ARTICLE IN PRESS

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



ScienceDirect



An ecological and evolutionary perspective on species coexistence under global change

Adam M Siepielski, Adam Z Hasik and Brittany H Ousterhout¹

- 5 Whether assemblages of insect species locally coexist or are
- 6 only being slowly lost from communities remains an enduring
- 7 question. Addressing this question is especially critical in the
- 8 wake of global change, which is expected to reshuffle
- 9 biological communities and create novel interspecific
- ¹⁰ interactions. In reviewing studies of putative insect species
- 11 coexistence, we find that few have demonstrated necessary
- 12 criteria to conclude that species coexist. We also find that few
- integrate ecological and evolutionary perspectives towards
- understanding coexistence. Yet, both micro-evolutionary and
- 15 macroevolutionary processes can play a critical role in shaping
- species coexistence mechanisms, especially in response to
- 17 global change. We suggest that understanding how global
- change may affect the makeup of communities can be best
- achieved by developing a research program focused on the
- 20 joint contribution of ecological and evolutionary processes.

Address

- Department of Biological Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville,
 AR 72701, USA
- Corresponding author: Siepielski, Adam M (amsiepie@uark.edu)
- ²³ ¹ Present address: National Great Rivers Research and Education
- 24 Center, East Alton, IL 62024, USA.
- 25 Current Opinion in Insect Science 2018, 29:xx-yy
- 26 This review comes from a themed issue on **Global change biology**
- 27 Edited by Adam Rosenblatt and Oswald Schmitz

28 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2018.06.007

29 2214-5745/© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

30 Introduction

Huxley's guip on an 'inordinate fondness' for beetles and 31 Hutchinson's observations of water boatmen (Corixidae) 32 [1] set the stage for a now vast series of inquiries focused 33 on uncovering astounding levels of species diversity. 34 Hundreds if not thousands of Coleoptera [2] and Hyme-35 noptera species [3], and equally impressive numbers of 36 butterfly species [4], can all be found together in small 37 areas (i.e., a single plant). Examples such as these abound 38 in the literature. This leads to a fundamental question at 39 the interface of ecology and evolutionary biology-how 40 (or do) all of these species coexist? 41

Addressing this question is important because global 42 climate change is causing the disassembly of existing 43 communities and assembly of novel communities as 44 species distributions shift [5–9,10[•]]. Similarly, anthropo-45 genic changes such as urbanization are altering commu-46 nity composition, particularly among insects [11]. Such 47 shifts in community composition can result in both novel 48 direct and indirect species interactions [10,12–14]. 49 These altered interactions, along with the direct effects 50 of climate change, will affect not only the ecological 51 factors underlying the abilities of species to coexist 52 [10[•],15,16], but will also influence evolutionary processes 53 [17,18]. Indeed, although some species may migrate and 54 undergo range shifts to avoid climate-induced extinction 55 [9], an alternative is adaptive evolution in response to 56 selection imposed by climate change [17,18]. Such adap-57 tive evolution, or lack thereof, to the local biotic and 58 abiotic environment may therefore play a role in shaping 59 community structure [19,20,21^{••},22^{••}]. 60

Thus, to understand if communities will be resilient to 61 global change and successfully re-assemble in new loca-62 tions there is an important need to determine (1) whether 63 species are truly coexisting or not, and (2) to incorporate the 64 role of evolutionary processes. Yet, there is presently a 65 limited understanding of how ecological and evolutionary 66 processes combine to shape coexistence in insect assem-67 blages. Many studies have focused on identifying ecologi-68 cal processes, such as the role of competition or predation, 69 in promoting niche differences that structure communities 70 [23]. Similarly, numerous evolutionary studies have 71 focused on understanding how microevolutionary pro-72 cesses shape individual taxa and the macroevolutionary 73 relationships among them. However, few studies combine 74 these efforts in a framework aimed at incorporating feed-75 backs between ecological and evolutionary processes in a 76 community context (Figure 1) [24^{••},25[•],26^{••},27]. 77

