. In detail, Joostella strain A8 was 155 grown together with *Pseudomonas* strain A6 (consortium J-P) or 156 Alcanivorax strain A53 (consortium J-A). Uninoculated control 157 experiments were simultaneously carried out, with 150 mL of 158 BH broth supplemented with DO (2%, V/V) to monitor abiotic 159 losses of the substrate.

1.3. Estimation of bacterial abundances

1.3.1. Estimation of bacterial abundances in pure cultures162Aliquots from the pure cultures were collected at regular163intervals (48 hr) to monitor bacterial abundance by optical164density measurement at 580 nm (OD_{580}) using a spectrophotom-165eter (UV-mini-1240, Shimadzu, Japan). Additionally, sub-samples166were collected at 0, 240 and 480 hr of incubation (T_0 , T_{240} and T_{480} ,167respectively) and fixed with formaldehyde (final concentration1682%, V/V) for the subsequent total counts using epifluorescence169microscope and flow cytometry, as follows. For microscope170

Please cite this article as: Rizzo, C., et al., Efficiency in hydrocarbon degradation and biosurfactant production by Joostella sp. A8 when grown in pure culture and consortia, J. Environ. Sci. (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2017.08.007

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8865478

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8865478

Daneshyari.com