ARTICLE IN PRESS

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



ScienceDirect



- An overview of functional genomic tools in deciphering
- **insecticide resistance**

Rafael A Homem and TG Emyr Davies

- 5 In this short review, we highlight three functional genomic
- 6 technologies that have recently been contributing to the
- τ understanding of the molecular mechanisms underpinning
- 8 insecticide resistance: the GAL4/UAS system, a molecular tool
- 9 used to express genes of interest in a spatiotemporal controlled
- 10 manner; the RNAi system, which is used to knock-down gene
- n expression; and the most recently developed gene editing tool,
- 12 CRISPR/Cas9, which can be used to knock-out and knock-in
- 13 sequences of interest.

Address

¹⁴Q2 Department of Biointeractions and Crop Protection, Rothamsted
¹⁵ Research, Harpenden AL5 2JQ, UK

Corresponding authors: Homem, Rafael A (rafael.homem@rothamsted. ac.uk), Davies, TG Emyr (emyr.davies@rothamsted.ac.uk)

- 16 Current Opinion in Insect Science 2018, 27:xx-yy
- 17 This review comes from a themed issue on **Pests and resistance**
- 18 Edited by Chris Bass and Christopher Jones

19 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2018.04.004

20 2214-5745/© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc.

21 Introduction

Functional genomic technologies make use of the data 22 produced by genomic and transcriptomic projects to try to 23 elucidate the role played by genes of interest in in vivo 24 systems. This can be done by systematically knocking-25 down, knocking-out or over-expressing specific targets. 26 Not surprisingly, due to the vast array of functional 27 28 genomic tools available, Drosophila melanogaster has been at the forefront of these studies. However, advances in 29 germline transformation technologies in non-model 30 insects and the development of technologies that do 31 not require germline transformation have recently 32 expanded the applicableness of functional genomics. 33 Here we briefly review these technologies and how they 34 have been applied to the study of the mechanisms of 35 insecticide resistance in insect pests and disease vectors. 36

37 The GAL4/UAS system

- Nearly 20 year ago Fischer *et al.* demonstrated that it was
- ³⁹ possible to make use of the yeast transcription factor
- 40 GAL4 in the fruit fly *D. melanogaster* to activate the

expression of a reporter gene inserted next to an upstream 41 activation sequence (UAS) [1]. This work paved the way 42 for the development of one of the most powerful func-43 tional genomics technologies, the GAL4/UAS system [2]. 44 In their landmark work, Brand & Perrimon developed a 45 binary system that allows spatiotemporal control of tar-46 geted gene expression in *D. melanogaster*. The system can 47 be used to express any gene of interest (GOI), including 48 lethal ones, as GAL4-drivers and UAS-GOI constructs are 49 usually integrated in separate transgenic strains (Figure 1). 50 The authors then took another major step forward by 51 generating a library of driver strains expressing GAL4 52 under the control of random enhancer sequences found in 53 the genome of D. melanogaster. By further screening this 54 library with the help of a UAS-LacZ reporter line, they 55 could identify the embryonic expression pattern driven 56 by some of these enhancers. Since then, a vast number of ' 57 trapped' enhancer GAL4 strains have been generated and 58 are now available for the scientific community (for a 59 comprehensive review of the GAL4/UAS system see 60 [3,4]). 61

In pioneering work investigating the resistance of wild 62 populations of D. melanogaster to dichloro-diphenvl-tri-63 chloroethane (DDT), Darbon et al. used the GAL4/UAS 64 system to demonstrate that a single cytochrome P450 65 gene, CYP6g1, which was differentially expressed in a 66 DDT resistant population, was responsible for conferring 67 resistance to that insecticide [5]. By overexpressing UAS-68 CYP6g1 under the control of a heat-shock inducible GAL4 driver (Hsp-GAL4) and showing that these flies 69 became more resistant to DDT than control flies, the 70 authors provided a clear correlation between CYP6g1 71 expression and resistance to DDT. In a subsequent study, 72 the overexpression of UAS-CYP6g1 under the control of a 73 tubulin GAL4 driver (TubP-GAL4) was used to demon-74 strate that, in addition to DDT, this P450 conferred cross-75 resistance to the organophosphorus (OP) compound mal-76 athion and to the neonicotinoid insecticides, acetamiprid, 77 imidacloprid and nitenpyram [6]. Later it became clear 78 that the insecticide resistance phenotype associated with 79 CYP6g1 was mainly due to the insertion of the long terminal repeat (LTR) of an Accord retrotransposon 80 upstream of the gene, resulting in an increased CYP6g1 81 expression in major detoxification tissues. To confirm the 82 role played by the Accord LTR in DDT resistance, flies 83 expressing UAS-CYP6g1 under the control of an Accord 84 LTR-GAL4 driver (6g1HR-GAL4-6c) were shown to become more resistant to insecticides compared to control 85 flies [7]. 86

