Accepted Manuscript

Comment on "Scrutinizing the carbon cycle and CO_2 residence time in the atmosphere" by H. Harde

Peter Köhler, Judith Hauck, Christoph Völker, Dieter A. Wolf-Gladrow, Martin Butzin, Joshua B. Halpern, Ken Rice, Richard E. Zeebe

PII: S0921-8181(17)30136-4

DOI: doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2017.09.015

Reference: GLOBAL 2649

To appear in: Global and Planetary Change

Received date: 24 March 2017 Revised date: 22 September 2017 Accepted date: 22 September 2017



Please cite this article as: Köhler, Peter, Hauck, Judith, Völker, Christoph, Wolf-Gladrow, Dieter A., Butzin, Martin, Halpern, Joshua B., Rice, Ken, Zeebe, Richard E., Comment on "Scrutinizing the carbon cycle and CO₂ residence time in the atmosphere" by H. Harde, Global and Planetary Change (2017), doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2017.09.015

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Comment on "Scrutinizing the carbon cycle and CO_2 residence time in the atmosphere" by H. Harde

Peter Köhler^{a,*}, Judith Hauck^a, Christoph Völker^a, Dieter A. Wolf-Gladrow^a, Martin Butzin^a, Joshua B. Halpern^b, Ken Rice^c, Richard E. Zeebe^d

^aAlfred-Wegener-Institut Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar-und Meeresforschung (AWI),
P.O. Box 12 01 61, 27515 Bremerhaven, Germany
^bDepartment of Chemistry, Howard University, Washington, DC, 20059, USA
^cSUPA, Institute for Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, The Royal Observatory,
Blackford Hill, Edinburgh, EH93HJ, UK
^dSOEST, University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA

Abstract

Harde (2017) proposes an alternative accounting scheme for the modern carbon cycle and concludes that only 4.3% of today's atmospheric CO₂ is a result of anthropogenic emissions. As we will show, this alternative scheme is too simple, is based on invalid assumptions, and does not address many of the key processes involved in the global carbon cycle that are important on the timescale of interest. Harde (2017) therefore reaches an incorrect conclusion about the role of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions. Harde (2017) tries to explain changes in atmospheric CO₂ concentration with a single equation, while the most simple model of the carbon cycle must at minimum contain equations of at least two reservoirs (the atmosphere and the surface ocean), which are solved simultaneously. A single equation is fundamentally at odds with basic theory and observations. In the following we will (i) clarify the difference between CO₂ atmospheric residence time and adjustment time, (ii) present recently published information about anthropogenic carbon, (iii) present details about the processes that are missing in Harde (2017), (iv) briefly discuss shortcoming in Harde's generalization to paleo timescales, (v) and comment on deficiencies in some of the literature cited in Harde (2017).

 $Email\ address:\ {\tt Peter.Koehler@awi.de}\ ({\tt Peter}\ K\ddot{\scriptsize o} {\tt hler})$

^{*}Corresponding author

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8867525

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8867525

Daneshyari.com