



Extraction optimization for polyphenolic profiling and bioactive enrichment of extractives of non-pomace residue from grape processing



Isabel Cristina da Silva Haas, Isabela Maia Toaldo, Vívian Maria Burin, Marilde T. Bordignon-Luiz*

Department of Food Science and Technology, Federal University of Santa Catarina, Admar Gonzaga Rd. 1346, Itacorubi, 88034-001, Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Bioactive potential
Polyphenols
Solid-liquid extraction
Viticulture residue

ABSTRACT

A simple and fast extraction method combining mechanical orbital agitation (MOA) and hydroalcoholic solid-liquid extraction (HSLE) was optimized for polyphenol enrichment and bioactive profiling of extractives of the non-pomace residue obtained from grape industries. Using this potentially reusable material, solid-liquid extractions using a mixture of organic-water solvents and rotational agitation were evaluated in order to obtain antioxidant rich extractives of this highly-colored residue, which is industrially discarded as a solid waste. In addition, the phenolic profile of this residue was firstly identified by RP-LC DAD. The extraction of many phenolic compounds was greatly increased by increasing solvent polarity combined with plate or orbital agitation. The non-pomace extractives showed high levels of flavanols, phenolic acids, anthocyanins and stilbene, whereas polyphenolic concentrations were significantly higher in methanolic extracts. The complete three-level factorial design allowed the optimization of extraction time, solvent concentration, and solid-liquid ratio, leading to an optimal extraction of anthocyanins at a solvent:solid ratio of 1:10 (w/v), extraction time of 5 min and solvent concentration of 85%. Malvidin glucosides were the major phenolics in the non-pomace residue. Catechin, epicatechin, ferulic acid, caffeic acid and *trans*-resveratrol, which are potent antioxidants, were found at high concentrations in the extractives, suggesting a bioactive interest for further technological applications.

1. Introduction

Grape and its by-products are sources of polyphenols that exert high antioxidant activity (Spigno and De Faveri, 2007). Grape juice is derivative product that contains phenolic compounds with bioactive properties. In the American continent, *V. labrusca* L. grapes are traditionally used for the production of grape juices, particularly the varieties Bordo and Isabel (Rizzon and Meneguzzo, 2007).

The production and global consumption of grapes increased significantly in the period of 2000–2014. The world grape production in 2014 reached almost 27 million tonnes (FAO, 2016). During grapes processing, some residues are generated, such as the pomace and non-pomace matrices. The pomace formed by grape skin and seeds is removed by pressing, and it is estimated that approximately 20% of the volume of grapes processed are converted into pomace residues (Spanghero et al., 2009). Following pressing, a centrifugation step is used for clarification, in order to separate suspended solids and reduce juice turbidity. The weight of this residual solid (non-pomace) is

approximately 4–8% of the processed juice. The high generation of this colored non-pomace residue represents a great concern for industries, since the inadequate elimination of this fermentable matrix can lead to environmental impacts. The perishable characteristic of this plant material points out the necessity of chemical characterization in order to explore its bioactive potential and possible use by chemical and pharmaceutical industries (Haas et al., 2016).

Viticulture by-products contain high concentrations of phenolic compounds. The consumption of foods rich in polyphenols has been postulated to contribute to a reduced risk of chronic diseases, including cardiovascular, neurodegenerative, carcinogenic and Alzheimer disease (Vauzour et al., 2010; Haas et al., 2016; Soukup et al., 2017). It has been demonstrated that leaves and stems of grapevine and the grape pomace represent an ideal biomass to obtain phenolic compounds (Manca et al., 2016; Eftekhari et al., 2017). The bioactive constituents present in plant-based by-products contribute to their potential use in technological applications (Balasundram et al., 2006). Currently, efforts have been directed to obtain bioactive extractives of natural matrices

Abbreviations: HSLE, hydroalcoholic solid liquid extraction; MOA, mechanical orbital agitation; TMA, total monomeric anthocyanins; TP, total polyphenols

* Corresponding author at: Department of Food Science and Technology, Federal University of Santa Catarina, Admar Gonzaga Rd. 1346, Itacorubi, 88034-001, Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, Brazil.

E-mail address: marilde.bordignon@ufsc.br (M.T. Bordignon-Luiz).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2017.12.058>

Received 22 September 2017; Received in revised form 20 December 2017; Accepted 21 December 2017
0926-6690/© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

using several extraction techniques (Goula et al., 2016, Haas et al., 2016, Bajoub et al., 2017). In addition to the extraction technique, the extraction efficiency is affected by several factors, among which the type of solvent has been considered one of the most important (Dorta et al., 2012). These combined factors are essential to explore residual matrices as the scientific and industrial importance of plant materials is reinforced by its chemical characterization and economical applications.

There is growing interest by fruit industries in the reutilization or economical applications of grape by-products, aimed at reducing processing waste and environmental impacts. These are mostly represented by grape pomace and its polyphenols-rich constituents such as seeds and peels that have found valuable applications in many research areas and pharmaceutical segments. In grape juice industries, the processing is focused on maintaining juice quality and accumulation of unutilized matrices is accelerated the more the grape juice is clarified, contributing to the increased disposal of solid waste. Therefore, the objectives of this work were to achieve polyphenol enrichment of the non-pomace residue obtained from red grape juice centrifugation through optimization of extractive techniques and optimize the extraction of anthocyanins of this highly-colored material.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Chemicals

Analytical standards of phenolic compounds were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA) and Fluka (Steinheim, Germany). The reagents 2,2-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid), 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl and Folin–Ciocalteu were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA). Acetone, ethanol, acetic acid, methanol, vanillin, ferric chloride, potassium chloride and sodium acetate were purchased from Vetec (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil). Chromatographic grade methanol and acetonitrile were obtained from Vetec (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil).

