

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

www.elsevier.com/locate/jes

JES
 JOURNAL OF
 ENVIRONMENTAL
 SCIENCES
www.jesc.ac.cn

Q11 Removing ammonium from water and wastewater using 2 cost-effective adsorbents: A review

Q13Q12 Jianyin Huang^{1,2,3,*}, Nadeeka Rathnayake Kankanamge³, Christopher Chow^{1,2},
 4 David T. Welsh³, Tianling Li³, Peter R. Teasdale^{1,2}

5 1. Natural and Built Environments Research Centre, School of Natural and Built Environments, University of South Australia, SA 5095, Australia

6 2. Future Industries Institute, University of South Australia, SA 5095, Australia

7 3. Environmental Futures Research Institute, School of Environment, Griffith University, Gold Coast campus, QLD 4215, Australia

10 A R T I C L E I N F O

A B S T R A C T

11

12 Article history:

13 Received 30 May 2017

14 Revised 15 September 2017

15 Accepted 18 September 2017

16 Available online xxx

38 Keywords:

39 Ammonium

40 Water treatment

41 Capacities

42 Cost-effective

43 Environmental friendly

44

Ammonium is an important nutrient in primary production; however, high ammonium 17
 loads can cause eutrophication of natural waterways, contributing to undesirable changes 18
 in water quality and ecosystem structure. While ammonium pollution comes from diffuse 19
 agricultural sources, making control difficult, industrial or municipal point sources such as 20
 wastewater treatment plants also contribute significantly to overall ammonium pollution. 21
 These latter sources can be targeted more readily to control ammonium release into water 22
 systems. To assist policy makers and researchers in understanding the diversity of treatment 23 Q14
 options and the best option for their circumstance, this paper produces a comprehensive 24
 review of existing treatment options for ammonium removal with a particular focus on those 25
 technologies which offer the highest rates of removal and cost effectiveness. Ion exchange and 26
 adsorption material methods are simple to apply, cost effective, environmentally friendly 27
 technologies which are extremely effective at removing ammonium from treated water. The 28
 review presents a list of adsorbents from the literature, their adsorption capacities and other 29
 parameters needed for ammonium removal. Further, the preparation of adsorbents with high 30
 ammonium removal capacities and new adsorbents is discussed in the context of their 31
 relative cost, removal efficiencies, and limitations. Efficient, cost effective, and environmental 32
 friendly adsorbents for the removal remove of ammonium on a large scale from commercial or 33
 water treatment plants are provided. In addition, future perspectives on removing ammonium 34
 using adsorbents are presented. 35

© 2017 The Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences. 36

Published by Elsevier B.V. 37

48

49 Contents

51	Introduction	0
52	1. Removal of ammonium from water and wastewater using the adsorption and ion exchange method	0
53	1.1. Natural zeolites and clays	0
54	1.1.1. Comparison of natural zeolites and clays	0
55	1.2. Synthetic zeolites and clays	0
56	1.2.1. Comparison of synthetic zeolites and clays	0

* Corresponding author. E-mail: Leslie.Huang@unisa.edu.au (Jianyin Huang).

57	1.3. Polymeric ion exchangers	0
58	1.3.1. Comparison of polymeric ion exchangers	0
59	1.4. Carbon-based adsorbents	0
60	1.4.1. Activated carbon	0
61	1.4.2. Biochar	0
62	1.4.3. Carbon nanotubes	0
63	1.4.4. Comparison of carbon-based adsorbents	0
64	1.5. Hydrogels	0
65	1.5.1. Hydrogels with inorganic clays	0
66	1.5.2. Wheat straw cellulose based semi-interpenetrating polymer network (IPNs) hydrogel	0
67	1.5.3. Hydrogel nanocomposites	0
68	1.5.4. Comparison of hydrogel adsorbents	0
69	1.6. Industrial wastes	0
70	1.7. Agricultural wastes and plant materials	0
71	1.8. Nanoparticles	0
72	2. Comparison of different adsorbents	0
73	3. Conclusion and future perspectives	0
74	Acknowledgments	0
75	References	0

