## **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

#### JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES XX (2017) XXX-XXX



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

## **ScienceDirect**



www.jesc.ac.cn

www.elsevier.com/locate/jes

## 22 Methane oxidation and attenuation of sulphur compounds in landfill top cover systems: Lab-scale tests

## Q4 Q3 Roberto Raga<sup>1</sup>, Alberto Pivato<sup>1,\*</sup>, Maria Cristina Lavagnolo<sup>1</sup>, Laura Megido<sup>2</sup>, Raffaello Cossu<sup>1</sup>

4 1. DII - Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Padova, via Marzolo n 9, 35131 Padova, Italy

5 2. Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, Polytechnic School of Engineering, Gijón Campus, University of Oviedo,

6 33203 Gijón, Spain

7

### 90 ARTICLEINFO

16	Article history:
12	Received 10 January 2017
18	Revised 29 June 2017
19	Accepted 30 June 2017
20	Available online xxxx
21	
32	Keywords:
$\frac{38}{28}$	Emission reduction
39	Greenhouse gas emission
<u>49</u>	Landfill
26	Methane oxidation
<del>2</del> 7	H <sub>2</sub> S
$\frac{48}{28}$	Soil cover
<b>4</b> 9	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	

### ABSTRACT

In this study, a top cover system is investigated as a control for emissions during the aftercare of new landfills and for old landfills where biogas energy production might not be profitable. Different materials were studied as landfill cover system in lab-scale columns: mechanicalbiological pretreated municipal solid waste (MBP); mechanical-biological pretreated biowaste (PB); fine (PBS<sub>f</sub>) and coarse (PBS<sub>c</sub>) mechanical-biological pretreated mixtures of biowaste and sewage sludge, and natural soil (NS). The effectiveness of these materials in removing methane and sulphur compounds from a gas stream was tested, even coupled with activated carbon membranes. Concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S and mercaptans were analysed at different depths along the columns. Methane degradation was assessed using mass balance and the results were expressed in terms of methane oxidation rate (MOR). The highest maximum and mean MOR were observed for MBP (17.2 g  $CH_4/m^2/hr$  and 10.3 g  $CH_4/m^2/hr$ , respectively). Similar values were obtained with PB and PBSc. The lowest values of MOR were obtained for NS (6.7 g  $CH_4/m^2/hr$ ) and  $PBS_f$  (3.6 g  $CH_4/m^2/hr$ ), which may be due to their low organic content and void index, respectively. Activated membranes with high load capacity did not seem to have an influence on the methane oxidation process: MBP coupled with 220 g/m<sup>2</sup> and 360 g/m<sup>2</sup> membranes gave maximum MOR of 16.5 g  $CH_4/m^2/hr$  and 17.4 g  $CH_4/m^2/hr$ , respectively. Activated carbon membranes proved to be very effective on H<sub>2</sub>S adsorption. Furthermore, carbonyl sulphide, ethyl mercaptan and isopropyl mercaptan seemed to be easily absorbed by the filling materials.

© 2017 The Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Published by Elsevier B.V.

### 49 Introduction

36

49

In recent years, there has been a large increase of levels of greenhouse gases (i.e.,  $CH_4$ ,  $CO_2$ ,  $N_2O$ ) in the atmosphere (IPCC, 2013).  $CH_4$  global warming potential is 28 times higher than  $CO_2$  (IPCC, 2014), although its residence time in the atmosphere is relatively short in comparison with both  $CO_2$  and  $N_2O$  (7–8 years) (Chanton and Liptay, 2000). Whilst methane can be naturally released into the atmosphere, 50%–60% of the total  $CH_4$  emissions are due to anthropogenic activities (e.g., 58 fossil fuel extraction and use, rice paddy agriculture, rumi- 59 nant livestock, landfills, man-made lakes and wetlands and 60 waste treatment) (IPCC, 2013).

Methane emissions could be reduced through appropriate 62 management of anthropogenic activities (Chanton et al., 2010). 63 Landfills are one of the main anthropogenic biogenic emissions 64 of CH<sub>4</sub> (Yang et al., 2015), and still one of the most common 65 waste management option globally (Ziyang et al., 2015). 66

\* Corresponding author. E-mail: alberto.pivato@unipd.it (Alberto Pivato).

### http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2017.06.040

1001-0742 © 2017 The Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Published by Elsevier B.V.

Please cite this article as: Raga, R., et al., Methane oxidation and attenuation of sulphur compounds in landfill top cover systems: Lab-scale tests, J. Environ. Sci. (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2017.06.040

2

## **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

Biologically breaking down processes of waste components in 67 landfills generate gas (Widory et al., 2012). The production of 68 landfill gas depends on the quantity and composition of the 69 disposed waste (Chanton and Liptay, 2000), i.e., the content of 70 organic waste and its degradability. In general, landfill gas is 71 mainly composed by CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> (50%-60% and 40%-45%, 72respectively), although N2, O2, H2S, NH3 and more than two 73 74 hundred organic compounds are also present (Beylot et al., 75 2013). Moreno et al. (2014) directly measured landfill leaks with a 76 portable detector, finding that they were characterised by high H<sub>2</sub>S/NH<sub>3</sub> ratios. These researchers pointed to H<sub>2</sub>S, NH<sub>3</sub> and 77organic compounds as responsible for landfill odours; H<sub>2</sub>S being 78 a major contributor (He et al., 2012). Landfill gas production also 79 depends on waste age and other parameters, such as temper-80 ature, water content, nutrients, and inhibiting compounds 81 (Scheutz et al., 2011). Young landfills (5-30 years) produce gas 82 with a higher methane content (30%-60%) than older landfills 83 (Karthikeyan et al., 2016). 84

Gas production in new landfills for pretreated municipal 85 solid waste might be reduced by up to 90% of what expected in 86 traditional landfills (Leikam and Stegmann, 1997). Although 87 different alternatives are available to reduce landfill emis-88 sions (e.g., waste pretreatment, aeration and flushing), not all 89 90 residual emissions are avoided (Cossu et al., 2003; Raga et al., 91 2015). In large modern landfills, gas capture reduces methane 92 emissions into the atmosphere (Chanton et al., 2010). Never-93 theless, small and medium-sized landfills do not usually treat 94 their emissions (Chiemchaisri et al., 2010). This might be due to several reasons. For instance, the low content of methane 95in the gas may not make profitable the capture and use of the 96 97 gas for energy purposes (Einola et al., 2009).