2.2. Residue material and non-pomace samples

The residue material was donated by a local grape juice industry located in São Marcos, South Brazil. The non-pomace residue samples were collected after the centrifugation step of the clarification process of grape juices. The centrifugation was performed at 100 rcf (g) for 10 min on a Peralisi decanter centrifuge with a processing capacity of 9000 L of juice per hour (Jesi, Italy). The highly-colored, wet non-pomace samples were obtained from processing of the grape varieties Bordo and Isabel (*V. labrusca* L.) and were then kept at -18°C . The samples were frozen in an ultra-freezer (UFV 37 Terroni, Brazil) at -80°C and lyophilized (countertop lyophilizer, Terroni, Brazil) for 24 h to a moisture content of 5% (w/w). The samples were then passed through a sieve of 20 mesh (0.9 mm) and stored at -20°C (Haas et al., 2016).

2.3. Extraction of phenolic compounds

In preliminary experiments, three agitation methods were evaluated for the extraction of phenolic compounds using a hydroalcoholic solution of methanol (80% v/v) as solvent: ultrasound (Ultrasonic cleaner, USC 1400^o, 40 kHz), orbital agitator (KMC-1300V^o) and plate agitator (B. Brain Biotech International, CERTOMAT^o MO, Melsungen, Germany). The time of extractions was set at 5, 30 and 120 min for orbital agitation, ultrasonic and plate agitation, respectively. The parameters of sample mass, solvent volume and solvent: water ratio were maintained constant for the extractions. After the agitation method was defined, the following solvents were evaluated for the preparation of the non-pomace extractives: methanol (80% v/v), acetone (80% v/v) and ethanol (80% v/v), with the other conditions

Table 1

Full factorial central composite design matrix of three variables in coded units along with the observed responses.

Coded units of variable				
Run	X_1	X_2	X_3	Response (Sum of peak area)
1	0.00	0.00	-1.68	18607052.0
2	0.00	1.68	0.00	8467890.0
3	0.00	0.00	1.68	4426419.5
4	-1.00	1.00	1.00	7456778.1
5	-1.00	-1.00	-1.00	10358918.0
6	1.00	-1.00	1.00	6917837.2
7	1.00	-1.00	-1.00	14745955.1
8	0.00	-1.68	0.00	6278919.0
9	0.00	0.00	0.00	7736032.0
10	-1.00	-1.00	1.00	6908674.0
11	1.68	0.00	0.00	7433014.2
12	0.00	0.00	0.00	7958921.5
13	1.00	1.00	1.00	7056778.0
14	1.00	1.00	-1.00	15051594.5
15	0.00	0.00	0.00	7798132.5
16	-1.68	0.00	0.00	7879014.5
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	7912031.0
18	-1.00	1.0	-1.00	15280726.0

kept constant. To verify the efficiency of each extraction method, the non-pomace samples were spiked with phenolic compounds (15 mg L^{-1}) and the individual polyphenols were determined by high performance liquid chromatography.

2.4. Experimental design

The polyphenolic enrichment of the non-pomace extractives with anthocyanins was performed using a complete three-level factorial design (3^3) containing three central points. Extraction time (X_1), concentration of solvent (X_2), and solid-liquid ratio (X_3) were the independent variables, and their coded levels were presented in Table 1. The levels of independent variables were based on the preliminary experimental results.

The design was constructed with 3 replications of the center point to estimate the experimental error, leading to 18 experiments carried out in random order. The preparation of extractives of the non-pomace residue was carried using methanol as solvent and extraction in orbital agitation, as selected from the preliminary experiments (Section 2.3). The observed response (y) was the sum of peak area of the individual anthocyanins obtained from the chromatographic analysis. In order to estimate the response, an empirical model composed of a second-order polynomial was constructed (Eq. (1)), where y is the predicted response, β_0 is the model constant, β_i is the coefficient of the linear effect, β_{ii} is the coefficient of the quadratic effect, β_{ij} is the coefficient of interaction between factors i and j , x_i and x_j are the independent coded variables, ε is the error, k is the number of variables, and i and j are the coded factors of the model.

$$y = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_{ii} x_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j>i}^k \beta_{ij} x_i x_j + \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

2.5. Determination of the phenolic content and antioxidant activity

The extractives were prepared according to Jara-Palacios et al. (2014); Drosou et al. (2015) with modifications. The optimization of the extraction process contributed to the choice of the best conditions for extracting the phenolic compounds. The extraction method and solvent were determined according to Section 2.4. A sample ratio of 1:10 (w/v) and a hydroalcoholic solution of methanol: water (85:15 v/v) were used for the extractions. The procedure was carried out under mechanical agitation by orbital agitator for 5 min at a room temperature of 25°C . The samples were centrifuged (Janetzki, K 24) at 1000 rcf

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8880634>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8880634>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)