



Biofiltration of methane from cow barns: Effects of climatic conditions and packing bed media acclimatization



Franciele Fedrizzi^a, Hubert Cabana^b, Éliane M. Ndanga^c, Alexandre R. Cabral^{d,*}

^a Groupe Alphard, 5570 rue Casgrain, Montréal, Québec H2T 1X9, Canada¹

^b Environmental Engineering Laboratory, Department of Civil Engineering, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke J1K 2R1, Canada

^c Akvo, 6440, 13e Avenue, Montréal, Québec H1X 2Y7, Canada¹

^d Geoenvironmental Group, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Quebec J1K 2R1, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 November 2017

Revised 17 June 2018

Accepted 18 June 2018

Keywords:

Biofiltration

Packing bed media

Acclimatization

Cooling-warming cycles

Animal houses

ABSTRACT

The performance of biofiltration to mitigate CH₄ emissions from cow barns was investigated in the laboratory using two flow-through columns constructed with an acclimatized packed bed media composed of inexpensive materials and readily available in an agricultural context. The biofilters were fed with artificial exhaust gas at a constant rate of 0.036 m³ h⁻¹ and low inlet CH₄ concentration (0.22 g m⁻³ = 300 ppm). The empty-bed residence time (EBRT) was equal to 0.21 h. Additionally, in order to simulate temperature changes under natural conditions and determine the influence of such cycles on CH₄ removal efficiency, the upper part of the biofilters were submitted to temperature oscillations over time. The maximum oxidation rate (1.68 μg CH₄ g_{dw}⁻¹h⁻¹) was obtained with the commercial compost mixed with straw. Accordingly, it was considered as packing bed media for the biofilters. The CH₄ removal efficiency was affected by the temperature prevailing within the biofilters, by the way in which the cooling-warming cycles were applied and by the acclimatization process. The shorter the cooling-warming cycles, the more oxidation rates varied. With longer cycles, CH₄ removal rates stabilized and CH₄ removal efficiencies attained nearly 100% in both biofilters, and remained at this level for more than 100 days, irrespective of the temperature at the top of the biofilter, which was – at times – adverse for microbiological activity. The first order rate constant for CH₄ oxidation kinetics of the entire system was estimated at 15 h⁻¹. If such rate could be transposed to real field conditions in Canada, home to nearly 945,000 dairy cows, biofiltration may be applied to efficiently abate between 2 × 10⁶ and 3 × 10⁶ t yr⁻¹ of CO₂ equivalent (depending on how estimates are performed) from bovine enteric fermentation alone.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Global livestock agriculture was responsible for 12–18% (5.2–7.9 Pg CO₂ eq year⁻¹) of the anthropogenic greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions annually (Ecofys, 2016; IPCC, 2014; Shafer et al., 2011) and agricultural emissions of GHGs could increase to 7.9–8.5 Pg CO₂ eq year⁻¹ by 2050 (Shafer et al., 2011). According to USEPA (2012), approximately 37% of global agricultural methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) arise from direct animal and manure emissions. Enteric CH₄ comprises 17 and 3.3% of global CH₄ and GHG emissions, respectively, and is largely derived from ruminant livestock (Ecofys, 2016; USEPA, 2012, 2010). CH₄ is a powerful

GHG, with an estimated global warming potential of 28–36 times higher than that of carbon dioxide (CO₂), over 100 years (Myhre et al., 2013; USEPA, 2017).

In Canada, emissions associated with the agriculture sector accounted for 8% of the country's total GHG emissions in 2015 (0.06 Pg CO₂ eq year⁻¹), 28% of which were CH₄ emissions. Emissions from enteric fermentation accounted for 42% (0.025 Pg CO₂ eq year⁻¹) of total GHG emissions associated with the agriculture sector in the country (Government of Canada, 2015). The dairy sector is the third most important farming sector in Canada (Government of Canada, 2017a). The dairy industry is concentrated in the central region of Canada, namely Quebec and Ontario, with 82% of Canada's dairy farms (Government of Canada, 2017a). Of the total GHG emissions of the Province of Quebec, 40.8% are attributed to bovine enteric fermentation (MDDEP, 2014).

