Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences (2015) xxx, xxx-xxx



2

4

8

10

21

King Saud University

Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences

www.ksu.edu.sa www.sciencedirect.com



FULL LENGTH ARTICLE

Determinants of Iranian agricultural consultants' intentions toward precision agriculture: Integrating innovativeness to the technology acceptance model

Somayeh Tohidyan Far, Kurosh Rezaei-Moghaddam

Department of Agricultural Extension and Education, Shiraz University, Iran

Received 8 September 2014; revised 16 April 2015; accepted 11 September 2015 9

KEYWORDS

12 13 14 Precision agriculture; Behavioral attitude: 15 16 Behavioral intention: 17 Structural equation model-18 ing; 19 Fars province; 20 Iran

Abstract Environmental crises and global concerns toward the consequences and side impacts of conventional agricultural systems and agricultural activities on environment resulted in the viewpoint of the necessity of changing mental patterns regarding sustainable farming systems. Different agricultural methods such as precision agriculture have been presented to respond to environmental problems in recent years. The purpose of this research was to investigate factors influencing agricultural personnel and consultants' attitude and behavioral intention to use precision agricultural technologies. The survey research and multistage random sampling were used to collect data from 183 agricultural consultants in Agricultural Engineering and Technical Consulting Services Companies. The results of structural equation modeling indicated that agricultural personnel and consultants in Fars Province intended to use precision agricultural technologies. Based on the results the behavioral attitude is the most important determinant of experts' intention toward the use of the precision agriculture technologies. Also individual innovativeness, attitude of confidence, perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness of precision agricultural technologies affected on the behavioral attitude and behavioral intention to use. According to the results, practical suggestions have presented to use these technologies in Iran.

© 2015 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

* Corresponding author at: Department of Agricultural Extension and Education, College of Agriculture, Bajgah Region, Shiraz City, Fars Province, Iran. Tel.: +98 711 2277703, mobile: +98 916 613 4617; fax: +98 711 2286072.

E-mail addresses: s.tohidian87@gmail.com (S. Tohidyan Far), rezaei@shirazu.ac.ir, dr.rezaeimoghaddam@gmail.com (K. Rezaei-Moghaddam).

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.



1. Introduction

There are three steps in technology development, and three strategies for precision agriculture (PA). Step one is based on conventional farming technology, with intensive mechanization to reduce the labor input. Step two involves the development of mapping techniques, variable-rate technology machines, and introductory decision support system on the basis of information technology. Step three implies the maturity of wisdom-oriented technologies. Scenario 1 is based on

29

30

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jssas.2015.09.003

1658-077X © 2015 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article in press as: Tohidyan Far, S., Rezaei-Moghaddam, K. Determinants of Iranian agricultural consultants' intentions toward precision agriculture: Integrating innovativeness to the technology acceptance model. Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

31 a "high-input and high-output" conventional strategy. Scenario 2 has a strategy for "low-input but constant output", 32 and scenario 3 aims at "optimized input-output" as the goal 33 of precision farming (Shibusawa, 2002). Through the advent 34 of environmental crises and global concerns toward the conse-35 quences and side impacts of some agricultural activities on 36 37 environment most of the researches and experts brought up a huge global challenge, i.e. a motion toward environmentally 38 friendly agriculture due to observing an agriculture profoundly 39 as a national independence focus and an effective basis on the 40 environmental balance. Taking action to an environmentally 41 42 friendly agriculture requires that sustainability and sustainable 43 agriculture as successful management of agricultural resources to satisfy changing human needs along with the environmental 44 conservation and biologic resources increase would be taken 45 into consideration (Chikwendu and Arokoyo, 1997). 46 47 Sustainable agriculture is conceptually a system for successful 48 management in taking advantage of resources for providing 49 human foods as well as increasing the environmental quality conservation and natural resources. In a general concept the 50 sustainable agriculture is an insight which depends on human 51 goals and his recognition of the effects of agricultural activities 52 on the environment. In fact, the sustainable agriculture 53 emphasizes that not only nature should be regarded but also 54 55 agricultural products should be developed along with environment. Thus, production process will last in the future. There is 56 57 а general consensus among agricultural development 58 practitioners in Iran that the goals of sustainable agriculture should include increasing production (for an ever increasing 59 population), preventing soil erosion, reducing pesticide and 60 fertilizers contamination, protecting biodiversity, preserving 61 62 natural resources and improving well-being (Rezaei-63 Moghaddam et al., 2005).

