ARTICLE IN PRESS

Marine Pollution Bulletin xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx

ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Marine Pollution Bulletin

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/marpolbul



An undescribed species of putative Japanese *Pyropia* first appeared on the central coast of British Columbia, Canada, in 2015

Sandra C. Lindstrom

Department of Botany, University of British Columbia, Vancouver V6T 1Z4, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
British Columbia central coast
Invasive seaweed
Pyropia
Tsunami debris

In 2015, on the central coast of British Columbia, Canada, I documented the occurrence of a putative Japanese species of Pyropia that may have arrived with tsunami debris. This find occurred at a site that has been subjected to an annual intensive seaweed survey beginning in the summer of 2011 so there is little doubt that the species was new to the area in 2015. In a molecular phylogeny, this species is basal in a clade of Japanese and other Far East species of Pyropia although this particular species has yet to be reported from Japan. In addition to the central coast of British Columbia, the species was previously recorded from the South Island, New Zealand, and from Monterey Bay, California, based on molecular sequences so it is clearly a species that is able to move beyond its native range. The foliose phase of the species was not observed in 2016, but overall Pyropia diversity and abundance were down in 2016. However, the cryptic conchocelis phase was identified by sequencing pinkish barnacle shells collected in 2016 near the area where the species was observed in 2015 so it is possible that the species is becoming established on the central coast of British Columbia. Until it becomes established there, however, it is premature to describe it with a type locality in this area; indeed it would be preferable to find it in Japan and describe it from its native habitat. This find highlights the difficulty in documenting possible invasive species from tsunami debris when the Japanese flora and fauna have not been sufficiently characterized to allow for comprehensive comparisons.

1. Introduction

When the 2011 earthquake and tsunami struck Japan, Kou Sasaki of Ofunato lost his fishing boat. The boat drifted across the Pacific and washed ashore near the village of Klemtu on the central coast of British Columbia, Canada. The battered boat was cleaned of the mussels and barnacles growing on it, and people in Klemtu helped refurbish it. Tim

McGrady of the Spirit Bear Lodge in Klemtu claimed the boat for use in the lodge's bear-watching operations. Preliminary efforts to find the owner were unsuccessful. When lodge guest Yoshi Karasawa translated the name of the boat — *Twin Pines* — she too was fascinated. She used her contacts in Japan to find the owner. Karasawa then brought Kou Sasaki to Canada, and McGrady brought him to Klemtu for a reunion with his former boat –adapted from a CBC report, 24 Aug 2015.

Sasaki's boat was one of > 150 small boats that washed ashore on North American beaches as part of the 2011 Great Tohoku Earthquake tsunami marine debris (Murray et al. 2015). These and other large floating structures often harbored fouling organisms. The story of Sasaki's boat struck a chord with me after I discovered in 2015 a putative Japanese species of Pyropia on a pristine beach on northwest Calvert Island about 100 km south of Klemtu, well within the area of documented Japanese tsunami marine debris (Maximenko et al. 2015). The species resembled other Japanese species of Pyropia based on the distinctive disposition of reproductive cells, and I wondered whether the species could have arrived with Japanese tsunami marine debris as an inadvertent passenger in barnacle shells attached to the boat. [The microscopic, perennial sporophyte phase of Pyropia, the conchocelis, normally grows in calcareous substrates, including barnacle shells (Martínez, 1990).] Below, I report on efforts to identify this species and associate it with the tsunami debris.

2. Materials and methods

Thalli of *Pyropia* were collected on rock in sand at the low intertidal margin of the west end of North Beach, Calvert Island (Fig. 1A, 51 $^\circ$ 39.802′ N 128 $^\circ$ 08.593′ W), on 15 and 19 June 2015.

Samples were pressed on herbarium paper (Fig. 1B, C) for depositing in the UBC herbarium, and subsamples were desiccated in silica

E-mail address: Sandra.Lindstrom@botany.ubc.ca.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.07.016

Received 2 February 2017; Received in revised form 3 July 2017; Accepted 4 July 2017 0025-326X/ © 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.




Fig. 1. A. North Beach, Calvert Island, where the undescribed Pyropia was found on rock growing in sand at low tide level.

