



ELSEVIER

ScienceDirect

Current Opinion in
Insect Science

Rapid evolution to host plant resistance by an invasive herbivore: soybean aphid (*Aphis glycines*) virulence in North America to aphid resistant cultivars

ME O'Neal¹, AD Varenhorst² and MC Kaiser¹

Addresses

¹ Department of Entomology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, USA

² Department of Agronomy, Horticulture and Plant Science, South Dakota State University, Brookings, SD, USA

Corresponding author: O'Neal, ME (oneal@iastate.edu)

Current Opinion in Insect Science 2018, 26:xx–yy

This review comes from a themed issue on **Ecology**

Edited by **Yolanda Chen** and **Sean Schoville**

doi:[10.1016/j.cois.2017.12.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2017.12.006)

2214-5745/© 2018 Published by Elsevier Inc.

Introduction

Rapid evolution by insects to resistant crop cultivars is a concern for applied entomologists, who seek to expand the tools available for managing herbivores. Efforts to prevent this evolution drives the development of Insect Resistance Management (IRM) plans for crop pests [1], which require a greater understanding of plant–herbivore interactions to produce effective plans for sustained use of host plant resistance. Efforts to delay resistance includes using highly lethal toxins (i.e. a high dose), combining multiple resistance traits in one cultivar (i.e. pyramids), and using susceptible plants (e.g. a refuge) within or near plantings of the resistant crop. To date, IRM plans have successfully prevented the frequency of resistant insect pests reaching levels that would allow populations to overcome crops bred to be resistant to them, but failures have occurred, often when an IRM plan is not fully implemented [2]. Even if fully implemented, theoretical models suggest that IRM plans for asexually-reproducing insects (e.g. aphids) may not limit the frequency of resistance to provide sustainable use of a pest-resistant cultivar [3^{*}]. This is particularly worrisome as an invasive aphid (*Aphis glycines* Matsumura) that reproduces asexually on soybean is challenging US agriculture [4]. To manage this pest with tools beyond insecticides, there is a need to ensure that the financial effort needed to develop alternatives, like aphid-resistant soybeans, will result in a tool that can be used beyond a limited number of growing seasons (currently estimated as <10 insect generations per theoretical predictions [3^{*}]). Here, we

discuss empirical evidence that suggests interactions between an aphid and plant, along with associated natural enemies, may prevent increases in virulence to aphid-resistant soybeans. Expanding upon existing IRM models with more system-specific data suggests increased durability of aphid-resistant soybeans beyond what is predicted from general models.

Thirteen years after it was noted [5] that entire guilds of herbivores, like phloem-feeders, were missing from soybean fields in the western hemisphere, *A. glycines* invaded North America [4]. This invasive insect is the leading pest in the main soybean producing region of the US, being the only aphid in North America to produce large, persistent colonies on soybeans resulting in yield losses as high as 40% [6]. A community of endemic natural enemies commonly found in soybean fields [7] are a source of significant mortality to *A. glycines* but an inconsistent source of biological control [8]. Since 2001, frequent outbreaks of *A. glycines* are responsible for a 140% increase in foliar-applied insecticide use on soybeans, counter to trends observed for other major field crops in the US like corn and cotton [9^{**}].

In an attempt to offer an alternative management tactic, soybean breeders in the US discovered genes that confer resistance to *A. glycines* (referred to as *Rag*-genes) in soybean germplasm [10]. Many of the terms used to describe features of an aphid–plant system that involves host plant resistance to herbivory are defined in **Table 1**. Commercial cultivars containing *Rag1* were first sold in 2010, yet adoption was limited, in part because of survival by virulent *A. glycines* on resistant cultivars occasionally at economically damaging levels [11]. Additional efforts by breeders [12] produced soybean cultivars with a pyramid of more than one *Rag* gene (i.e. *Rag1* + *Rag2*), resulting in protection from economic levels of *A. glycines* without the use of seed-applied and foliar-applied insecticides [13^{*}]. Current availability of a *Rag1* + *Rag2* cultivar is limited to a company that provides non-genetically modified (GM) cultivars. These cultivars are used mostly for organic soybean production, which is a small percentage of the total amount of soybean grown in the US. Such a limited usage would likely not contribute to selection pressure for virulence.

Even though a *Rag*-pyramid did not allow large populations of *A. glycines* to develop and reduce soybean yield, plants were not free of aphids. Additional virulent biotypes were discovered in the US, including a biotype capable of surviving on a *Rag1* + *Rag2* pyramid [14] (i.e.

2 Ecology

Table 1

Glossary of terms used to describe components of aphid-plant interactions.