Gas leaks must be efficiently controlled. According to Beylot 98 et al. (2013), landfills without biogas management pose the 99 highest potential impact on climate change, human toxicity 100 and ecotoxicity. Soil cover makes it possible to control landfill 101 emissions (He et al., 2012; Lü et al., 2012; Mei et al., 2011); CH4 102being degraded by naturally-occurring bacteria through a 103 reaction with atmospheric O2, to form CO2 (Chiemchaisri et al., 104 2010). Moreover, cover materials in landfills support vegetation 105growth, optimise the water balance and reduce odours and 106 water infiltration (Rachor et al., 2011; Ziyang et al., 2015). Landfill 107 108 covers may be considered as a low-cost solution and be adapted 109to different cases in other types of landfills, so that it may be used as a unique gas treatment or combined with other 110 methods (Einola et al., 2009). 111

Some materials, such as agricultural and horticultural soil, 112compost, sand and mechanically-biologically treated munic-113ipal solid waste have been studied as landfill cover soils (Hu 114and Long, 2016). Oxidation rate of methane and other 115 pollutants in landfill gas depends on the type of cover 116 117 material (Table 1); current research being mainly focused on methane (Beylot et al., 2013). Nonetheless, H<sub>2</sub>S migration in 118 landfill covers is complex and differs from that of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> 119 (Xu et al., 2014). Thus, further research is needed to study the 120121 attenuation of sulphur compounds by landfill covers.

The main aim of the present research was to investigate the behaviour of different kinds of materials as possible landfill cover system, even coupled with activated carbon membranes of different load capacities, concerning not only methane oxidation, but also the adsorption of  $H_2S$  and sulphur compounds.

## Table 1 – Methane oxidation rate (MOR) of several landfillt1.1cover materials found in literature.t1.2

Type of material	MOR (g CH <sub>4</sub> /m²/hr)	Reference	t1.3 t1.4	
Coarse sand	7.0	Kightley et al. (1995)	t1.5	
Fine sand	4.6		t1.6	
Clay topsoil	4.6		t1.7	
Municipal solid waste	5.7–18	Humer and Lechner	t1.8	
compost		(1999)		
Sewage sludge compost	0.1-7.2		t1.9	
Topsoil	2.9-3.6		t1.10	
Landfill loam	8.8	Scheutz et al. (2003)	t1.11	
Mineral sand	1.5-2.3	Rachor et al. (2011)	t1.12	
Sediment (loamy sand)	0.2-0.6		t1.13	
Screened garden waste c	5	Pedersen et al. (2011)	t1.14	
ompost				
Sewage sludge compost	4.7		t1.15	
Unscreened 4-year-old	4.5		t1.16	
garden waste compost				

### 1. Materials and methods

128

### 1.1. Experimental equipment

129

In this study, laboratory tests were carried out using plexiglas 130 columns (height 100 cm, diameter 10 cm) installed in a 131 climate chamber to ensure a constant temperature of 30°C 132 (Fig. 1). The columns were filled with different materials as 133 possible landfill cover systems (hereinafter referred to as 134 filling material).

Hu and Long (2016) studied the effect of different cover 136 thickness on methane on CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation; 90 cm being found as 137 the optimum value in the initial 1- to 24-day stages and 60 cm 138 in the 25- to 50-day stage. In the present study, the testing 139 materials were filled into a depth of 60 cm and saturated in 140 water to about 50% of the field capacity. Conditions in the top 141 cover of a landfill were simulated by supplying biogas from 142 the bottom of the columns and air from the top (Fig. 1). Thus, 143 oxygen and methane from these two gas streams could 144 penetrate the substrate as under natural landfill conditions. 145 The biogas used in the tests was collected at a municipal solid 146 waste (MSW) landfill and stored in Tedlar bags of 100 L 147 capacity. The biogas was fed into the columns by means of 148 N86 KT.18 membrane pumps, the flow rate being adjusted by 149 means of 5850S digital flowmeters (Brooks Instruments). Air 150 was blown using Air Professional 360 pumps (Prodac) and the 151 flow rate was controlled by Sho-Rate GT1335 flowmeters 152 (Brooks Instruments). 153

Sampling points were inserted at different depths along the 154 lateral surface of the columns (Fig. 1). In these points, CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, 155 O<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> concentrations were determined by means of a 156 mobile landfill gas meter (LFG20, Eco-Control S.r.l.). H<sub>2</sub>S and 157 mercaptans (carbonyl sulphide, ethyl mercaptan and isopropyl 158 mercaptan) were analysed on a HP5890 gas-chromatograph 159 equipped with an HP-Plot Q column (Agilent Technologies). The 160 temperature inside the column was also measured by means of 161 Thermo Systems TS100 temperature probes. 162

Mass balance was the method applied to quantify and 163 assess methane degradation process in the five different 164 types of landfill covers. The results were expressed in terms of 165

Please cite this article as: Raga, R., et al., Methane oxidation and attenuation of sulphur compounds in landfill top cover systems: Lab-scale tests, J. Environ. Sci. (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2017.06.040 Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8865645

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8865645

Daneshyari.com