www.sciencedirect.com

Current Opinion in Insect Science 2018, 27:1-8

2 Pests and resistance



Figure 1

The GAL4/UAS binary targeted gene expression system. The system consists of a transgenic strain in which coding sequence for the yeast transcription factor, GAL4, is under the control of a promoter or enhancer of interest, Driver, and a second transgenic strain in which the GAL4 target, Upstream Activating Sequence (UAS), controls transcription of a gene of interest (GOI). GOI is only transcribed in the F1 progeny from these crosses in which one copy of each construct is present. In the F1 progeny, GAL4 is produced (1), binds to the UAS (2) and activates the expression of GOI (3). F1 flies are used in bioassays.

There are now numerous further examples of the use of 87 the GAL4/UAS system in D. melanogaster to assess the 88 contribution of individual detoxification enzymes to 89 resistance in pest insects. GAL4-driven expression of 90 CYP12a4 to the midgut and Malpighian tubules of fruit flies resulted in resistance to the insect growth regulator 91 lufenuron [8]. The GAL4 system has additionally been 92 used to functionally validate three distinct detoxification 93 enzymes from three biologically different pests: a carbox-94 vlesterase gene (aE7) conferring resistance to OPs in the 95 Australian sheep blowfly, *Lucilia cuprina*; a glutathione S-96 transferase gene (GstE2) from the malarial mosquito, 97 Anopheles gambiae, conferring resistance to DDT; and a cytochrome P450 gene (CYP6cm1) from the silverleaf 98 whitefly, Bemisia tabaci, responsible for resistance to imi-99 dacloprid [9]. It was further employed to confirm the role 100 of two alleles of the P450 genes CYP6P9a and CYP6P9b in 101 driving resistance to pyrethroids in field populations of 102 the malaria vector Anopheles funestus [10], and to demon-103 strate that overexpression of the glutathione S-transferase 104 gene, GSTe2, caused resistance to DDT [11]. Moreover, 105 the expression of the P450 gene CYP6ER1 in transgenic 106 flies under the control of the GAL4/UAS system demon-107 strated that it is responsible for strong resistance to the 108

neonicotinoid insecticide imidacloprid in the brown planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens*, a major rice pest [12[•]]. ¹¹⁰ A follow-up study showed that *CYP6ER1* is duplicated in resistant brown planthopper strains, with individuals carrying paralogs with and without the gain-of-function mutations responsible for conferring imidacloprid resistance [13]. ¹¹¹

Examples of the use of the GAL4/UAS system in insects 116 other than D. melanogaster are rarer and the reasons for that 117 can be related to three main constraints of non-model 118 insects — technical difficulties of keeping large numbers 119 of mutant stocks, unavailability of transformation tech-120 nologies and husbandry protocols, and scarceness of 121 genomic data. Despite these difficulties the technology 122 has been developed in a few other insects. As early as 123 2003, Imamura et al. reported the establishment of a 124 GAL4/UAS binary expression system in the silkworm 125 Bombyx mori [14]. This moth-based transformation system has been further refined by studies evaluating the tran-126 scription-activation efficiency of different GAL4 variants 127 [15] and, more recently, optimising transcriptional and 128 translational enhancers to improve in vivo heterologous 129 protein expression [16]. GAL4-UAS has also been 130

Current Opinion in Insect Science 2018, 27:1-8

www.sciencedirect.com

Please cite this article in press as: Homem RA, Davies TEm: An overview of functional genomic tools in deciphering insecticide resistance, Curr Opin Insect Sci (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cois.2018.04.004

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8878448

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8878448

Daneshyari.com