On Quebec's dairy farms, cows remain confined to barns during the winter. Inside a typical barn, the air exchange occurs at a rate of 6–7 times every hour to maintain a high-quality environment for

* Corresponding author at: 2500, boul. de l'Université, Sherbrooke, Quebec J1K 2R1, Canada.

E-mail addresses: franciele.fedrizzi@usherbrooke.ca (F. Fedrizzi), hubert.cabana@usherbrooke.ca (H. Cabana), e.ndanga@usherbrooke.ca (É.M. Ndanga), alexandre.cabral@usherbrooke.ca (A.R. Cabral).

¹ Formerly with Department of Civil Engineering, University of Sherbrooke.

the animals. This leads to high air exchange rates, with exhaust gas containing very low CH₄ concentrations. Canada wants to reduce footprint emissions and is therefore looking for viable alternatives (Government of Canada, 2015; MDDEP, 2014).

The main CH₄ emissions reduction strategies studied within the animal husbandry context are: (1) robust ecologically-based management practices and technologies; (2) best feeding management and nutrition; (3) use of rumen modifiers; and (4) increasing animal production through genetics and other management approaches (Knapp et al., 2014; Shafer et al., 2011). As far as mitigation of GHG emissions is concerned, biofiltration is a technique that has been commonly applied in agricultural and industrial sectors (Akdeniz et al., 2011), but has received relatively less attention when it comes to abatement of CH₄ emissions in animal houses. Typical CH₄ concentrations inside animal houses range between 5 and 100 mg m⁻³ (milking cow). The average ventilation rate is 1000 m³ h⁻¹ (Melse and Werf, 2005). One important difficulty in using biofilters for CH₄ biotic oxidation is related to such high air exhaust rates, because it requires long residence times and very large biofilters (Melse and Werf, 2005; Schmidt et al., 2004). Inside the biofilter, methanotrophs are able to oxidize the CH₄ under aerobic conditions, while generating oxidation by-products such as water (H₂O) and carbon dioxide (CO₂). Their activity depends on the presence of sufficient concentrations of both CH₄ and O₂, and is therefore limited in their distribution inside of the biofilter by diffusion of CH₄ and O₂ (De Visscher et al., 1999; Scheutz and Kjeldsen, 2004, 2003). The biofilter internal bed temperature has a profound influence on the methanotrophic activity in oxidizing CH₄. Most methanotrophs are mesophiles, whose optimum operating temperatures lie between 25 and 35 °C (Boeckx and Cleemput, 1996; Scheutz et al., 2009; Scheutz and Kjeldsen, 2004), although methanotrophic communities have the capability of adapting to temperatures varying between 0 and 55 °C (Einola et al., 2007; Humer and Lechner, 1999; Scheutz et al., 2009). Temperature influences not only biotic activity; it also affects CH₄ and O₂ diffusion coefficients (Delhoménie and Heitz, 2005; Gómez-Borraz et al., 2017).

One may expect that under severe climatic conditions, such as observed in Canadian winters, CH₄ oxidation in biofilters constructed to treat cow barn exhaust gas, would come to a halt.

Considering the conditions prevailing in dairy cow barns in Canada (high exhaust rates and low CH₄ concentrations), it is essential to assess the influence of temperature variation cycles in the efficiency of biofilters to mitigate CH₄ emissions. The purpose of this study was to verify the validity of the hypothesis that, given proper acclimatization of the packing bed media, large biofilters constructed with common farm materials can sustain biotic CH₄ oxidation under typical Canadian dairy farm conditions, even under adverse temperature conditions for biofiltration.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Selection of packing bed media

Commercial compost (comm-comp), sawdust (swd), straw (stw), manure compost (man-comp) and woodchips (wd-chp) were tested. Laboratory experiments were performed by mixing these materials at different ratios: (a) comm-comp/swd/stw (1:1:1 v/v); (b) comm-comp/stw (1:1 v/v); (c) comm-comp/swd (1:1 v/v); (d) comm-comp/swd (1:2 v/v); (e) comm-comp/swd (2:1 v/v); (f) man-comp/wd-chp (1:1 v/v); and (g) man-comp/wd-chp/stw (1:1:1 v/v).

