

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

www.elsevier.com/locate/jes

JES
 JOURNAL OF
 ENVIRONMENTAL
 SCIENCES
www.jesc.ac.cn

Characteristics of microbial community functional structure of a biological coking wastewater treatment system

Dev Raj Joshi^{1,2}, Yu Zhang^{1,2,*}, Hong Zhang¹, Yinxin Gao^{1,2}, Min Yang^{1,2}

1. State Key Laboratory of Environmental Aquatic Chemistry, Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100085, China

2. University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
 Received 26 April 2017
 Revised 18 July 2017
 Accepted 19 July 2017
 Available online xxx

Keywords:
 Coking wastewater
 GeoChip
 Illumina HiSeq
 Functional genes
 Nitrogenous pollutants

ABSTRACT

Nitrogenous heterocyclic compounds are key pollutants in coking wastewater; however, the functional potential of microbial communities for biodegradation of such contaminants during biological treatment is still elusive. Herein, a high throughput functional gene array (GeoChip 5.0) in combination with Illumina HiSeq2500 sequencing was used to compare and characterize the microbial community functional structure in a long run (500 days) bench scale bioreactor treating coking wastewater, with a control system treating synthetic wastewater. Despite the inhibitory toxic pollutants, GeoChip 5.0 detected almost all key functional gene (average 61,940 genes) categories in the coking wastewater sludge. With higher abundance, aromatic ring cleavage dioxygenase genes including *multi ring1,2diox*; *one ring2,3diox*; *catechol* represented significant functional potential for degradation of aromatic pollutants which was further confirmed by Illumina HiSeq2500 analysis results. Response ratio analysis revealed that three nitrogenous compound degrading genes- *nbzA* (nitro-aromatics), *tdnB* (aniline), and *scrABC* (thiocyanate) were unique for coking wastewater treatment, which might be strong cause to increase ammonia level during the aerobic process. Additionally, HiSeq2500 elucidated carbazole and isoquinoline degradation genes in the system. These findings expanded our understanding on functional potential of microbial communities to remove organic nitrogenous pollutants; hence it will be useful in optimization strategies for biological treatment of coking wastewater. © 2017 The Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Published by Elsevier B.V.

Introduction

The coking wastewaters (CWWs) carry large amount of phenolics and complex mixture of heterocyclic compounds, and many other contaminants (Zhang et al., 2012) which may induce genotoxicity to humans (Zhu et al., 2013). As biological treatment has become most reliable option to remove pollutants, understanding the microbial communities and their metabolic potential for biodegradation would provide meaningful control over the detoxification and purification of the CWW (Manefield et al., 2005).

Microbial diversity and its extent in CWW treatment plants has been extensively studied (Huang et al., 2016; Joshi et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2015a; Meng et al., 2016; D. Zhang et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2015) showing comparatively slightly lower but unique community composition which has high coherence with wastewater characteristics and environmental variables (Jia et al., 2016). These studies focused only on microbial taxonomic composition. Although many abundant microbial genera including *Thiobacillus*, *Comamonas*, *Burkholderiales*, *Pseudomonas*, *Ottowia*, *Corynebacterium* etc. were speculated to remediate major pollutants like phenol, thiocyanate, and

* Corresponding author. E-mail: zhangyu@rcees.ac.cn (Yu Zhang).

68 NHCs or PAHs (Joshi et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2015b; Meng
 69 Q5 et al., 2016; X. Zhang et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2015), but the
 70 biodegradation potential has not been clearly elucidated.
 71 Nevertheless, the knowledge on key functional genes res-
 72 ponsible for treatment bioprocesses during the treatment
 73 is still elusive. So, one of the objectives of this study was to
 74 unveil uniqueness of overall microbial community functional
 75 structure in CWW treatment plants.

76 While total phenol (about 600 mg/L) constitute 60%–80% of
 77 total organic fraction in CWW, nitrogenous compounds (about
 78 200 mg/L) like aniline, quinoline, isoquinoline, indole, pyridine,
 79 carbazole, cyanides, thiocyanates which represent 20%–40% are
 80 second most abundant contaminants of CWW (Joshi et al., 2016;
 81 Li et al., 2003; Meng et al., 2016; Shen et al., 2014). The removal
 82 of nitrogenous heterocycles and other related compounds
 83 is an indication of detoxification of CWW (Liu et al., 2016).
 84 During aerobic process, these compounds are degraded releasing
 85 ammonia nitrogen in effluent (Hung and Pavlostathis, 1997; Sun
 86 et al., 2009). In our previous study, we achieved almost complete
 87 removal of six nitrogenous heterocyclic compounds and thiocy-
 88 anate and cyanide in a bench scale bio-reactor with diverse
 89 microbial community (Joshi et al., 2016). Although the degrada-
 90 tion pathways for nitrogenous compounds were described
 91 (Fetzner, 1998; Watts and Moreau, 2016; Xu et al., 2006), but the
 92 studies either used pure cultures or examined single substrate
 93 or focused on particular compounds like cyanide (Wang et al.,
 94 2015). So, another aim of the present study was to explore key
 95 functional genes and pathways related to the nitrogen com-
 96 pound degradation during the aerobic treatment of CWW.