76

77 Introduction

79 Ammonium concentrations in unspoiled waterways are usually
80 low (Marañón et al., 2006); however, these days, agricultural,
81 domestic and industrial effluent and runoff contribute substan-
82 tially to ammonium pollution of surface and ground water
83 resources. This pollution finds its way into these vital resources
84 via various point sources such as: municipal and industrial
85 wastewater, leachate and runoff from waste disposal sites,
86 construction sites, animal feed lots and so on (Camargo and
87 Alonso, 2006; Carlson et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2002; La Cour Jansen
88 et al., 2004), as well as non-point sources such as agricultural
89 runoff, atmospheric deposition and land developments nearby
90 waterways (Camargo and Alonso, 2006; Nolan and Hitt, 2006; Zhu
91 et al., 2013). High nutrient loads can stimulate nuisance algal
92 growth in polluted waters, which can contribute to hypoxia or
93 anoxia zones, and highly undesirable changes in ecosystem
94 structure and function (Bhatnagar and Sillanpaa, 2011; Camargo
95 and Alonso, 2006; Smith et al., 1999). In addition, ammonium
96 needs to be removed from grey water for reuse purposes due to
97 its potential risk to human health (Britto and Kronzucker, 2002).
98 This is especially true in the case of laundry, bathroom, and
99 swimming pool wastewaters (Widiastuti et al., 2011).

100 Ammonium (NH_4^+) and unionised ammonia (NH_3) are readily
101 interchangeable depending upon the pH and temperature of
102 natural and urban waters (Nollet, 2013). Unionised ammonia is
103 much more toxic than ammonium (Batley and Simpson, 2009;
104 CCME, 2007; USEPA, 2006), because it is a neutral molecule that
105 freely diffuses across the epithelial membranes of aquatic
106 organisms. It can damage gill epithelia causing asphyxiation,
107 stimulate glycolysis, and suppress the Krebs cycle leading to
108 progressive acidosis which reduces the oxygen-carrying capac-
109 ity of blood, disrupts blood vessels, and affects liver and kidney
110 functions (Augspurger et al., 2003). However, in natural waters,
111 ammonium is present at much greater concentrations than
112 ammonia due to the predominance of circum-neutral pH. A
113 number of guidelines to protect against the effects of eutrophica-
114 tion have been developed to deal with global concerns

regarding the ecological effects of ammonium (ANZECC, 2000; 115
CCME, 2007; EU, 2006; USEPA, 1999). 116

117 Accordingly, many methods, such as biological, physical,
118 chemical, or a combination of these methods, have been
119 developed for the removal of ammonium from wastewaters
120 and other point sources. They mainly include ion exchange and
121 adsorption, biological technology, air stripping, breakpoint chlo-
122 rination, chemical precipitation, reverse osmosis, microwave
123 radiation, and supercritical water oxidation (Bermejo et al., 2008;
124 Bernet et al., 2000; Bodalo et al., 2005; Booker et al., 1996; Guštin
125 and Marinšek-Logar, 2011; Huang et al., 2015a; Lin et al., 2009;
126 Siegrist, 1996; Turan, 2016). The advantages and disadvantages of
127 these technologies are summarised in Table 1. There are several
128 limitations for the current technologies, including high cost, low
129 removal rate, high sensitivity to pH and temperature, and
130 introducing new pollutants (Bermejo et al., 2008; Bernet et al.,
131 2000; Bodalo et al., 2005; Booker et al., 1996; Guštin and Marinšek-
132 Logar, 2011; Huang et al., 2015a; Lin et al., 2009; Siegrist, 1996;
133 Turan, 2016). Compared to other techniques, ion exchange and
134 adsorption technique have many favourable characteristics. It
135 demonstrates a high affinity towards ammonium, high removal
136 efficiency, low-cost, simplicity of application and operation as
137 well as environmental friendliness (Turan, 2016; Uğurlu and
138 Karaoğlu, 2011; Widiastuti et al., 2011). These advantages make it
139 competitive to apply on a large scale for commercial and water
140 treatment plants to remove ammonium. Therefore, the following
141 study focuses on this method. In this review, over 70 adsorbents
142 are presented and their performance in removing ammonium is
143 compared based on several criteria, which examine the efficien-
144 cy, cost effectiveness, ease of use, and environmental friendliness
145 of adsorbents in the removal of ammonium.

146 1. Removal of ammonium from water and wastewater 147 using the adsorption and ion exchange method 148

149 This review provides criteria based on source, process, and
150 waste for identifying the most suitable adsorbents for removing

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8865751>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8865751>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)