Why precision agriculture is needed? In recent studies the 64 65 formal reports of Iran's natural resources and environment are frustrating. It should be noted that after Australia, Iran 66 has the second global rank in erosion and destruction of fertil-67 ized lands and natural resources. This is to say that 33 tons of 68 69 soil has been destructed and eroded in each hectare. One of the major reasons is the excessive consumption of fertilizers and 70 71 chemical pesticides in agricultural sector. In addition, the reports show that pesticides and chemical fertilizers (nearly 72 73 3 tons in each hectare) are used too much in Iran. Developing and modernizing agriculture in Iran has resulted in primary 74 costs including water pollution by pesticides and transfer to 75 the soil and livestocks, foodstuff and feedstuff contaminations, 76 77 air pollution and excessive use of natural resources. Tendency toward modernizing agriculture has led to remove livestock 78 and plant traditional procedures, hygiene risks and loss of 79 job (Kashani, 2001). Also Iran is located in an arid and 80 semi-arid region. Having an average annual precipitation of 81 250 mm, Iran receives less than one third of global average pre-82 83 cipitation (750 mm). Bearing in mind such a climatic condi-84 tion, many severe or mild droughts are inevitable. In recent 85 years, Iran has experienced several droughts. The current severe, prolonged and extensive drought in Iran has not only 86 affected agricultural productivity but also threatened water 87 resource sustainability (Keshavarz et al., 2010). This crisis in 88 agricultural development of Iran has demonstrated that con-89 ventional development strategies are fundamentally limited in 90 91 their ability to promote sustainable agricultural development. Therefore, it emphasizes on forming a new agricultural model 92

for achieving sustainable agricultural development (Rezaei-Moghaddam et al., 2005). Hence, it seems that the conceptual pattern dominating conventional agricultural systems should be changed and we should move toward the design of sustainable farming systems.

In recent years different agricultural methods have been presented in response to environmental problems and reach to sustainable agricultural development such as precision agriculture. The concept of precision agriculture, based on information technology, is becoming an attractive idea for managing natural resources and realizing modern sustainable agricultural development (Maohua, 2001). Precision agriculture is a management strategy that uses information technology to bring data from multiple sources to bear on decisions associated with crop production (National research Council, 1997). PA is conceptualized by a system approach to reorganize the total system of agriculture toward a low-input, high-efficiency sustainable agriculture. PA provides an ideal tool for agricultural risk assessment and rational farm-work scheduling (Zhang et al., 2002). In fact, precision agriculture is a management concept which combines information and communication technologies for management of temporal and spatial variability in agriculture (Fountas et al., 2005). The basic goal of PA to optimize yield with minimum input and reduced environmental pollution is highly required for developing countries to face the challenge of sustainability (Mondal and Basu, 2009). Precision agriculture techniques are enforceable in all aspects of production cycle of farming products, from pre-cultivation operation to harvest.

According to studies, various models and theories have been presented in the field of information technology acceptance including Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA), Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB), Theory of Planned Demand (TPD), Innovation Diffusion Theory (IDT), the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) and Technology Acceptance Model (TAM). Technology acceptance model is considered as the most widely accepted model among information researches for studying users' system acceptance behavior (Yi et al., 2006). This model was developed by Davis (1989) based on the theory of reasoned action as the most effective and fundamental human behaviors theory. It provides a basis for tracing the impact of external factors on internal beliefs, attitudes and intentions (Ghamatrasa, 2006). TAM posits two particular beliefs "Perceived ease of use" - it refers to the degree to which the prospective user expects the target system to be free of effort - and "Perceived usefulness" that is defined as the prospective user's subjective probability that using a specific application system will increase his or her job performance (Davis et al., 1989).

Different researches were carried out based on technology 142 acceptance model for predicting individual behaviors, inten-143 tions, and attitudes toward information technology accep-144 tance. The results of Davis et al. (1989) study indicated that 145 perceived usefulness affected on information technologies 146 acceptance while perceived ease of use had less effect on mak-147 ing decision to use those kinds of technologies. Different 148 researches confirmed TAM needs to be given additional vari-149 ables to provide an even stronger model. Adrian et al. (2005) 150 noted that there was a significant relationship between attitude 151 of confidence, perceived net benefit, farm size and education 152 level with behavioral intention. Moreover, there was a signifi-153 cant relationship between perceived usefulness and perceived 154

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8876385

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8876385

Daneshyari.com