97 Therefore, a long run bench scale bioreactor treating CWW
 98 and a control bioreactor treating non-phenolic synthetic
 99 wastewater were compared for functional genes applying
 100 functional gene array (GeoChip 5.0) in combination with
 101 metagenomic sequencing (Illumina HiSeq2500 platform) to
 102 analyze microbial community functional structure. GeoChip
 103 5.0 is a powerful metagenomic tool (He et al., 2010; Zhou
 104 et al., 2010) that has been widely used to examine microbial
 105 community functional structure in various wastewater treat-
 106 ment systems (Sun et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014; Xia et al.,
 107 2014; Yu et al., 2014; Y. Zhang et al., 2013, 2015). Illumina
 108 high throughput sequencing provides detailed profile of
 109 functional genes and related metabolic pathways (Ye et al.,
 110 2012). In this study, we demonstrate a significant difference
 111 between functional structures of CWW treatment and control
 112 bioreactor; and further, present profile of aromatic pollutant
 113 degrading genes and pathways in CWW treatment system.
 114 Finally, we elucidate key functional genes possibly involved
 115 in biodegradation of selected nitrogenous compounds. The
 116 overall results of our study expanded the knowledge of func-
 117 tional profile and useful clues for bioremediation of nitroge-
 118 nous heterocyclic pollutants of coking wastewater.

120 1. Materials and methods

121 1.1. Bench scale treatment of CWW and control bioreactor

122 The CWW obtained from coking facility in Tangshan City,
 123 Hebei Province, China was treated using a bench-scale system
 124 consisting of anaerobic and aerobic bioreactors (CWW bioreactor)

as designed in our previous study (Joshi et al., 2016). The 125
 anaerobic pretreatment aimed to reduce toxicity and en- 126
 hance the biodegradability in downstream treatment units (Li 127
 et al., 2005). Sequentially arranged up-flow anaerobic sludge 128
 blanket reactor (UASB) and a conventional aerobic bioreactor 129
 were operated for over 500 days at an ambient temperature 130
 of 20–25°C with hydraulic retention times (HRT) of 42 and 131
 72 hr, respectively. The dissolved oxygen concentration in 132
 aerobic bioreactor was 2–6 mg/L. After pretreatment, average 133
 chemical oxygen demand (COD), total organic carbon (TOC), and 134
 ammonia nitrogen of influent wastewater were 1992.0 ± 440.0 , 135
 493.6 ± 102.1 , and 137.6 ± 103.8 mg/L. The operational parame- 136
 ters were more or less consistent except the slight variation in 137
 pH (7.1–8.9) of the mixed liquor after 300 days onwards. 138

In parallel, the control bioreactor (15 × 10 × 29.5 cm) with 139
 an effective volume of 2 L was constructed and run for long 140
 period over 500 days. The reactor was inoculated with seed 141
 sludge from a municipal sewage treatment plant in Beijing, 142
 and then operated at HRT of 24 hr in dark by continuously 143
 feeding synthetic wastewater contained of glucose, starch, 144
 tryptone, and sodium carboxymethyl cellulose as mixed carbon 145
 sources. COD concentration of synthetic wastewater was 146
 maintained low approximately 400 mg/L. $\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$ was 27 mg/L 147
 prepared from $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ (126 mg/L), $\text{PO}_4^{3-}\text{-P}$ (7 mg/L) from 148
 KH_2PO_4 (31 mg/L), alkalinity (250 mg/L) from NaHCO_3 , and the 149
 trace elements contained (mg/L): H_3BO_3 (0.5); $\text{MnSO}_4 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.4); 150
 $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.4); $\text{Na}_2\text{MoO}_4 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.2); $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.1); CoCl_2 151
 (0.1); KI (0.1); NiCl_2 (0.1). 152

153 1.2. Sampling and analytical measurements

The wastewater samples were collected with grab sampling 154
 strategy for continuous monitoring of the treatment perfor- 155
 mance of both CWW and control bioreactors. The standard 156
 methods of routine analysis for wastewater quality were 157
 followed as previously described (Joshi et al., 2016). 158

For microbial community functional gene analysis, the 159
 sludge sampling from CWW bioreactor was based on the 160
 performance of the bioreactors, and the aerobic samples were 161
 collected on ten different time points — days 125, 194, 228, 162
 276, 325, 353, 385, 416, 454, and 484, and sample names were 163
 designated as CW1, CW2, CW3, CW4, CW5, CW6, CW7, CW8, 164
 CW9, and CW10, respectively. For control bioreactor, duplicate 165
 sludge samples were collected on day 100 (sample name: C1, 166
 C2), 300 (C3, C4), and 480 (C5, C6). In order to make each 167
 sample composite, three sub-samples of sludge collected on 168
 the same day were mixed together. The sludge samples were 169
 dewatered immediately by centrifuging at $9167 \times g$ for 10 min 170
 and stored under -80°C until DNA extraction. Q6

172 1.3. Microbial community DNA extraction and quality control

For each sample, 0.25 g (wet weight) of the sludge was used 173
 to extract total community DNA using MO BIO PowerSoil® 174
 DNA Isolation Kit (MO BIO Laboratories, USA; Catalog no. 175
 12888-100) in conjunction with bench-top vortex adaptor 176
 according to the manufacturer's directions. For each sample, 177
 DNA was extracted in triplicate to avoid bias, and extracts from 178
 the same sample were pooled together. The quality of DNA 179
 was evaluated using NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer 180

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8865736>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8865736>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)