

Accepted Manuscript

Assumptions about trophic cascades: The inevitable collision between reductionist simplicity and ecological complexity

Patricia A. Lane

PII: S2352-2496(17)30032-0
DOI: [doi:10.1016/j.fooweb.2017.10.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fooweb.2017.10.001)
Reference: FOOWEB 69

To appear in:

Received date: 2 July 2017
Revised date: 15 August 2017
Accepted date: 15 October 2017

Please cite this article as: Patricia A. Lane , Assumptions about trophic cascades: The inevitable collision between reductionist simplicity and ecological complexity. The address for the corresponding author was captured as affiliation for all authors. Please check if appropriate. Fooweb(2017), doi:[10.1016/j.fooweb.2017.10.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fooweb.2017.10.001)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Assumptions about Trophic Cascades: The Inevitable Collision between Reductionist Simplicity and Ecological Complexity¹

By

Patricia A. Lane²

Highlights

1. Several trophic cascade assumptions and their logical outcomes are flawed.
2. Marine food webs cannot be controlled in the sense of the machine metaphor.
3. Loop analysis shows that sometimes the role of trophic cascades has been exaggerated.
4. Ecologists should apply complex system thinking in studying food webs.

Abstract:

The assumptions ecologists make both influence and constrain their conclusions. Too often these assumptions are not explored or validated rigorously enough. The purpose of this paper is to critically review seven trophic cascade assumptions frequently used in the current literature and to identify whether their conclusions are compatible with results from loop analysis. Assumptions center upon food web conceptualization, food chain to food web extrapolations, constancy of food webs, role of driving forces or parameter inputs, top-down versus bottom-up phenomena, associated dichotomies, and the notion of food web control by keystone predators and parameter inputs. Data-fitted marine loop models involving about 500 species show how complex, biologically-reasonable food webs are qualitatively different from food chains as well as simple intuitive food webs. Both supporting and opposing views of other authors are contrasted in regard to loop analysis results. This review concludes that the roles of trophic cascades are sometimes afforded an exaggerated importance using over-simplified reductionist logic especially in marine pelagic food webs. It would be useful if these seven assumptions could be similarly analysed using other modelling methodologies to determine a more realistic role for trophic cascades and to facilitate consensus among ecologists. It would also be worthwhile to construct data-fitted loop models for more types of ecosystems. Regardless, biological reality should not be sacrificed for convenience.

Key Words: Trophic Cascade, Trophic Escalade, Marine Food Webs, Loop Analysis, Keystone Predator, Control

¹ Abbreviations: ES(s) = Ecological Skeleton(s), KP = Keystone Predator, LA = Loop Analysis, PI(s) = Parameter Input(s), TC = Trophic Cascade, TCC = Trophic Cascade Concept, and TE= Trophic Escalade

² Department of Biology, Dalhousie University, 1459 Oxford Street, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada, B3M 4R2
Corresponding Author: Patricia.Lane@Dal.Ca

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8875301>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8875301>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)