In this review, we discuss how a research program focused on 78 combining ecological and evolutionary perspectives can 79 advance our understanding of species coexistence. Our goal 80 is to demonstrate that incorporating this eco-evolutionary 81 perspective will be insightful for understanding how biolog-82 ical communities may respond to global change. To achieve 83 this goal, we first present an overview of modern coexistence 84 theory, outlining the requirements for species coexistence. 85 Although coexistence mechanisms can operate over various 86 spatial scales [28] we focus on local coexistence, in which 87 species interact with each other and the local environment. 88 We then evaluate studies where this framework has been 89

www.sciencedirect.com

Current Opinion in Insect Science 2018, 29:1-7

2 Global change biology





Mechanisms of species coexistence across ecological and evolutionary scales. (a) The immediate, ecological time scale of stabilizing effects and fitness differences. Different colored lines represent two species. The solid lines depict stabilizing effects, with the small arrow showing the demographic advantage gained when rare, and the slope indicating the strength of stabilization. Dashed lines show species per capita population growth rates in the absence of stabilizing effects (i.e., fitness differences). Modified from Ref. [17]. (b) A depiction of the geographic distributions of two species, and expectations of how microevolutionary processes may affect the strength of fitness differences and stabilizing effects when species' populations have not coevolved with each other (allopatric scenarios; showing no stabilizing effects and strong fitness differences with greater fitness for the locally adapted species) to reduce competition, and when they have (the sympatric scenario; showing how local coevolution can generate stabilizing effects promoting local coexistence even if fitness differences remain). (c) Different macroevolutionary speciation dynamics involving ecological and non-ecological speciation generating species pairs with different combinations of stabilizing effects and fitness differences. The scenario depicted in the top panel would result in coexistence, the middle panel competitive exclusion of the purple taxa, and the bottom panel would eventually result in one species coming to dominate by random chance.

applied in insects. Finally, we discuss how microevolutionary and macro-evolutionary processes may shape
the potential for species coexistence [19,21^{••},26^{••},29], and
how this may be impacted under global change.

94 Species coexistence and co-occurrence are

95 not the same

96 Defining coexistence

Simply because two or more species can be found in a 97 location co-occurring with one another does not mean 98 they coexist [30]. Co-occurrence simply indicates that any 99 two species are found living together [30]. Coexistence, or 100 more specifically stable coexistence, requires that every 101 species meets the invasibility criterion [31,32]: each spe-102 cies can increase when rare ('invade') and the other 103 species (the 'residents') are at their single species equi-104 librium (or long-term abundances) when the invader is 105 absent. Few studies have directly tested for invasibility 106 [30], which is necessary to understand if species can 107 indeed re-assemble in communities that have been per-108 turbed in response to global change. As explained by 109 ¹¹⁰Q2 Chesson (2000), the potential for competitor coexistence 111 is a consequence of two components: (i) stabilizing niche

effects that reduce interspecific competition and intensify intraspecific competition, and (ii) competitive fitness differences, which predict which species would go locally extinct without stabilizing effects (Figure 1). The balance between stabilizing effects and fitness differences determines whether or not species coexist [31].

The difference between co-occurrence and coexistence is 118 not a matter of semantics. The issue is that an assemblage of 119 species in a community may be composed of any combina-120 tion of species that are coexisting (satisfy the invasibility 121 criterion), neutral (ecologically equivalent; sensu [33]), 122 walking dead (undergoing slow extinction via interactions 123 with the environment), and sink (maintained locally 124 because of immigration) [26^{••}]. Across the landscape the 125 same sets of species may vary in their assignment to each of 126 these species types. Therefore, it is impossible to simply 127 conclude that a group of taxa found co-occurring in a 128 location are coexisting without rigorous empirical testing. 129

The distinction between co-occurrence and coexistence 130 also matters for developing a framework on how biological 131 communities may respond to global change. If species are 132

Please cite this article in press as: Siepielski AM, et al.: An ecological and evolutionary perspective on species coexistence under global change, Curr Opin Insect Sci (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cois.2018.06.007

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8878400

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8878400

Daneshyari.com