The final selection of the packing bed media for the biofilter was based on CH₄ oxidation rates obtained during short-term activation tests. The latter were carried out over a period of 6 weeks, in 18.9-L buckets filled with 5 L of the tested media. CH₄ loading

(injection of 10 mL of pure CH₄) was performed twice a week. For the determination of oxidation rates, gas samples were taken immediately after loading and 3 h later. The CH₄ concentrations were then obtained using a 3000A gas chromatograph (Agilent Technologies). Both CH₄ loading and samples collection were performed using syringes. The moisture content of the packing media tested ranged from 43% to 64% and the density ranged from 0.3 g cm⁻³ to 0.5 g cm⁻³.

2.2. Acclimatization process

The same experimental set-up used for the packing bed media selection was adopted for the acclimatization process. CH₄ was loaded periodically and the CH₄ concentrations within the buckets were monitored over time to ensure that the samples were continually exposed to its presence. Acclimatization was performed in duplicate prior to each of the three subsequent biofilter tests (described below). The duo acclimatization biofilter test forms what is referred herein as a biofilter set.

For Sets A and B, the CH₄ initial loading increased with time (from 200 mL to 3000 mL of pure CH₄), while for Set C the CH₄ loading remained constant (1000 mL of pure CH₄). One important aspect of the acclimatization process is that from Sets A to B and B to C, 50% of the packing bed used in one set was reused to build the biofilters of the following set. In addition, the lids of the buckets were opened periodically to allow proper aeration of the samples. The acclimatization process lasted approximately one month for each set.

2.3. Biofiltration tests

Flow-through column experiments were performed in duplicates to reproduce biofilters operating under the winter conditions of a typical cow barn containing 150 cows. As shown in Fig. 1, the 11.8-L Plexiglas® columns were filled with 7.6 L of the selected packing bed media. In the reduced scale of the laboratory, the modelled biofilters were fed with a constant exhaust gas rate equal to 0.036 m³ h⁻¹ and the inlet CH₄ concentration equal to 0.22 g m⁻³ (or 300 ppm; personal communication with Daniel Massé – Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada). This exhaust rate (0.036 m³ h⁻¹) was calculated based on the following premises: a minimum ventilation rate equal to 1000 m³ day⁻¹ per cow (Turnbull and Huffman, 1988; Table 1) and a very large biofilter (1300 m³, was our preliminary design value). The latter premise was based on Melse and Werf (2005), who concluded that very large biofilters are necessary to abate CH₄ emissions from animal houses. Adopting these values resulted in an empty-bed residence time (EBRT) equal to 0.21 h. The responses of the biofilters were monitored during three relatively long testing periods. Set A was carried out from May to December 2013, Set B from March to November 2014 and Set C from March to July 2015.

To determine the influence of temperature cycles on the efficiency of the biofilters to abate CH₄ emissions, we submitted the biofilters to temperature oscillations simulating natural cycles undergone by biofilters exposed to winter conditions. The cooling system consisted of copper tubing wrapped around the exterior of the Plexiglas® column and connected to a temperature-controlled bath (constant temperature circulator – Polystat®). Only the upper part of the biofilter was cooled to simulate a condition whereby frost penetrates to a certain depth. The temperature of the bath was controlled throughout the experimental period, leading to variable temperature gradients within the biofilters. Thermocouples allowed monitoring of the temperature of the packing bed media at three different heights, 5 cm, 20 cm and 40 cm from the base of the biofilter.

The CH₄ loading rate was controlled by a flow meter, whereas the inlet and outlet gas concentrations were monitored using an

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8869466>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8869466>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)