Rag-genes	Genes in soybean germplasm that confer resistance to <i>Aphis glycines</i> , the soybean aphid, resulting in phenotypes that produce both antibiosis and antixenosis. The name is an acronym of Resistance <i>Aphis glycines</i> .
Biotype	Subpopulations of herbivore species that vary in their capacity to survive on differing cultivars of a host plant. Subpopulations of <i>A. glycines</i> have been classified as different biotypes based on their ability to survive on soybean cultivars containing vary types and number of <i>Rag</i> genes.
Virulence	A subpopulation of an insect herbivore capable of surviving on a plant species with genetic variation in its value as a host for that species. For example, biotype-4 of <i>A. glycines</i> survives on soybean plants with multiple <i>Rag</i> -genes. Biotype-4 is considered virulent to <i>Rag</i> 1 and <i>Rag</i> 2. Virulence by a biotype to a plant trait is analogous to a subpopulation of an insect being resistant to an insecticide.
Avirulence	A subpopulation of an insect herbivore incapable of surviving on a plant species with genetic variation resulting in resistance to that insect species. For example, biotype-1 of <i>A. glycines</i> cannot survive on soybean plants with any <i>Rag</i> genes. Biotype-1 is considered avirulent to <i>Rag</i> 1, <i>Rag</i> 2, <i>Rag</i> 3, and <i>Rag</i> 4. Avirulence is analogous to a subpopulation of an insect being susceptible to an insecticide.
Induced susceptibility	A general phenomenon in which a plant is physiologically altered by the feeding of an insect herbivore, resulting in an improvement in the fitness of conspecifics in a subsequent colonization. This susceptibility can be due to feeding by conspecifics with the same (i.e. feeding facilitation) or differing genotypes (i.e. obviation of resistance), in which the initial colonization is by a virulent biotype.
Feeding facilitation	Insect herbivores can have a systemic impact on host plants such that the physiology is altered resulting in the plant being a better host for the herbivore. This phenomenon has been observed with spider mites [23], aphid species [21,24,27]. These examples of improvement in the herbivore's fitness on the colonized plant appears to be density dependent but not limited to biotypes or subpopulations within a given species.
Obviation of resistance	Capacity for subpopulations of insects to overcome resistance to herbivory that is specific to a species. For example, virulent biotypes of <i>A. glycines</i> are capable of obviating <i>Rag</i> -resistance in soybeans such that avirulent biotypes can survive on these aphid-resistant cultivars. Similar examples have been observed with a beetle [25], other aphid species [27,28] and a fly [29]. Improvement in the herbivore's fitness may be density dependent and shared with avirulent conspecifics, but producing this obviation is limited to biotypes virulent to a resistant trait.

89 Biotype 4). Occurrence of virulent biotypes was reported
 90 in North America before commercial use of *Rag*-genes
 91 [15] and the aforementioned pyramid, with observations
 92 documented from multiple locations within the US [16].
 93 This is remarkable given evidence that like most invasive
 94 species, *A. glycines* passed through a genetic bottleneck
 95 [17] when it was transported from Asia (likely northern
 96 China) to North America. Explanations for how virulent
 97 biotypes of *A. glycines* established and persist in the US
 98 have to also account for limited selection pressure, as *Rag*-
 99 genes have not been widely or persistently used in
 100 commercial soybean production. A lack of genetic dis-
 101 tinction among biotypes found in its expanded range
 102 suggests that the occurrence of virulence on aphid resis-
 103 tant soybeans in the US is either due to a complex of
 104 genes that are widely distributed in the aphids expanded
 105 range or has a non-genetic (i.e. epigenetic) basis [18]. The
 106 later explanation would complicate the study of virulence
 107 in *A. glycines* as biotypes are currently defined based on
 108 their phenotype (a phenotype based solely on the aphids
 109 capacity to feed on *Rag*-containing soybeans) and not
 110 their genotype. If this later explanation is possible than it
 111 is unclear to what extent field observations of *A. glycines* on
 112 a *Rag1 + Rag2* pyramid plants indicate the presence of
 113 virulent biotypes.

114 Induced susceptibility – an alternative 115 explanation for the appearance of virulent 116 aphids on resistant plants

117 We explored a series of hypotheses to account for alter-
 118 native explanations for the occurrence of persistent, often

119 large (i.e. above economic population levels) populations
 120 of *A. glycines* on *Rag*-containing soybeans. Our overall
 121 hypothesis was that feeding by the aphid alters the quality
 122 of the soybean plant such that it becomes a better host,
 123 increasing the aphid's intrinsic growth rate. In general,
 124 aphids are strongly nitrogen limited and the quality of a
 125 plant as a host tracks with nitrogen availability in phloem.
 126 For example, aphid intrinsic rate of growth is highest
 127 during periods of vegetative growth and senescence [19]
 128 (i.e. periods when nitrogen is most available in phloem).
 129 Nitrogen availability in soybeans can also be affected by
 130 stress, in the form of limited nutrient availability in soils,
 131 resulting in significant changes in the intrinsic rate of
 132 growth of *A. glycines* [20]. When soil nutrients are con-
 133 trolled, direct feeding by *A. glycines* is correlated with
 134 changes in nitrogen availability in the phloem [21], sug-
 135 gesting that the stress of aphid herbivory itself alters
 136 soybean physiology resulting in a more nutritious host.
 137 The expression 'induced susceptibility' has been used to
 138 describe when a plant is altered by insect herbivore in
 139 such a way that it is improved as a host [22]. Such changes
 140 have been observed on plants both susceptible [23–27]
 141 and resistant [28,29] to a specific herbivore. We tested if
 142 the susceptibility of soybean plants to *A. glycines* could be
 143 altered by its herbivory alone. Since avirulent and virulent
 144 biotypes of *A. glycines* have been captured in the same
 145 locations within the US [18], there is the potential that
 146 both can co-occur on the same plant. To what extent such
 147 facilitation by conspecifics results in improved perfor-
 148 mance of an avirulent biotype on a resistant plant was
 149 a subhypothesis.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8878495>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8878495>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)