- B. Herbarium specimens of Pyropia sp. 15 June 2015 collection.
- C. Herbarium specimens of Pyropia sp. 19 June 2015 collection.
- D. Pink barnacle shells, North Beach, Calvert Island, from which the conchocelis of Pyropia sp. was extracted, amplified and sequenced.

gel for later DNA extraction, amplification, and sequencing. The same location was revisited on 4 June 2016. No *Pyropia* resembling the 2015 collection were observed. Pink barnacle shells were collected on rock in sand at the low intertidal margin of the east end of North Beach, Calvert Island (Fig. 1D, 51° 39.824′ N 128° 08.209′ W) on 04 June 2016; these were desiccated in silica gel for later DNA extraction, amplification, and sequencing.

DNA extraction followed the protocol of Lindstrom and Fredericq (2003) except that 16–31 mg desiccated tissue was extracted after pulverization using liquid nitrogen and a mini-pestle, and 10 μ L 0.1 M dithiothreitol was added to the extraction solution. The same extraction solution and protocol were used for the pink barnacle shells after 316 mg of desiccated shell was pulverized using a hammer. PCR amplification and sequencing of the $\it rbc$ L gene were carried out as described in Lindstrom (2008) except that KitoF1 was used as the forward primer rather than F57 (Lindstrom et al. 2015). Sequences were compared to those in GenBank using the Blastn program. A maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree was constructed as in Lindstrom et al. (2015).

3. Results

Sequences of both the foliose and conchocelis phases were nearly identical to GenBank sequence HQ687540 (except for the second bp in the sequence, which may be incorrect, and two C-T transitions in the middle of the sequence); it differed from GenBank sequence JN029002 by four C-T transitions. These sequences were included in a phylogeny of other closely related species from Sutherland et al. (2011) and GenBank blastn searches (\geq 97% identical, conducted 10 Jan 2017); the results are shown in Fig. 2. The Calvert I. specimens are clearly related to the New Zealand and Monterey specimens and probably conspecific, and this species belongs to a clade of species that are endemic to the northwest Pacific, particularly Japan and Korea (P. 'spatulata' from Massachusetts, also in this clade, is likely another introduced northwest Pacific species, C.D. Neefus, pers. comm., 2009).

4. Discussion

The occurrence of a putative Japanese species of *Pyropia* on the central coast of British Columbia in June 2015 highlights the possibility that the species arrived with marine debris, namely in barnacle shells attached to tsunami debris, such as the fishing boat that came ashore near Klemtu. Finding the population at the northwest end of Calvert Island was entirely serendipitous. It required the unlikely conjunction of the right person (an expert in the genus *Pyropia*) being in the right place (low intertidal rock in sand at this particular beach) at the right time (late spring—many species of *Pyropia*, like this one, are ephemeral, occurring on the shore for a very short period of time, which is seasonally very specific). Although it is generally thought that introduced species take a long time to become sufficiently established to be detectable in a local flora, it is also possible that such species can be observed shortly after they arrive if the right set of circumstances exists. Such appears to be the case for this species.

This is not the first instance of a Japanese species of foliose Bangiales being introduced to distant shores. Broom et al. (2002) reported the identity of *Porphyra carolinensis* from the NW Atlantic and *P. lilliputiana* from Australia and New Zealand with Japanese *P. suborbiculata* and surmised that the species had been transported to distant shores as a fouling organism on hulls of seagoing vessels. Neefus et al. (2008) reported the occurrence in the NW Atlantic of two more Japanese species of *Porphrya* that likely arrived via shipping, and Milstein et al. (2015) recognized three species of Asian foliose Bangiales in Brazil. The concurrence of all three studies that fouling of ocean-going vessels was the likely vector in these introductions supports the present hypothesis that the Calvert Island *Pyropia* may also have arrived by the transoceanic crossing of a seagoing vessel, in this instance a vessel that was part of the 2011 Japanese tsunami marine debris.

This species also highlights one of the difficulties in recognizing introduced species. This species has yet to be reported from Japan. It has been found in disparate locations (southern South Island, New Zealand, and Monterey, California), where populations are also thought to have been introduced. All of these specimens occur in a clade of

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8870876

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8870876

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>